	UNITED STATES DI FOR THE DISTRICT		
* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *		
UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA,)	Criminal Action
)	No. 21-193
VS.)	
)	
GREG RUBENACKER,)	May 26, 2022
)	10:00 a.m.
D€	efendant.)	Washington, D.C

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE BERYL A. HOWELL, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHIEF JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

TROY EDWARDS, JR.
JACQUELINE SCHESNOL
U.S. Attorney's Office

for the District of Columbia

555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

(202) 258-1251

Email: troy.edwards@usdoj.gov

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MICHAELANGELO MATERA

560 Broadhollow Road

Suite 303

Melville, NY 11747 (516) 741-6700

Email: mmatera@materalaw.com

ALSO PRESENT: Christine Schuck, Pretrial Officer

Crystal Lustig, U.S. Probation

Court Reporter: Elizabeth Saint-Loth, RPR, FCRR

Official Court Reporter

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1 PROCEEDINGS THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Matter before the Court, 2 Criminal Case No. 21-193, United States of America versus 3 Greg Rubenacker. 4 5 Your Honor, before counsel comes up, we have 6 Probation Officer Crystal Lustig joining us via video and Pretrial Agent Christine Schuck via telephone. 7 8 Counsel, please come forward and state your names 9 for the record, starting with the government. 10 MR. EDWARDS: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 I am not sure about your preference on masks. 12 THE COURT: Are you vaccinated and boosted? 13 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Then you may take off your mask. 15 MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. 16 Good morning, Your Honor. 17 Assistant United States Attorney Troy Edwards for 18 the government. Along with me, at counsel's table, is 19 Assistant United States Attorney Jacqueline Schesnol. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And are both of you going to be 21 speaking this morning or just one of you? 22 MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor. Just me. 23 Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Good. Thank you. 25 And, Mr. Edwards, are you an AUSA here in D.C. or

```
1
       are you from someplace else?
                 MR. EDWARDS: Here in D.C., Your Honor.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: Here in D.C. Okay. I don't think you
      have appeared before me before.
 4
 5
                 MR. EDWARDS: Not -- I have had a couple of the
 6
       status hearings for this case; but this is my only case
 7
      before you so far.
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 8
 9
                 For the defense.
10
                 MR. MATERA: Good morning, Your Honor.
                 For Mr. Rubenacker, Michaelangelo Matera.
11
12
                 THE COURT: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Matera.
13
                 Good morning, Mr. Rubenacker.
14
                 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
15
                 THE COURT: Mr. Rubenacker, are you vaccinated?
16
                 THE DEFENDANT: No, I am not.
17
                 THE COURT: Okay. Then you will keep your mask on
18
       at all times.
19
                 (Whereupon, the Court and staff confer.)
20
                 THE COURT: This is not my normal courtroom.
21
       are doing technical work on my audio system. Yes. So it
22
      seems like a lot of the courtrooms need technical work. All
23
       right. So we are just going to -- this is what I am going
24
              I am going to proceed. But ask John Cramer, our
25
       technical guru, to please come up to fix that.
```

(Whereupon, technical difficulties were addressed.)

THE COURT: Well, let me just -- again, so we're here this morning for the sentencing of the defendant, Greg Rubenacker, who has pleaded guilty to all ten charges, Counts 1 through 10, in the superseding indictment against him.

The sentencing hearing is in person, but the public access line is being made available for persons to listen to these proceedings remotely. With the increased transmission of COVID in this area, we are continuing to try to keep the number of people having to come to the courthouse down, and allowing the public access line to work enables people to listen to this remotely rather than being physically present in the courtroom.

Anyone listening to the sentencing hearing over the public telephone conference line is reminded that, under my Standing Order 20-20, recording and rebroadcasting of court proceedings, including those held by videoconference -- which this is not -- is strictly prohibited. Violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, restricted or denial of entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the presiding judge.

All right. So as I start every sentencing

1 hearing, I am going to start this one, which is reviewing all of the materials that I have read in connection with 2 Mr. Rubenacker's sentencing. 3 So I have reviewed the probation office's 4 5 presentence investigation report docketed at ECF 52; the 6 probation office's sentencing recommendation docketed at ECF 53. 7 I have also reviewed the following documents 8 9 submitted by counsel in advance of the hearing: 10 sentencing memorandum and supplemental sentencing memorandum 11 submitted by the government docketed at ECFs 56 and 59. 12 I have also reviewed the sentencing --13 (Whereupon, the proceeding pauses.) 14 THE COURT: Are we all good? 15 IT TECHNICIAN: We're good. 16 THE COURT: Thank you so much. 17 (Whereupon, the Court and staff confer.) 18 THE COURT: All right. Continuing on my list of 19 things that I have reviewed -- there have been a lot of 20 papers submitted in connection with the sentencing. 21 I have also reviewed the sentencing memorandum --22 memoranda submitted by the defendant docketed at ECF 55, and 23 the supplemental sentencing memorandum submitted last Friday 24 docketed at -- the original one was ECF 55; the second one

25

was ECF 62.

1 I have also reviewed all of the letters submitted by Mr. Rubenacker himself, and his family, and friends --2 and from a mental health counselor; they were also all --3 also docketed at ECF 55. 4 5 I have reviewed the 20 videos and 3 photo stills 6 and screenshots submitted by the government in aid of sentencing, and referenced in the government's notices at 7 ECF 56, 42, and 60. 8 9 And I have also reviewed the victim impact 10 statement that was submitted by Officer Eugene Goodman that 11 was submitted last night, along with a motion to file the 12 statement under seal; and that was all docketed at ECF 64. 13 And I know, Mr. Matera, you have an objection to 14 that which I will get to in just a minute. 15 But does the government have all of those 16 documents? 17 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Matera, do you have all of those documents? 19 20 MR. MATERA: I do, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. So before I turn to your 22 objection to all of the documents I have considered in 23 connection with sentencing, let me just review with

Mr. Rubenacker how this sentencing proceeding will go

24

25

forward this morning.

I do like to review this for defendants, particularly for those who have no criminal history. You have never gone through this before, so I know this is a new experience for you, Mr. Rubenacker. And, also, your family members who may either be listening or present in the courtroom, I don't know — there are a number of people here, I don't know who they are.

MR. MATERA: They are not. His parents are in their mid-80s, but they are listening.

THE COURT: I see. So let me just tell you how my sentencing proceedings and hearings are structured so you know what is going to be coming up.

The first step of the sentencing hearing is to determine whether the government or you or your counsel,

Mr. Rubenacker, has any objections to the factual portions of the presentence investigation report; and if there are any such objections, I will resolve them.

At the second step of the hearing, I will determine how the advisory guidelines apply in your case, and what the recommended sentencing range is, based upon your criminal history and considering any mitigating or aggravating factors. This is going to be a fairly lengthy part of this sentencing hearing because your counsel has raised, on your behalf, a number of objections to the -- how the guidelines apply in your case.

1 The third step is to hear from the government and 2 then from your counsel, Mr. Rubenacker; and then, lastly, 3 from you if you wish to speak to me directly about sentencing in the case. 4 5 And then the last step is where I will explain the 6 reasons for the sentence I will impose, and then I will 7 impose sentence. Do you have any questions about what is going to 8 9 be coming up next, Mr. Rubenacker? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 So let's just take up, as an initial matter, the 13 defendant's objection to -- as I understand it, Officer 14 Goodman's statement that was submitted yesterday evening. 15 don't know precisely at what time, but I didn't read it 16 until this morning. 17 And I understand that Mr. Matera has no objection 18 to the government's motion to seal that victim impact 19 statement, but only to have it considered a victim impact 20 statement. 21 Do I understand that correctly, Mr. Matera? 22 MR. MATERA: That's correct, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. So why don't you step

forward, and then let me hear what you have to say about

24

25

that.

MR. MATERA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Initially, we were provided this statement at, approximately, 9:45 p.m. last night. As Your Honor is well aware, this is a case that has been pending -- a matter that has been pending since January 6th. Why we're continuously getting things at the very last minute is concerning. Why we were getting it from an officer that basically -- the plea was taken -- I forget the exact day, but in February. In the presentence report, it's indicated that it's requested from the government whether or not they had any victim information; the government didn't provide any.

The government now, at the last minute, comes up with this statement. And if you review -- I am sure Your Honor has, obviously. But if you review the statement, it's very questionable as to how much it even has to do with the actions of Mr. Rubenacker.

It talks as much about some kind of difficulties that other people are having other than Officer Goodman. It talks about an individual whose office was broken into, and what -- the impact that had on that individual, which -- if that individual wanted to talk, then that individual, by all means, should have. But Mr. Rubenacker had nothing to do with the taking of that information.

We also have a statement that is -- it's an unsigned statement. I am not doubting that it's from

Officer Goodman, but it's an unsigned statement. And it talks about things that -- when I asked the government last night -- Mr. Edwards was kind enough to give me a call and give me the heads up that they were going to be submitting this to Your Honor and did send me a copy.

I said: Well, why? Why are we waiting until 9:45 to -- I know that's when he got it, I am not -- this is nothing against Mr. Edwards.

Why are we waiting until 9:45? Why did this victim -- if he wanted to be heard, not come forward sooner? And the response that I got was that: Well, he was very concerned -- sometimes victims are afraid to come forward and didn't want to tell his story.

Well, I have to question that statement because I am not sure if Your Honor is aware of this or not -- you may very well be, as this case has obviously gotten a tremendous amount of publicity. Officer Goodman gave a full podcast interview in January of this year.

So to say that he somehow didn't want to come forward because he was concerned, it's just -- I can't -- the defendant cannot accept that position, in light of the fact that he gave an interview that not only was broadcast on the podcast, but was picked up by every major media outlet, and reported throughout the country.

There is -- also, the government has taken the

1 position in their objections to the presentence report something that --2 3 Is my time up? (Proceeding pauses, timer interruption.) 4 5 MR. EDWARDS: I apologize; it's the government 6 laptop. 7 MR. MATERA: Okay. I thought I was getting --THE COURT: I'm listening to you, Mr. Matera, not 8 9 the bizarre noises. 10 MR. MATERA: Thank you, Judge. That's okay. So the government, in their objections -- which we 11 12 joined with, in certain of their objections to the 13 presentence report -- has indicated that the victim in this 14 particular case -- other than the second portion --15 obviously, in this case, Your Honor is well aware, we have 16 two separate incidents; we have the one during the first 17 entry into the Capitol Building, and we have the one during 18 the second entry. The second entry had nothing to do with 19 Officer Goodman, that's where the assault charge comes from. 20 As far as the obstruction charge, the government 21 has indicated in their objection that certain points for 22 official victims should not be submitted because of the fact that the government was the victim. Again, something that 23 24 we joined in.

If the government's the victim, then how is

Officer Goodman now providing a statement that is his position as an individual -- I don't think that it's proper.

I don't think the fact that it is -- was given over at this very late hour, when it should have been made part of the presentence report when requested by probation -- I think there is -- also, the law is very clear that the harm must have a close proximity to the conduct of the defendant. I can't tell from this statement that it has much to do with the specific conduct of the defendant.

It's also clear, as I reviewed it last night -and obviously had to scramble at 9:45 to find Officer

Goodman's podcast -- he contradicts himself from what he
says in this victim impact statement to some of the
information that he gave on the podcast as to when he knew
and what he knew about people in the building.

Judge, for all these reasons -- and most specifically for the late disclosure -- it is the defendant's position that it should not be made part of the record.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just ask you about that. Because the rules are pretty clear, this is a victim impact statement under Rule 32, as well as Rule 60. I have to take it. So timing could be better, but I don't think I am left any wiggle room about whether to accept a victim impact statement under the applicable Rules of

1 Criminal Procedure. Would you agree with that? 2 3 MR. MATERA: I would agree with that. THE COURT: So I hear you, but too bad, so sad for 4 5 you, in some ways. 6 MR. MATERA: I get it. 7 THE COURT: I'm required under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure to look at victim impact statements. 8 9 You say it's unsigned. I look here -- to the 10 government -- about why it's unsigned. You know, in days of electronic transmissions of things, not everybody has fancy 11 12 digital signatures like us judges. And, you know, I think 13 Officer Goodman, with his role protecting the Capitol, is 14 probably more often on his feet rather than sitting at his 15 computer. 16 MR. MATERA: I would imagine so. 17 THE COURT: So that may be the simple explanation, but I will ask about that. 18 19 With respect to your -- as I understand your other 20 objection, I think you're questioning whether he qualifies as a victim here, is that also --21 22 MR. MATERA: Correct. 23 THE COURT: Am I understanding your objection 24 correctly to that? 25 MR. MATERA: That's correct. Whether or not he

qualifies as a victim to properly give a statement in this particular situation.

I have no doubt that Officer Goodman may be a victim as to some of the other issues that he raises. He talks about the fact that he has received death threats, and things like that. Again, it has nothing to do -- there is no allegation that Mr. Rubenacker had anything to do with that; certainly, he did not. So to the extent that he is talking about things that have absolutely no relation to this particular case, yes, that is part of my objection as well.

THE COURT: Right. And as I understood, you also -- his letter, as he describes it, talks about what happened to him that day.

But in terms of his references to people having nightmares, being -- having fear continuing to work in the Capitol Building; having fear of the public coming into the Capitol Building and whether or not they, too, are going to turn violent and come -- turn into a riot.

I think your description is accurate, that he is not talking about himself in those; he is talking about his coworkers who continue to work in the Capitol Building.

So -- and based on that, it's your view that he doesn't really describe himself as a victim; is that right?

MR. MATERA: Well, he's not -- for him to be here

and present this statement that talks about his perception of harm that other people have sustained -- those other people chose not to give a victim impact statement. So without having -- and I am -- certainly am not asking for Officer Goodman to be here so I can question him about his perceptions of other people. But to have him submit a letter talking about what other people may or may not -- how do we know?

How do we know if that's an accurate impression that he has about what other individuals may or may not have sustained?

THE COURT: So -- but, at the same time, I think you would agree that the district court has very broad discretion to consider evidence at sentencing under 18 U.S.C. Section 3661, which, basically, says that there will be no limitation placed on the information presented at a sentencing hearing.

MR. MATERA: Provided that it is related to the incident itself, yes; I do agree with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And let me understand that because -for the victim impact statement, it's really -- under
Section 3771, which defines a crime victim very broadly to
include: A person directly and proximately harmed as a
result of the commission of a federal offense; that's under
18 U.S.C. Section 3771(e).

1 So as I was understanding your teasing of this 2 defendant's offense conduct -- the two different stages 3 related to his two illegal entries into the Capitol 4 Building; your view is that his -- the offense with which 5 this defendant was charged with respect to his first illegal 6 entry was obstruction and, therefore, Officer Goodman could not be a victim of that offense conduct because the 7 government was the victim of that obstruction? 8 9 MR. MATERA: Correct. 10 THE COURT: Am I understanding your rationale 11 correctly? 12 That is the position that the MR. MATERA: Yes. 13 government has taken in their objection to the presentence 14 report, was that the victim was the government; and the 15 victim was not an individual. And we share in that 16 position. And as Your Honor is well aware, the government --17 18 other than for purposes of restitution, the government 19 cannot be a victim; so, yes, that is --20 THE COURT: What if I don't accept your slicing 21 and dicing of the offense conduct in just such two separate 22 clear incidents, and that the obstructive conduct that day 23 involved both illegal entries into the Capitol Building? 24 MR. MATERA: Understood.

THE COURT: And so with -- if I view the offense

1 conduct in a more holistic manner than you do -- and you 2 have raised that argument in other contexts that I will get into shortly -- why couldn't Mr. -- Officer Goodman be 3 considered a victim of the overall conduct that this 4 5 defendant's actions, on January 6th, contributed, as a 6 collective force as a member of this riot, to proximately 7 cause terror in the hearts of the police officers who were overwhelmed that day? 8 9 MR. MATERA: Judge, I do understand the Court's 10 position. Again, for the reasons that I've stated, we're 11 still opposed to the statement coming in; but I certainly do understand the Court's position on that issue. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Matera. 14 Mr. Edwards. 15 MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Do you want to respond? 17 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. Just a few points. 18 So I understand Mr. Rubenacker's points to be a 19 few: One is timeliness; two is relevance; and three, I 20 think, is whether or not Officer Goodman is a crime victim, 21 as it relates to the Crime Victims' Rights Act. So I will 22 take those in turn. 23 So as to timeliness, Your Honor, the officer could 24 have walked into this courtroom today, under the Crime

Victims' Rights Act, and provided a victim impact statement.

The government and the Court both have responsibilities to ensure that the rights of victims, as listed as 3771, are protected, and having an opportunity to be heard is one of them under (a) -- I believe (1) or (2) -- and sentencing is one of those proceedings.

So it sounds like the Court had a couple of questions that were related to the government's point.

Unless Your Honor has other questions, timeliness is not an issue as to whether or not this victim statement should be part of the record.

Maybe it's a question as to whether the defendant would like a continuance? I don't hear the defendant to be saying that; so I don't think that's relevant as to whether it comes in.

As to relevance, Your Honor, it sounds like there are two arguments; one is the substance of what he wrote and about his interactions and experiences that day; I'd like to not go into too much information given that it's under seal.

And two is how relevant --

THE COURT: But I have to say, it is -- Officer Goodman's statement has been placed under seal. But to the extent that it is necessary for either of the parties to explain their reasoning in making arguments, it will be unsealed.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

The second relevance argument seems to be why other people's emotions and experiences were relevant; so both of those are relevant.

One, there is no limitation in the Crime Victims' Rights Act, that the defendant is pointing to, to say:

Those things can't come in as part of the record. I think that's important.

But first, Officer Goodman --

THE COURT: Usually -- I mean, Mr. Matera is right. Usually, a victim impact statement is expressed by the victim about what the victim's own harm is as opposed to the harm of others, unless the victim feeling the harm is incompetent in some way or a minor.

MR. EDWARDS: So I read the letter to be explaining other people coming to the officer to relay to him their emotional and physical harm from that day; that, in itself, is still a harm to this victim because this is a consequence -- maybe one or two orbits out from January 6th, from the direct harm -- certainly, proximately there are people who come to him and relay to him their feelings, their injuries, their consequences from January 6th; that's still some pain that this victim has to carry and shoulder continuing to this day. So that's perfectly relevant for the Court to understand how far the day of January 6th has traveled for these officers and for the people around them.

1 THE COURT: And let me just get some sort of more technical issues out of the way. 2 3 One, why was the letter unsigned? MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. As Mr. Matera 4 5 referred, the government received this late last night. 6 My understanding is the officer has been working constant shifts. I have actually had some difficulty 7 getting a hold of him, to be honest, throughout the last few 8 9 weeks; and I think that's primarily because he is on his 10 feet doing his job in the Capitol Building. I will admit, I 11 did not ask him if he had a digital signature to draw. Given the hour, I just took it and ran to defense 12 13 counsel and the Court, and tried to do as much as I could. 14 THE COURT: All right. So that's my first 15 question. 16 My second question is: Is this the first time 17 Officer Goodman has submitted a victim impact statement? 18 MR. EDWARDS: To the government's awareness, yes. 19 As far as Mr. Matera's points, I am happy to 20 address them about other statements, maybe in the media; I 21 can address that. But, yes, as far as a victim impact 22 statement, yes, this is the first time. THE COURT: And Officer Goodman's letter talks 23 24 about how he was on duty starting at 5 a.m. that day, and he

was in multiple areas of the Capitol Building, not just the

1 incident where he was chased up the stairs by the mob right outside the Senate Chamber, up into the Ohio Clock Corridor. 2 Is it anticipated that Officer Goodman's victim 3 impact statement is going to be submitted in connection with 4 5 other January 6th cases involving defendants arrested at the 6 other areas of the Capitol Building where he reviews his observations of what was going on in those other areas? 7 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. I can't answer 8 9 that specific question as to whether this material will be 10 submitted in any public forum. At this point --11 THE COURT: Not "public." As a victim impact statement in other January 6th cases? 12 13 MR. EDWARDS: I see. At this point, I don't know. 14 I think Officer Goodman and the government on this 15 case has had a conversation as it relates to this case. We 16 did not have a conversation if he would replicate that 17 statement for other trials. That may happen. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And given the fact that Officer 19 Goodman has made public statements about what he observed 20 and experienced on January 6th -- he may have testified 21 publicly at a congressional hearing, I am not sure; but, as 22 Mr. Matera said, there was a podcast. 23 Does the entire victim impact statement have to be 24 put under seal?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

I see those as two different things.

So this podcast in January -- admittedly, the government has not listened to the whole -- at least this attorney has not listened to the whole podcast. But whether a victim provides a statement in January is very different about what he may write as it relates to what the Court should consider about his deeply personal emotions and damage from January 6th. And I am not saying in any way that Officer Goodman said anything different in January. It just means it's certainly plausible that what you say to the media may be different in kind and in substance than what you write privately to a Court for a Court to consider it.

And then, the second point somewhat relates to timeliness. The government, in asking -- as it should, under the Crime Victims' Rights Act, for Officer Goodman to -- whether or not he would like to participate in this sentencing by definition is asking him to relive all of this. In a way, if you are channeling those emotions into a letter for the Court and having to relive that, who is the government to ask Officer Goodman to do something on a certain timeline if the Crime Victims' Rights Act does not force him to do something on a timeline.

That relates to this January statement because this statement is just different in kind and in processing when that victim had to think about this stuff. So that

material that he provided in that letter, under 3771(a)(8), is part of his dignity and privacy; and he has that right.

THE COURT: All right. But Mr. Matera makes the point that I think is accurate, that Officer Goodman spends little time in his letter talking about his own personal feelings. He says himself, he is a very private person.

And -- but as a consequence, although he describes what he saw, what he observed, what he experienced -- there is not much in this letter about his own personal harms from what he experienced on January 6th.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So what -- why should this be treated as a victim impact statement? It's unlike many that I have seen.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

At its core, that's a question of weight, not admissibility, right?

The officer could have come in here and recited or wrote to you the Gettysburg Address; and the Court should have to do something with that. But he didn't, right?

He provided what, in his personal view, was a statement that would express what he felt and what he has experienced; and that is something for the Court to consider. I understand the Court's position that some of the statements -- though, there are some that discuss

Officer Goodman's feelings and what he experienced; some are not.

And that -- I would express to the Court that the Court should take a broad view as to what "harm" is, and how an officer may experience that harm from what happened on January 6th. Everybody processes things differently, and this just happens to be this officer's way to do that.

If Your Honor has no other questions, I would like to address whether or not he qualifies as a crime victim.

THE COURT: Well, part of whether or not he qualifies as a crime victim also is related to the contents, but please proceed.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

So the Crime Victims' Rights Act defines that term very broadly. Similar to other statutes that we will get into -- I am sure -- later that deal with restitution.

So Officer Goodman -- I think I would just turn to the videos that the government has submitted. I mean, the argument that Officer Goodman is not a victim of what happened that day from what, in part, Mr. Rubenacker did -- I don't find it meritorious. There is a mob of people that chased Officer Goodman up a flight of stairs yelling at him; Mr. Rubenacker is one of them.

Certainly, that falls within the broad definition of direct and proximate harm from the day of the events.

The cases that deal with whether or not someone is a victim under the Crime Victims' Rights Act typically deal with charges like conspiracy. Those charges -- sure -- the elements may include coming to an agreement; but there doesn't appear to be any kind of element that would involve a victim naturally because I and someone else agreed to transport a certain amount of drugs or a certain type of drugs -- that's very different. Those cases are different in kind than when someone is charged with assault and civil disorder and 1512 obstruction.

To the defendant's point that this officer is not one of the victims because those charges identify the government as a victim -- sure -- the government is a victim; but the conduct of Mr. Rubenacker is what is relevant, and his conduct involved obstructing that proceeding by doing something.

And 1512 is an extremely broad statute, in terms of how you can obstruct something as evidenced by the guidelines. The way he did it was helping to chase Officer Goodman up the flight of stairs. I don't think there is a question as to whether Officer Goodman qualifies as a victim here.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. EDWARDS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Matera, do you want to respond or

reply?

MR. MATERA: No. No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Sorry. This -- I know it's painful that this microphone keeps flaring like this; it mostly affects my court reporter's hearing, so she's suffering through.

All right. I am going to overrule the defense objection to consideration of Officer Goodman's victim impact statement.

As we have already clarified -- and I think as defense concedes -- a victim can appear in court on the day of sentencing with, basically, little prior notice, and has a right to make a statement. So, in terms of timeliness of the Court's consideration of the victim impact statement, that objection holds little water under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures 32(i)(4) and 60(a)(3).

With respect to the more fundamental question and objection that the defense has raised as to whether this qualifies as a victim impact statement, let me just say, as an initial matter, the Crime Victims' Rights Act defines "crime victim" very broadly to include: A person directly and proximately harmed as a result of the condition of a federal offense, 18 U.S.C. Section 3771(e). And as the Eleventh Circuit found in 2016, in U.S. v Guerrier: Nothing evidences that police officers are categorically excluded

from the definition of crime victim. And injuries to police officers, like Officer Goodman, that are directly and proximately caused by a federal offense qualifies them as victims under the CVRA.

Here, defense contends that Officer Goodman was not harmed as a result of the events of January 6th, 2022, [sic] based on the substance of his victim impact statement, which references what he experienced that day in terms of his observations and what he did as opposed to, basically, opening up his heart and his mind as to what his feelings are. He does refer to the feelings of fear, nightmares, need for mental health treatment by his coworkers at the Capitol Building; things that continue to linger as people who work there continue to suffer from what was experienced on January 6th.

And although Officer Goodman doesn't share that he, too, is feeling all of these things, it is, as -- I agree with the government that -- based on what I have seen from the videos, it is not a far leap to appreciate the terror that the police officers who were overwhelmed that day -- and in particular Officer Goodman, who was chased up the stairs by an angry mob until he found his colleagues, fellow police officers on the line in the Ohio Clock Corridor at the top of the stairs -- I am sure he felt relief he found his buddies up there to help him control the

mob to a certain extent. I think that that qualifies him as a victim of this mob action on January 6th.

In any event, even if Officer Goodman did not technically meet in some way, the statutory definition of a crime victim, I do possess full discretion to consider this information under 18 U.S.C. Section 3661, and will do so; but I do think it does qualify as a crime victim impact statement, and will consider it in that way as well.

All right. So with that resolved, now let's turn to step one of the sentencing hearing to review any objections -- at least to the factual portions of the presentence investigation report -- before I get to the more substantive objections, Mr. Matera, that you have raised to the guideline determinations.

So the final presentence report and sentencing recommendation docketed at ECFs 52 and 53 were filed on April 21, 2022. And I understand from the PSR that the government has no objection to any of the factual or any of the other determinations set forth in the PSR.

Is that correct, Mr. Edwards?

MR. EDWARDS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Rubenacker, could you just stand right where you are, please. And you can pull the microphone over to you.

Are you fully satisfied with your attorney in this

1 case, Mr. Rubenacker? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 3 THE COURT: Do you feel that you have had enough 4 time to talk to your attorney about the presentence 5 investigation report and the sentencing recommendation, and 6 all of the other papers submitted in connection with your 7 sentencing? THE DEFENDANT: 8 Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 10 And, Mr. Matera, have you and your client read and 11 discussed the presentence investigation report? 12 MR. MATERA: We have, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: And I understand that the defendant 14 has objections to the guideline determination which we're 15 going to turn to next. But as to the factual statements in 16 the presentence investigation report, it's my understanding

the defendant has no objections to that portion -- those portions of the PSR; is that correct?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MATERA: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So having heard no objection raised by either side to any of the factual statements in the presentence investigation report, I do find that those portions of the PSR are undisputed; and I do accept them as my findings of fact at sentencing as supplemented by my review of the video and photographic --

still photographic exhibits submitted by the government.

All right. We're at step two of the hearing where I will discuss determination of the guidelines here.

I am going to just start for clarification -- so that we are all on the same page -- the defendant's convictions on four of the counts, Counts 7, 8, 9, and 10, are not subject to the U.S. sentencing guidelines, so I need only decide how the guidelines apply to the defendant's convictions on Counts 1 through 6.

And I appreciate that Mr. Matera has raised objections to the guideline determination for those six convictions that are subject to the guidelines; but I want to start with what I think everybody agrees on before I turn to what is disputed.

So starting with the criminal history -- as to the criminal history, the PSR -- presentence report -- found that Mr. Rubenacker has no prior criminal convictions; and so, under the guidelines, he has a criminal history score of zero, which puts him in Criminal History Category I. And I take it the government has no objection to that criminal history category determination.

Is that correct?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Matera, no objection?

MR. MATERA: No objection.

an objection to the way in which the presentence investigation report has grouped the counts, essentially, altogether, rather than in multiple separate, distinct groups. And by grouping them, basically, altogether, the probation office has avoided a multiple-count upward adjustment, which would have added offense levels to the ultimate total offense level, which would have produced a more severe sentence.

So I believe that all the parties agree that the grouping, as outlined in the presentence report, is correct. And, specifically, Count 1 and Count 3 are grouped together under the guideline at Section 2A2.2 -- are both subject to the guideline at 2A2.2, and are grouped together under the guideline governing grouping under 3D1.2(b) because both those charges involve the same victim; and two or more acts or transactions connected by a common criminal objective or constituting a common scheme or plan.

And those two counts, 1 and 3, are then grouped together with the four other counts subject to the guidelines, under the guideline at Section 3D1.2(c) because each of those four counts embodies conduct treated as specific offense characteristics in or other adjustments to the guidelines applicable to another of those counts. And so given this grouping of multiple counts, the guideline

1 with the highest offense level determines the ultimate offense level and sentencing range in this case. 2 3 So does the government have any objection to the manner in which -- that I have just reviewed the probation 4 5 office has grouped the counts? 6 MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor; although, just one 7 note that part of the grouping is -- branches from the 2J1.2(b)(1)(B) enhancement under 1512. So because of that 8 9 specific offense characteristic embedded in other counts, it 10 would -- they group under 3D1.2(c). If that's -- it sounds like that's what we're 11 12 going to get to next; I don't want to get ahead of myself. 13 So that may change depending on the Court's ruling, and how 14 the guidelines play out. 15 THE COURT: Right. I understand that, but --16 MR. EDWARDS: Overall, though, no objection, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 And, Mr. Matera? 20 MR. MATERA: No objection. 21 THE COURT: Right. 22 But I think what you are saying also, 23 Mr. Edwards -- just to follow through that thought -- is 24 that if I accept one of Mr. Matera's objections to 25 application of that specific offense characteristic, the

1 grouping may change? Is that the import of what you are saying? 2 3 MR. EDWARDS: That's correct, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: And as a result of the grouping 6 analysis changing, there might actually be required units to 7 be added that would increase the severity of the offense Is that the import of what you are saying? 8 level. 9 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Got it. 11 MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: All right. But accepting the grouping 13 that the probation office has set out, in the presentence 14 report, the guideline with the highest offense level 15 determines the ultimate offense level. So now let's turn to 16 the parties' disputes about what the highest -- what the 17 guideline with the highest offense level is. 18 So, the defendant argues that he believes the 19 quideline at 2A2.2, which is applicable to Count 3, for 20 assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers under 21 18 U.S.C. Section 111(a)(1), should determine his sentencing

The government and probation office believe that the guideline at Section 2J1.2, applicable to his Count 2 conviction for obstructing an official proceeding under

range as the highest guideline.

22

23

24

18 U.S.C. Section 1512(c)(2) has the highest guideline, and should govern the defendant's sentencing range here.

So the parties agree that the guideline at 2J1.2 applies to his offense conduct on Count 2. And what they disagree about is whether two specific offense characteristics, which I am going to call -- using shorthand, in sentencing lingo -- SOCs, for specific offense characteristics provided -- are set out in 2J1.2. And the defense believes they are inapplicable to the defendant's case; and the government and the probation office believe that they are applicable.

Let me just say that offense conduct that violates a criminal statute, it falls under a guideline vary -- can vary in many different ways. SOCs, specific offense characteristics, are designed, under the guidelines, to capture some of the different aspects of criminal conduct that may warrant a more or less severe sentence. So put another way, SOCs are aggravating or mitigating factors relating to particular types of offense conduct.

So if the guideline at 2J1.2 applies with the two disputed SOCs, that guideline will produce the highest total offense level and control the sentencing range, rather than the guideline at 2A2.2 for Count 3, as the defendant proposes. So I am going to start this analysis with the defendant's objections to the two SOCs in the guideline at

1 2J1.2.

Mr. Matera, I will hear you if you want to supplement your arguments, which have been fairly laid out in some detail in your briefing; but I will hear you about this, if you want to supplement them anyway.

MR. MATERA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Obviously, Your Honor, as you indicated, I am sure has thoroughly read everything that we've submitted. I think we have gone over it in our papers rather extensively.

THE COURT: Right. And so let me just --

MR. MATERA: Sure.

THE COURT: -- cut to the chase, I mean -- just so

I -- let me just tell you what I understand your objections

to be; you can supplement that if I'm missing something.

You did add in your supplemental brief, I think, an additional objection. So let me just make sure I understand your argument.

You object to using the SOC in the guideline 2J1.2(b)(1)(B), which applies an eight-offense level increase, quote: If the offense involved causing or threatening to cause physical injury to a person or property damage in order to obstruct the administration of justice. And you raised two objections to that.

First, you believe that the defendant did not cause or threaten to cause physical injury to any person,

1 which is a prerequisite for application of that (b) (1) (B) SOC. And, second, your objection is that the event at issue 2 does not involve the administration of justice. 3 So those are your two objections to the 4 5 eight-offense level SOC. 6 You also object to applying the SOC at 7 2J1.2(b)(2) -- the (b)(2) SOC -- which applies a three-offense level increase if the offense resulted in 8 9 substantial interference with the administration of justice. 10 The administration of justice, of course, being the same phrase used in the (b)(1)(b) SOC. And your objection that 11 12 what -- the congressional certification taking place on 13 January 6th did not involve the administration of justice. 14 I also understand, in your supplemental briefing, 15 that you argue that this defendant's conduct standing alone 16 did not result in the unnecessary expenditure of substantial 17 governmental resources, which is the definition provided in 18 the guidelines for application of that three-offense level 2J1.2(b)(2) SOC. 19 20 MR. MATERA: That's correct, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Is that -- am I missing any of your 22 objections? Is that the universe of your objections, those 23 two SOCs? 24 MR. MATERA: Right. I think you have covered it.

THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Well, that's very

```
1
       important. I don't want to miss something.
2
                 MR. MATERA: Yes.
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay. So if you don't add anything to
       your briefing -- because I have read everything.
 4
 5
                 Let me just ask you a couple of questions.
 6
                 MR. MATERA:
                              Sure.
 7
                 THE COURT: So your concern about whether both
       these SOCs apply, because both of them have a
 8
 9
       prerequisite -- uses this phrase that there has to be some
10
       interference or obstruction of the administration of
11
       justice.
12
                 MR. MATERA: Correct.
13
                 THE COURT: And your concern is that the
14
       administration of justice only applies to judicial or grand
15
       jury proceedings; is that right?
16
                 MR. MATERA: Or quasi-judicial --
17
                 THE COURT: Or quasi-judicial proceedings.
18
                 MR. MATERA: Correct.
19
                 THE COURT: And by quasi-judicial proceedings, you
20
             Executive branch agency adjudicatory proceedings.
       mean:
21
       that right?
22
                 MR. MATERA: Sure. Yes. Yes.
23
                 THE COURT: All right. So the fact is, though,
24
       that his conviction on Count 2 for violating 18 U.S.C.
25
       Section 1512(c)(2), is for obstructing an official
```

proceeding which is defined in 1515(a)(1)(B) as "a proceeding before Congress"; and that -- so that statute which he is convicted on in Count 2 is -- involves obstruction of congressional proceedings, and is specifically referenced under the guidelines by the sentencing commission, 2J2.1, which is titled obstruction of justice and -- well, 2J2.2 actually, "Obstruction of Justice."

And so are you saying that the commission made a mistake by referring 1512(c)(2) in its entirety to this particular guideline because -- why would the commission refer a statute to a guideline that didn't cover it in two of its SOCs?

MR. MATERA: Judge, I think what I am saying is that there is a difference between what was intended and what the electoral certification process was, which -- the electoral certification process -- and, again, I understand you have read my brief, but -- simply put, does not constitute an event dealing with the administration of justice.

Now, the government has suggested in their papers that -- well, they're obligated to have this proceeding; they're obligated to certify the election so, therefore, because they're obligated, it would qualify. We respectfully disagree.

1 The bottom line is that there is no part -- the truth is that the electoral certification process, for the 2 3 majority of times, is a mostly ceremonial process where they 4 are -- obviously, this particular one was -- went to 5 different lengths and objections were filed; and I 6 understood that they have been filed in the past. But they 7 are still not -- it still does not involve the 8 administration of justice because, as Judge Moss stated in 9 the Montgomery decision, that's not what Congress does. 10 What Congress does is different and apart. Now, as he 11 indicated, there is a matter of separation of powers; that 12 is not what Congress does to effect the administration of 13 justice. 14 This morning I walked by the Supreme Court 15 building. And I am sure Your Honor --16 THE COURT: You do refer to Montgomery. But in 17 talking about the administration of justice and the 18 separation of powers in Montgomery, Judge Moss was not 19 dealing with application of the SOCs in the guideline 2J1.2, 20 was he? 21 MR. MATERA: Agreed. He was not. He was not. Не 22 was discussing it in a different context; but I think --23 THE COURT: Totally different context. 24 MR. MATERA: Yes, it was. But I think his words

are still very clear where he says specifically: Congress

25

does not engage in the administration of justice.

There is -- it's the defendant's position that there is no view of the certification of an election that has to do with the administration of justice.

"Justice" being -- and I don't want to rehash all of my arguments, Your Honor obviously has read them. But justice has to do with ensuring equal protection; ensuring all kinds of rights of that individual's -- that we, as citizens, have. That's not what the certification of an election is.

Certification of an election is to count votes
that were rendered during the November election and to
determine or confirm who the President of the United States
is going to be; that has nothing to do with the
administration of justice.

THE COURT: And that's based on your interpretation of the meaning of that phrase.

All right. I think I understand -- I understand your argument. Okay. I get it.

So the -- in your view, the sentencing commission, if it wants to refer obstruction of congressional proceedings to 2J1.2 and have all of the applicable SOCs apply, they need to fix the language of those SOCs.

MR. MATERA: Sure. Yeah. If that was what was intended, it could have very easily said so; it doesn't. I

think it's too far of a leap to take what's written under the SOCs and apply it to an electoral certification process.

Sorry. I am tongue-tied.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. MATERA: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Does the government want to respond?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. Just briefly, to supplement all of the filings.

So, first, I understand the defendant is arguing that the guidelines provisions do not -- the phrase "administration of justice" only includes judicial grand jury or quasi-judicial proceedings. The problem with his position is the guidelines' text does not support that position. The chapter of 2J is actually titled -- I don't want to get this wrong -- Offenses Involving the Administration of Justice. Right?

That phrase is used broadly in the guidelines.

It's not just used in the specific offense characteristic; it's used in the chapter title. And when it defines what offenses are included under Part J titled, "Offenses Involving the Administration of Justice," the statutory provisions include, most importantly, 18 U.S.C. 1512. But they also include -- nearly half of the statutes, total, include obstruction of proceedings that are not judicial, quasi-judicial, or grand jury in nature.

So under the defendant's reading, if the Court were to adopt that narrow definition of "administration of justice," nearly half the statutes would no longer have any of the specific -- the most weighty specific offense characteristics applied to them; that gap is awkward at best, and wrong at worst.

There are -- the purpose of these specific offense characteristics, as the Court alluded to, is to allow the court to have the flexibility to address a broad swath of conduct and apply sentencing guidelines that allow for predictable, fair applications of sentencing guidelines to defendants across the country. So those guidelines are meant to have this gradient effect where the Court can pick and pull from tools depending on how a defendant conducts himself to commit a crime. That is included here.

And if you were to adopt the defendant's arguments, there are a host of proceedings that could be obstructed at Congress violently but have no specific offense characteristic that would apply; they would get 14 points. That is not what the sentencing commission intended here, and the text makes that clear.

The case law also makes it clear -- respectfully,

I disagree with the defendant's position in his reply paper
that the cases the government cited are wrong.

There are a number of cases we cited, such as

Atlantic States Cast Iron Pipe Company, from SDNY -- there is no judicial proceeding tied to that. There is no grand jury proceeding tied to it and there is no quasi-judicial proceeding, yet the court found that the plus 3 was applicable.

United States versus Ali, in the Seventh Circuit,

Judge Posner wrote a relatively short opinion; and he

applied the text. The text says: Substantial

interference -- I will quote it, I should have it memorized

at this point. It says, "The unnecessary expenditure of

substantial governmental or court resources."

So what Judge Posner did was assess what are the governmental resources that were unnecessarily expended in this case. There was a court order involved in the factual basis of the case because there was a court order from a state that said that the spouse -- this was an international kidnapping case -- that the spouse had paternal rights.

That's not why Judge Posner applied the plus 3. He applied it because the government had to expend unnecessary resources to go get the children back from another country.

THE COURT: But isn't the defense right, in some ways that -- in each of those cases that did involve, you know -- that the government has cited, don't they involve some tether to a court proceeding?

In the child kidnapping case --

1 MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: It was -- what? -- a violation of a 3 court order, and so that's why there was this expenditure of 4 expenses for governmental officials to go out and get the 5 kid back --6 MR. EDWARDS: Sure. 7 THE COURT: -- to enforce the court's order. MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, there will always be a 8 9 judicial proceeding tied to these arguments because there 10 will always be a criminal case that brings us to this 11 platform. Right? 12 But the controlling question here is, first of 13 all, what did the defendant do to cause an unnecessary 14 expenditure of governmental resources? 15 And then, two, what does the case law say about 16 how they apply these enhancements? And they just don't reference the court order or 17 the judicial proceeding. They reference Atlantic States 18 19 Cast Iron Pipe [sic], SDNY reference. 20 First of all, there was no court proceeding; it 21 was an entirely congressional investigation. 22 THE COURT: Let me ask you sort of a slightly 23 separate question. 24 I know the government has indicated that both of 25 these disputed SOCs in 2J1.2 have been applied in other

cases arising from the events on January 6th; so I am not the first judge asked to apply these SOCs.

The defendant makes a good point, though, that, in all of those other cases, they were subject to a plea agreement where the defendant waived any right to challenge application of those SOCs. So is this the first time -- I haven't done a survey of my colleagues. But is this the first time that a judge on this court, in the context of January 6th, has had to resolve a dispute over application of these two SOCs and the meaning of administration of justice and whether it applies to the events on January 6th?

MR. EDWARDS: No. So the defendant is right, a number of cases have resolved by plea agreement.

Of course, the Court, as you are well aware, always is the final arbiter as to whether a guideline actually applies, and the courts, in all of those cases, did apply them.

But to Your Honor's question, Judge Moss, in United States versus Miller, this week, on Monday -- Case Number 21-CR-75 -- addressed this issue in a slightly different format than we sit today; but it was still contested. So here is how.

The parties agreed, in their plea agreement, that the plus 3 applied, 2J1.2(b)(2); probation disagreed. And it forced a position where the defense counsel then took a

position that -- if I understand it, that they had agreed in the plea agreement, but that they would not object to the final PSR, understandably.

So it forced Judge Moss to be in a position of resolving when the objections came out -- or when the government objected, how 2J1.1(b)(2) applies. Judge Moss -- unfortunately, the government ordered the transcript during the proceeding, but it didn't come. Judge Moss ruled on this and said, look -- in fact, he relied on -- he explicitly stated that his opinion in *United States versus Montgomery* is not in contradiction with applying 2J1.1(b)(2) in the January 6th context.

United States versus Montgomery dealt with, as this Court is aware, whether 1512(c)(2) applied to the official proceeding, whether January 6th was an official proceeding. That is a different question entirely than: Does the administration of justice in the guidelines — how does that term work — right? — given the context of the guidelines and given the language?

The Court can rely on the case we cited in

DePierre when the Supreme Court explicitly has held: A

statute -- a criminal statute and sentencing guidelines can

use the same term but use them differently, and that's okay.

They do that with regard to how cocaine or crack cocaine

should be defined.

THE COURT: Can I cut to the chase?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes.

THE COURT: Did he apply the 3-offense level?

MR. EDWARDS: I should have started with the bottom-line in front.

Yes. The judge found that 2J1.1(b)(2) applied in this context in part because the administration of justice is a broad term used in the guidelines.

In fact, Your Honor, I wish I had thought of it.

I did not realize the chapter titled Part J was "Offenses
Involving the Administration of Justice"; Judge Moss did.

So, for those reasons, the Court doesn't have to be the
first court to do this. Judge Moss has already ruled on
that in ways that are very similar to what the government
has written in its brief.

I just want to address one or two other points the defense brought out. He explicitly stated that "justice" -- meaning, under any dictionary -- it does not capture what was happening on January 6th. The problem with that is Judge Moss also turns to the dictionary, and the government turned to the dictionary and found that there are plenty of definitions of these broad phrases of "administration" and of "justice," and have found plenty definitions that include: The carrying out of laws.

3 U.S.C. 15, the Twelfth Amendment, Congress —
the Joint Session that was going on that day was certainly
carrying well-delineated statutes and constitutional
amendments that have occurred every four years throughout
the history of this country. So if that's not the
administration of justice, I don't know what is; and the
sentencing commission certainly didn't either then.

THE COURT: All right. One thing that you haven't had, I don't think, is an opportunity to submit a second supplemental memo on -- was the objection raised in the defense's supplemental memo for the first time, which is that the -- relying on U.S. v Tackett, the Sixth Circuit 1999 case, which is cited for the proposition of the 3-offense level. Substantial interference with administration of justice SOC doesn't apply because the government not only must identify a particular expenditure of governmental resources, but must show but-for causation between the defendant's conduct -- those governmental resources would not have been expended; in addition to the final element that Tackett lays out -- that those governmental resources were expended in a substantial amount.

So how does the government review the but-for requirement playing out here if you agree that it applies here or not?

So do you want to respond to that objection to the SOC which, as I said, you haven't had an opportunity to respond to yet.

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

So in one of the cases the government cites,

United States versus Weissmann, there is a footnote that

explains a little bit of context about what that but-for

prong of this test means. It is tied -- according to that

court -- to the element in the definition in Commentary

Note 1 saying: The unnecessary expenditure. The court

stated that this but-for prong was really trying to capture

was the expenditure unnecessary.

There are certain cases where the government already has information; the defendant commits perjury; there is a question of whether or not the expenditure of resources from the government was unnecessary if we already had the information. Certainly, not what is going on here.

What is happening is the defendant is positing to you, respectfully, a false comparison. What he's saying is the Court should compare two fact patterns; one, a mob that overruns the United States Capitol Building on January 6 and causes the \$2.7 million of damages, and growing, without Greg Rubenacker versus a mob that does that with Greg Rubenacker; and says: Well, it would have happened. The government would have had to expend all of these resources.

That's not the comparison.

The comparison is: If Greg Rubenacker and these people do this on January 6th, like they did, versus if they don't -- the comparison here is the government -- there is no question that what happened on January 6 was unnecessary. There is no question that the \$2.7 million that the entities have given the United States government as far as damages was unnecessary; Greg Rubenacker played a role in that. Smaller than some, bigger than some; and we can get to that when we get to restitution.

But as it relates to this enhancement -- which all it does is require the government to show by a preponderance of the evidence that there is an unnecessary expenditure of money, of governmental resources, January 6th is it. There is no question that comparing what has happened throughout the history of the United States to January 6th, 2021 -- that was unnecessary; it had never been done, and it didn't have to be done. So the government now has found itself in a position of picking up the pieces after Greg Rubenacker and others did what they did. So that specific offense characteristic applies.

I did not get an opportunity to address either of his factual arguments; I am happy to address those. It's very related to what the government has just stated.

THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. EDWARDS: But in terms of -- at the very least, his argument that what Greg Rubenacker did that day was not -- did not meet the factual predicate of (b)(1)(B), the plus 8; specifically, whether or not what he did would reasonably foreseeably lead to physical harm to someone or property damage -- respectfully, Your Honor, the government disagrees.

This is a defendant who chased an officer up multiple flights of stairs to the Ohio Clock room while he is backing up and telling them to go away. That is an officer that could have easily been injured given that Defendant's conduct. It is beyond reasonably foreseeable that what he was doing that day may lead to injury.

He smoked marijuana in the Rotunda. He threw liquid in the Rotunda. He breached the Capitol twice. He -- I apologize -- at some point throws himself -- with a line of other rioters, as the videos have shown, Your Honor, against a line of officers, forcing officers to deploy chemical irritant spray; that could hurt other rioters, that could hurt other officers that don't have masks on, that could damage the paintings in the building.

Everything the defendant did that day -- about -- reasonably foreseeably led and did lead to property damage and physical harm to some people, especially when you consider the charges he's pled guilty to.

1 So, Your Honor, for the reasons stated in the 2 supplemental memo, particularly in the government's 3 sentencing memo -- both of these enhancements apply legally and may apply factually. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Edwards. 6 Mr. Matera, any response? 7 MR. MATERA: Just very quickly, please, Your I just wanted to address -- if I understood 8 9 Mr. Edwards correctly, I quess the decision -- did you say 10 Member -- Member --11 MR. EDWARDS: Miller. 12 MR. MATERA: Miller, I'm sorry. I couldn't hear 13 that. 14 So the decision in the Miller case, I am 15 gathering, just came out this week. Certainly, I am not in 16 a position to discuss that; I don't know the facts of the 17 Miller case. But I also --18 THE COURT: Neither do I. 19 MR. MATERA: If I understood correctly, the 20 indication was that only the 3 points were applied and not 21 the 8 points, so, obviously, there is some difference 22 between the two cases. Again, I am not privy to it, so I 23 can't say. I understand Your Honor cannot either at this 24 point in time. 25 But I also would point out that it would not be

1 the first time, in connection with January 6th cases, that different judges in this courthouse can potentially 2 3 disagree. We, obviously, have some different decisions that are out there right now as to the viability of the 4 5 obstruction charge in the first place. So again --6 THE COURT: I think there are unanimous findings on most points having to do with 1512(c)(2) --7 MR. MATERA: Understood. 8 9 THE COURT: And then there is -- one of my 10 colleagues who is discrete on ultimate conclusion. 11 MR. MATERA: Right. Which, obviously, at some 12 point, is going to have to be resolved; whether it's at this 13 level or the next level --14 THE COURT: I think there is a motion for reconsideration pending before that colleague. 15 16 MR. EDWARDS: I was aware of that. I don't know 17 that it's been resolved yet from the last I heard; but I was informed that there was a motion for reconsideration. 18 19 So, again, I just wanted to point out to the 20 Court -- without having this Miller decision or the facts, I 21 am not in a position right now to make any more comments 22 than I just have to Your Honor. We don't know. So to 23 consider specifically what went on there, we are kind of --24 would require us to do it in a vacuum right now. 25 THE COURT: I am not going to rely on the Miller

1 decision repeated only orally here. I was curious. 2 MR. MATERA: Got you. I understand. 3 You had asked the question during the plea hearing as well; at that time there wasn't. Apparently, now there 4 5 is. I would be curious to see what that one says as well. Thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Matera. MR. EDWARDS: If I can just clarify one factor. 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. EDWARDS: I may have misstated -- I don't believe I did. 11 12 The posture of the *United States versus Miller* was 13 that probation had applied the plus 8, not the plus 3. So 14 there was no disagreement as to the plus 8. 15 Judge Moss ultimately ruled that both would be in 16 play and, in fact, explicitly said because of his ruling on 17 what "administration of justice" means, he felt like 18 (b)(1)(B) was the same term and should be interpreted the 19 same. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 21 It's good to know. Sometimes, we rely on hearings 22 and defense counsel and the government to let us know what 23 is going on in courtrooms at different floors of the 24 courthouse since we're all very busy and are not keeping

track of what, precisely, all of our colleagues are doing on

25

these cases.

All right. So I am going to rule on the objections to the SOCs raised by the defense in terms of application of those SOCs in 2J1.2 to the facts of this case. These objections are overruled.

I will begin the discussion with the 8-offense level increase by application of the SOC provided in the guideline 2J1.2(b)(1)(B). The defendant objects to this -- application of this SOC for two reasons; stating, one: At no time did Defendant cause or threaten physical injury to any person; and, two, just as an important, the event at issue does not involve the administration of justice as it was not related to a judicial or grand jury proceeding.

Those are quotes from the defendant's memo -- original memo, at pages 9 through 10.

I am going to address these reasons seriatim.

First, the defendant argues that the SOC, at 2J1.2(b)(1)(B), is inapplicable because he did not at any time cause or threaten physical injury to any person, which is a requirement or trigger for application of that SOC.

In support of his position, the defendant cites dictionary definitions of the term "threat," including from the Oxford English Dictionary, which says this means to:

Declare, usually conditionally, one's intention of inflicting injury upon a person; Webster's Third New

International Dictionary definition, which describes a "threat" as: Expression of an intention to inflict loss or harm on another by illegal means and especially by means involving coercion or duress of the person threatened; and the American Heritage dictionary definition that a "threat" means: An expression of an intention to inflict pain, injury, evil, or punishment.

One of these definitions requires an actual verbal communication of the threatening intent. Expression of a threat can be physical, not verbal threats of harm.

Here, the defendant argues he did not make -"make any threats to cause physical injury," though he
certainly did taunt and verbally harass police officers.

His assertion that, "there is no proof whatsoever that he ever threatened to cause physical injury to anyone" is contrary to the actual evidence in this case and appears based on an overly limited understanding of threatening conduct to require a verbal threat.

The defendant's physical movements inside the Capitol communicated threats to law enforcement. This was not one of the rioters who, on January 6th, merely walked inside the Capitol for a few minutes or seconds and then left with no encounter or engagement with any law enforcement officers. The obstructive conduct to which this defendant pled guilty included joining the mob and chasing

Officer Goodman up the stairs outside the Senate Chamber, and then pointing and yelling at officers upstairs in the Ohio Clock Corridor at a time when Officer Goodman and the other officers were totally outnumbered.

This defendant was one in that crowd who disobeyed Officer Goodman and the other police officers in the Ohio Clock Corridor when they refused to disperse and leave the building immediately.

His pursuit of Officer Goodman up the stairs into the Ohio Clock Corridor, in blatant disregard for this officer's instructions to stand back and leave, as the crowd of angry, yelling rioters swelled around him, constituted a clear and direct threat to the safety of Officer Goodman and could have led to Officer Goodman's physical injury.

Officer Goodman describes this moment in what he says, in his statement, was such a long day which, for him, started at 5 a.m. that day, on January 6th. He had already seen pandemonium that looked like something from medieval times, fighting hand-to-hand combat at the west front of the Capitol.

And as Officer Goodman describes his confrontation with the rioters who had breached the building at the bottom of the stairs outside the Senate Chamber, he says: The rioters were yelling at me, screaming obscenities at me.

The rioters were saying they were for us; they just wanted

to take back our country. Others were being antagonistic, asking what we were going to do, shoot them? One person said: There is one of him, and a hundred of us.

Officer Goodman says: I had no idea what to believe -- what to believe what their intentions were; and I retreated to the top of the stairs where there was another line of officers at the top of the stairs.

The defendant's yelling and taunting at the officers, in the Ohio Clock Corridor, in an agitated manner with his finger outstretched was threatening conduct, regardless of what he precisely said and whether those words contained threats of physical injury to those officers.

This is especially true given the surrounding circumstances. These were officers, as I said, confronted with a mob of angry people shouting, shaking their fingers and fists at them, and refusing to comply with instructions to vacate the area; this was threatening conduct. And that is just what happened during Defendant's first illegal entry inside the Capitol.

On his second illegal entry inside the Capitol, he joined in the face-off confrontation with officers in the Rotunda. In direct defiance of the officers' attempts to clear the area, he swung a plastic bottle at an officer's head; sprayed liquid from his bottle across multiple officers who are seen on the video footage to flinch in

response. And given the amount of tear gas and other chemical sprays being deployed, both by rioters and by the police, you can imagine why the police officers were flinching. This was also threatening conduct.

Angry members of the mob including the defendant escalated the situation in the Rotunda. They refused to follow the orders of the police, overwhelmed law enforcement, and engaged in pushing and threatening -- the bottom line is: This is threatening conduct.

The defendant suggests that his conduct involving the water bottle in the Rotunda is not relevant to Count 2 because the acts constituting obstruction of an official proceeding in Count 2 happened at a different time. As I mentioned during my colloquy with Mr. Matera, this effort to slice and dice his actions inside the Capitol on January 6, 2021, into separate little events misunderstands the overall nature of Defendant's offense conduct, all of which constitutes obstruction of the congressional proceeding covered in Count 2.

The fact that 24 minutes separated Defendant's first and second illegal entries into the Capitol Building is inconsequential, especially given that the defendant clearly stayed in close proximity to the Capitol Building between initially leaving and going back in. His actions during both illegal entries inside the Capitol could likely

have led to physical injury to Officer Goodman, the officers in the Ohio Clock Corridor, the officers the defendant assaulted with his water bottle, and as part of the mob pushing against police lines in the Rotunda -- all of his actions that day contributed to the delay of the congressional certification proceeding.

And all of his conduct is relevant conduct under the guideline at 1B1.1 [sic], and the Court agrees with the government and probation office that the defendant's threatening conduct toward and assaults on multiple law enforcement officers protecting the Capitol and members of Congress during the certification of the 2020 Electoral College vote renders this provision applicable. And the fact that he was not heard to utter explicit verbal threats of physical violence towards law enforcement does not bar application of the 8-level SOC in his case.

So, therefore, his objection to the SOC at 2J1.2(b)(1)(B) -- because he did not verbally threaten serious injury to a person or property while engaging in his obstructive conduct -- is overruled.

Second, he argues that the 8-offense level SOC under the guideline at Section 2J1.2(b)(1)(B) does not apply to him because -- and I quote, "The event in issue does not involve the administration of justice as it was not related to a judicial or grand jury proceeding." That's what he

stated in his memorandum at pages 9 through 10. But he also subsequently expanded "administration of justice" to cover both judicial and grand jury proceedings, as well as quasi-judicial proceedings.

This objection overlaps with his objection to application of the 3-offense level SOC at 2J1.2(b)(2); so I am going to discuss those two SOCs in tandem, when it comes to this objection of whether administration of justice covers what occurred on January 6th.

Each SOC focuses on a distinct harm. The 8-offense level SOC, at 2J1.2(b)(1)(B), requires: Causing physical injury or the threat thereof in order to obstruct the administration of justice; while the 3-offense level SOC, at the guideline Section 2J1.2(b)(2), requires: Substantial interference with the administration of justice in a manner that draws unsubstantial governmental resources. Both SOCs require the offense conduct in some way hinder the administration of justice, a critical phase, as I've said, the defendant argues is inapplicable because the business of Congress, on January 6, 2021, to certify the Electoral College results did not involve the administration of justice because it was not related to judicial grand jury or quasi-judicial -- quasi-administrative proceedings.

I appreciate that the phrase used in the SOCs "the administration of justice" most often calls to mind court

proceedings where the application of law is regularly, if not always, at stake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The defendant is incorrect that the phrase "the administration of justice" is limited to the activities of courts or grand juries, or even federal agency adjudicatory proceedings.

The defendant cites several cases in support of his argument that the phrase "administration of justice" applies only to judicial or grand jury proceedings but those cases do not prove his point. In particular, he relies on the Supreme Court case of U.S. v Aguilar, 515 U.S. 593, from 1995, which addressed the definition of due administration of justice used in 18 U.S.C. Section 1503. This is somewhat ironic since Aquilar emphasized the breadth of processes that fall under the umbrella of the phrase of "the administration of justice," which is an omnibus clause in 18 U.S.C. Section 1503, which -- a statute that prohibits persons from endeavoring to influence, obstruct or impede the due administration of justice. And the challenge the Supreme Court had in Aguilar was to take what they viewed as a very broad phrase and to focus it more narrowly because, as the Supreme Court explained, this catchall clause was far more general in scope than the earlier clauses of the statute, which focused on tampering with petit, grand jurors, court officers, and magistrate judges.

In his concurrence in that case, Justice Scalia explicitly rejected the idea that since all the rest of Section 1503 refers only to actions directed at jurors and court officers, the omnibus clause -- the catchall clause -- using the phrase "administration of justice" refers only to actions directed at jurors and court officers. The omnibus clause could not apply to actions directed at witnesses or other agents not previously named in the statute.

Instead, Justice Scalia explained that the omnibus clause was one of the several distinct and independent prohibitions contained in 1503, and explained his understanding that: The due administration of justice to apply only to judicial and grand jury proceedings would render it superfluous. Thus, both the majority opinion and the concurrence by Justice Scalia, clearly understood the due administration of justice to be a much broader category that included but was not limited to judicial proceedings referenced in this statute at Section 1503.

In any event, as the Supreme Court has noted, terms may and regularly do carry different definitions when appearing in a statute versus a guideline. See *DePierre v United States*, 564 U.S. 70, jump cite 88, from 2011, rejecting the argument that "cocaine base," as used in one statute, must be given the same definition as it has under the guidelines.

Relatedly, Mr. Matera, on behalf of the defendant, cites to language in Judge Moss's decision in *U.S. v*Montgomery, stating that: Congress does not engage in adjudicative proceedings or even quasi-adjudicative proceedings or in the administration of justice aside from when it investigates and tries cases of impeachment and when it acts as a judge of elections returns and qualifications of its own members because, as a matter of separation of powers, that is not what Congress does.

Defendant argues this language means that even in this very courthouse -- and I'm quoting from the defendant's briefing, "It has been held that Congress does not engage in the administration of justice."

Montgomery is irrelevant to the question of whether the SOCs in the guideline at 2J1.2 for interfering with the administration of justice apply to obstruction of a congressional proceeding. The issue in Montgomery was not how to interpret the meaning of the phrase "administration of justice," but to determine whether Congress's certification of the Electoral College votes constituted an official proceeding of Congress for purposes of the obstruction statute at 18 U.S.C. Section 1512(c)(2) to resolve a defendant's motion to dismiss that count of the indictment.

The phrase "administration of justice" does not

even appear in the statute that was under scrutiny by Judge
Moss in *Montgomery*. Thus, *Montgomery* had nothing to do with
the guidelines.

As the government points out, the court in Montgomery said nothing about the meaning of "administration of justice" as used in the guideline at 2J1.2, which applies to a broad swath of obstruction statutes that reach obstruction of nonjudicial proceedings, or any other guideline.

In fact, the same judge, Judge Moss, who issued the *Montgomery* decision, has applied the 3-offense level SOC to a defendant for his conduct at the Capitol on January 6th, in *U.S. v Hodgkins*, 21-CR-188 and -- based on the hearing this morning -- apparently, Judge Moss has also applied it in another case earlier this week -- in *Miller*.

Notably, as I will discuss in more detail shortly, in understanding the meaning of the phrase "administration of justice," in the guideline at 2J1.2, where the actual nature of the proceeding is relevant rather than the -- where the proceeding takes place. On this point, I want to -- you point to another part of the *Montgomery* decision, which I think is actually more probative here about the nature of the proceeding that was at stake on January 6th.

Montgomery emphasizes the ways that the January 6, 2021, proceeding before Congress had, "All the trappings of

a formal hearing before an official body" engaged in a fact-finding process.

Specifically, Montgomery notes that the certification process, "Requires the Congress formally to convene to conduct official business, to consider objections, and to render a final decision" at a specific "time, hour and place" with the Vice President as the "presiding officer."

It also sets a process for opening, presenting, and acting upon the certificates of the electoral votes, and provides rules for propounding or resolving objections, with each House withdrawing to its own chamber to debate the objection and render a decision.

Furthermore, the certificates and papers

purporting to be certificates of the electoral votes are

akin to records or documents that are produced during

judicial proceedings, and any objections to these

certificates can be analogized to evidentiary objections.

All of these features of the congressional proceeding to count Electoral College votes fall easily within the contours covered by the phrase "administration of justice."

Next, the defendant suggests that the SOCs in Section 2J1.1 -- 1.2, originated before the official proceeding provision was added to the statute in Section

1512 in 2002 with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and were never amended to encompass the concept of an official proceeding under Section 1512.

Based on this understanding of the legislative history and chronological development of the guidelines, the defendant concludes that the SOCs were not drafted to apply to congressional proceedings. See the defendant's memo at pages 13 and 14. This argument simply has the legislative history for the statute and the guidelines wrong.

The term "official proceeding," in its definition as a proceeding before the Congress, has appeared in the statute 18 U.S.C. Section 1512 since the statute was enacted in 1982, as part of the Victim and Witness Protection Act of 1982; two years before any guidelines were even first promulgated.

A straightforward, chronological understanding of the statute and the guideline leads to the contrary conclusion that the defendant reaches; specifically, that Section 2J1.2, and its SOC enhancements, are applicable to all of the statutes to which it specifically references, including all convictions under 18 U.S.C. Section 1512, including those arising from obstruction of official proceedings of Congress.

The legal arguments put forward by Defendant to support the position that the phrase "administration of

justice" in the guideline at 2J1.2 does not apply to obstruction of congressional proceedings, simply do not hold up under scrutiny.

The defendant's position must be rejected for several other reasons as well.

First, as I just mentioned, the offense to which the defendant pled guilty in Count 2, obstructing, including -- obstructing and impeding an official proceeding, that is -- a proceeding before Congress under Section 1512(c)(2), is explicitly referenced to and covered by the guideline at 2J1.2 when the guidelines were first promulgated.

And his understanding of "administration of justice" is so limited that, if its narrower interpretation were correct, this phrase pertains only to court and grand jury proceedings and quasi-administrative proceedings; the SOC enhancements would not apply to numerous other statutes referred to this guideline.

As the government points out, if Defendant were correct, this guideline and SOCs might not apply to a number of other statutes covered by the guideline including:

18 U.S.C. Section 551, concealing or destroying invoices or papers relating to imported merchandise; Section 1516, obstruction of a federal audit; 1519, destruction of documents in an agency investigation, or 26 U.S.C. Section

7212, interfering with administration of the internal revenue code.

There is simply no indication in guideline

Section 2J1.2 that the SOCs containing the phrase

"administration of justice" were meant to apply to only some

of the statutes referenced to this guideline and not to

apply to all of the cases involving obstruction of

proceedings taking place outside of courts or grand juries;

that simply doesn't make sense.

So now let's turn to the phrase itself, "the administration of justice," which Defendant argues is limited to these judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings.

To be sure, no definition of this phrase,

"administration of justice" is set out in the guidelines.

And perhaps -- should the sentencing commission be fully staffed again with actual commissioners who can conduct business, perhaps the guideline at 2J1.2, in its definitions, could be made clearer, and more explicitly cover obstruction of official government proceedings, including congressional proceedings that occur outside courts, grand juries, or agency adjudications.

Yet, the lack of express reference to congressional proceedings does not mean that the sentencing commission meant to exclude such proceedings from the coverage of the SOCs with use of the phrase "administration"

of justice." This phrase is sufficiently broad to encompass nonjudicial official proceedings, such as Congress's certification of the Electoral College votes -- results.

And the background notes to the guideline at 2J1.2 explain that the nature of "obstruction of justice," is intended to be understood broadly. There are numerous offenses of varying seriousness that may constitute obstruction of justice as the list of the referenced offenses provided by the government already demonstrates.

The guideline -- the government cites several cases where the SOCs have been applied to offense conduct involving interference with nonjudicial proceedings and to obstruction that led to the government's expenditure of resources, including U.S. v Ali, a Seventh Circuit case from 2017; U.S. v Atlantic States Cast Iron Pipe Company, a District of New Jersey case from 2009 where the J1.2 guideline SOCs were applied based on the defendant's interference with OSHA investigations into a workplace accident; and other cases.

The defendant points out that these cases are related, however loosely, to judicial proceedings such that the government has failed to show through its own cases cited that the administration of justice was meant to include nonjudicial proceedings. See the defendant's supplemental memo at 2 and 5.

These cases do show that the SOCs have generally been applied to proceedings that have some kind of nexus -- a close nexus to a judicial or administrative hearing; they do not tell us that these SOCs cannot apply to the defendant's conviction for obstruction of an official congressional proceeding through his involvement in the Capitol riot.

The events of January 6th were as novel as they were serious, and so the lack of precedent applying this SOC to similar congressional proceedings is unsurprising, and not determinative.

The government points to other January 6th-related cases where other judges on this court have applied either or both SOCs based on the defendant's obstruction of the congressional proceeding, citing the case of Scott Fairlamb, at 21-CR-120; Jacob Chansley, at 21-CR-3; and Paul Hodgkins, 21-CR-188; and now, I guess at this hearing, U.S. v Miller before Judge Moss. There is also U.S. v Wilson, 21-CR-345.

The defendant rightly notes that for the defendants in all of these cases -- except *Miller*, which was discussed and brought up today -- the defendants in the cases were subject to plea agreements, and so the Court was not presented with a dispute to resolve with respect to application of those SOCs in those cases.

As I have mentioned, the guidelines themselves do

not provide a definition of "administration of justice"; and Black's Law Dictionary defines the phrase "administration of justice" broadly to mean, and I quote, "the maintenance of right within a political community by means of the physical force of the state; the state's application of the sanction of force to the rule of right." In other words, that the state would use mechanisms, such as the police or prosecutors, to force compliance with or maintain a right; that is not necessarily tied to a court or a particular tribunal.

In a closely-related definition, Black's Law

Dictionary defines the phrase "due administration of

justice" to mean -- and I quote, "the proper functioning and

integrity of a court or other tribunal and the proceedings

before it in accordance with the rights guaranteed to the

parties." Again, this phrase is not necessarily tied to a

court of law, but also applies to any other tribunal.

The case law also provides some definitions of administration of justice. One court, the Second Circuit, in the Rosner v United States case described this phrase to mean: Performing acts or duties required by law.

Another Fifth Circuit case from 2012, U.S. v

Richardson, defined, "due administration of justice," as I

quote, "The performance of acts required by law in the

discharge of duties such as, but not exclusively, appearing

as a witness and giving truthful testimony when subpoenaed."

Again, these case law definitions do not tie the performance of acts or duties required by law to proceedings in a courthouse.

The question for the Court to resolve then is the kind of activity Congress was engaged in on January 6, 2021, covered by the phrase "administration of justice"?

As the defendant concedes, the certification process was a proceeding before Congress and, therefore, an "official proceeding" for purposes of 18 U.S.C. Section 1512(c)(2), as I and other judges on this court have uniformly held.

He argues that, and I quote, "No stretching of the definition of justice could lead to the conclusion that 'administration of justice' was meant to apply to the certification of an election because it was not sufficiently judicial or quasi-judicial." See his supplemental memo at page 3.

Clearly, the certification of the Electoral

College votes did not take place before a court of law or an executive agency adjudicator, and for the defendant that settles the matter.

Construing the phrase "administration of law," in so limited a fashion as Defendant has done, is not that simple. While the congressional certification of the

Electoral College results may not be the first thing that comes to mind, as I've said, when one contemplates "the administration of justice," the certification process involves members of Congress convening to fulfill a duty established under the Constitution and federal law. In other words, to perform acts or duties required by law, as the Second Circuit in Rosner and the Fifth Circuit in Richardson, so defined the term.

The certification requirement is, in fact, a formal peaceful process to resolve any disputes over the counting of Electoral College votes for President.

As Judge Moss detailed eloquently in Montgomery, and as I have already described, this process of dispute resolution provides an opportunity for objections to be raised to count any state's Electoral College votes, an opportunity for discussion and adjudication before the process is completed.

This has been, in the past, a largely pro forma process, but it has always demanded that Congress engage in the process according to set rules for resolving objections, before the final vote is counted, the winner declared and certified as the next President of the United States.

We may not always think about the administration of justice and the democratic process being so closely intertwined, but what Congress was doing on January 6, 2021,

was recognizing, protecting, and upholding the democratic choices of millions of voters across each of the states, as it heard and resolved objections to the certification of any state's exercise of their electoral votes.

Congress was convened to ensure that the official results of the presidential election accurately reflected the choices that had been made weeks earlier at the ballot box. The successful completion of that process is a stable basis upon which the authority of the incoming President is built.

Congress's tasks on January 6, 2021, fit easily into the definition courts have given to the phrase "administration of justice." When Congress convened to count the Electoral votes, by "performing acts or duties required by law," Congress was maintaining "the right within a political community," as Black's Law Dictionary states, to have votes counted in a particular manner, using "the physical force of the state" in the form of law enforcement officers located in and around the Capitol to secure the proceedings; though that security was severely tested and breached by the actions of the defendant and others who illegally entered the Capitol that day.

The constitutionally mandated procedure falls within the meaning of the phrase "administration of justice."

Causing or threatening injury to persons or property to obstruct justice is just as serious when the justice involves the fair and proper administration of the laws governing congressional proceedings as when it concerns courtroom proceedings. For these reasons, both SOCs are applicable to Defendant's conduct on January 6th.

I need to address the last argument or objection that the defendant raised in the supplemental briefing that the 3-offense level SOC under the guideline at 2J1.2(b)(2) does not apply because there was no substantial interference with the administration of justice.

The defendant argues it doesn't apply because, in order for the enhancement to apply, the government must identify a particular expenditure of governmental resources which but for the defendant's conduct would not have been expended, and which is substantial in amount. See the defendant's supplemental memo, at page 12, citing U.S. v Tackett, the Sixth Circuit case from 1999. And he argues that the government has offered no support that it was his conduct that specifically caused the need for these resources to be expended.

Tackett itself -- the Sixth Circuit case from

1999 -- cites a district court case, U.S. v Weissmann, a

Southern District of New York case from 1998, as support for its enumeration of these three requirements for application

of this SOC. In Weissmann, the court listed, without citation, the same three requirements which it says it had deduced from other decisions; and, in a footnote, explained that the purpose of the second element — the but-for element — was to give meaning to the requirement in the guideline application notes that the expenditure of substantial governmental resources be unnecessary.

This but-for requirement has not been interpreted to create an inflexible cause-in-fact standard, where the enhancement may only be applied where the government can show that a defendant's own actions were the single direct reason for the substantial government expenditure.

Rather -- based on the text of the guideline itself -- there must be a general causal tie between the defendant's actions and the otherwise unnecessary expenditure. See U.S. v Gray, Sixth Circuit case from 2012, affirming application of the 2J1.2(b)(2) SOC where the defendant's falsification of documents "albeit" -- and I quote, "Not standing alone, made the investigation into the victim's death more difficult and delayed Defendant's trial for four years."

This SOC is applied in cases where multiple defendants engaged in obstructive conduct, where pure but-for causality tied to a specific defendant's personal actions would be difficult to prove. See, for example,

U.S. v Atlantic States Cast Iron Pipe Company, 627
F.Supp. 2d 188, District of New Jersey, 2009.

Where, as here, the defendant acted in concert with hundreds of other rioters to obstruct congressional proceedings; no one person in the crowd could have created the same degree of havoc, chaos, and disruption as the collective group did, and the government need not demonstrate a specific defendant as singularly responsible for the unnecessary expense.

Instead, the government need only show a direct causal line from all of the participants in the mob, which includes a specific defendant, that collectively resulted in a situation causing the unnecessary expenditure of substantial governmental resources.

As for the defendant's assertion there is no "proof" -- quote, "that he was aiding and abetting any of the other individuals present that day" or "had the same intentions" of others who stormed the Capitol, this is belied by the video evidence in this case.

During the melee in the Rotunda, for example, the defendant used the means available to him -- his water bottle, his voice, his body -- to contribute to the chaos and continue the breach of the Capitol, thereby delaying the completion of the Electoral College vote.

Whatever his intentions were when he arrived in

the Capitol that day, the videos make clear that this defendant was an active participant in the breach, contributed to the violence against police officers that day; and but for the actions of the rioters that day, the hundreds of law enforcement officers and National Guard members called in to address the situation would not have been necessary; nor would the hundreds of thousands of dollars of repairs to the Capitol have been necessary.

His conduct contributed to this unnecessary expenditure of substantial governmental resources during and after the riot, and resulted in substantial interference with the administration of justice. Therefore, his actions sit firmly in the category of serious offense conduct which the sentencing commission determined merited greater punishment.

As a final point, even if this Court were to find that SOCs predicated on the administration of justice did not apply to a congressional proceeding to certify Electoral College votes, this Court is fully authorized, under the guidelines in determining the appropriate sentence to impose, to consider, without limitation, any information concerning the conduct of the defendant, unless otherwise prohibited by law. See the guideline at 1B1.4.

The Court is not precluded from considering information that the guidelines do not take into account in

determining a sentencing within the guideline range or from considering that information determining whether and to what extent to depart from the guidelines because the guidelines were designed to address the heartland of typical cases embodying the conduct each guideline describes.

Where conduct significantly differs from the norm, the Court is not limited by what is provided in the guidelines but may, in such unusual cases, impose a sentence outside the recommended sentencing range. And what happened on January 6, 2021, was outside the norm and outside the heartland of cases -- in fact, the first time in our history where the peaceful transition of power to a new administration was disrupted by mob action attacking the Capitol.

So even if defendant were correct -- which he is not -- that the SOCs in the guideline 2J1.2 did not cover congressional proceedings, these SOCs capture specific harms warranting an increase in sentence severity, like causing or threatening physical harm to another person or so interfering with a proceeding as to result in the unexpected expenditure of substantial government resources; and those specific harms may, by analogy, apply equally to the offense conduct that occurred against our legislative branch of government on January 6, 2021, and warrant corresponding increases in the severity of the sentence by way of a

departure or a variance.

Okay. Now, having resolved the objections to those SOCs, that also resolves the defendant's objection that the guideline at Section 2A2.2 applies because based on my conclusion as to application of the two SOCs in 2J1.2, that guideline produces the highest offense level and will control the application of the guidelines and the appropriate sentencing range here.

So with those objections resolved, before I turn to the final calculation of the guidelines, I think I am going to take a short break because my court reporter needs to have a break, as well as I do.

Okay. So we'll take a five-minute break.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. So with those objections to application of the guidelines resolved, I will now review how the guidelines apply in this case.

The highest guideline offense level for the group counts is the guideline at Section 2J1.2, which provides a base offense level of 14 under 2J1.2(a); plus an additional 8 offense levels under the SOC at 2J1.2(b)(1)(B) because the offense involved causing or threatening physical injury to a person, or property damage, in order to obstruct the administration of justice; and 3 offense levels under the SOC at the guideline 2J1.2(b)(2) because the offense

resulted in the substantial interference with the administration of justice, specifically the proceedings of Congress. This results in an adjusted offense level of 25, which is reduced by 3 offense levels for acceptance of responsibility for the offense, under the guideline at Sections 3E1.1(a) and 3E1.1(b); and a total offense level of 22, in combination with a Criminal History Category of 1 produces an advisory guidelines range of 41 to 51 months imprisonment.

However, for Counts 4, 5, and 6, the maximum sentence that may be imposed is 12 months per count based on the statutes of conviction; and the defendant is also subject to a supervised release range following imprisonment of 1 to 3 years, and a guideline advisory fine range of 15,000 to \$150,000. The special assessment is \$100 for each of Counts 1 through 3; \$25 for each of Counts 4, 5, and 6; and \$10 for each of Counts 7 through 10; for a total special assessment of \$415.

So before I turn to consideration of the defendant's request for a downward departure under 5K2.0(a)(3), let me just hear from the parties whether there are any objections, for the record, about this guideline determination not already noted on the record from the government.

MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: And, Mr. Matera. 2 MR. MATERA: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Matera, I know you 4 have raised a motion for a downward departure for a sentence 5 below the guideline range of 41 to 51 months under 6 5K2.0(a)(3), and you ask that he be sentenced to 12 months 7 of home incarceration. Do you -- and I think your argument for that is that he should be given credit for his early 8 9 quilty plea. 10 And if you want to step forward and make your 11 arguments for the downward departure, I will hear you. 12 MR. MATERA: Judge, that was, in part, based on 13 our hope of having succeeded in our argument. 14 THE COURT: Of course. 15 So, obviously, we understand that our MR. MATERA: 16 request may be changed slightly. But, yes, we are 17 requesting a downward departure based on my client's circumstances as set forth in our sentencing memoranda that 18 19 we had submitted to the Court. 20 My client is a 26-year-old young man; obviously, a 21 very impression- --22 THE COURT: Well, before you get to the 23 Section 3553(a) factors, my understanding is that you were 24 seeking the motion for a downward departure, which I am

required to address because of his acceptance of

25

1 responsibility --2 MR. MATERA: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- because he had -- because he had eaten the whole indictment, for example. 4 5 MR. MATERA: Right. Correct. 6 THE COURT: And so I was a little bit puzzled 7 about this, about why it is he needed this extraordinary downward departure for acceptance of responsibility beyond 8 9 three-offense levels already provided in the quideline 10 calculation. 11 MR. MATERA: Right. Sure. As Your Honor has 12 stated -- and no one will dispute this, obviously -- the 13 events that took place on January 6th, obviously, were a 14 dark spot on our country's history. 15 Mr. Rubenacker has deeply regretted his 16 involvement -- until this day continues to deeply regret his 17 involvement. From the very beginning of this case, when he 18 first contacted me at least, he has always expressed his 19 intent -- his regret and also his intention to resolve this 20 case quickly and take responsibility for his actions. 21 THE COURT: But he was arrested in February 2021. 22 MR. MATERA: Correct. 23 THE COURT: And he pled guilty in February 2022, a 24 year later. 25 MR. MATERA: Correct.

THE COURT: I have people who -- between arrest and the time they enter their plea, it's like less than three months. So I looked -- I went and checked; was like: How prompt was this plea? And a year is not prompt.

MR. MATERA: Well, not prompt as far as time goes. But this case involved situations where the government was in the process of getting us documentation, getting us videos which, as Your Honor is aware, took an exceptionally long time.

When Mr. Rubenacker was first arrested, he was not charged with all of the -- with the obstruction and the assault, so those charges came down the road. So it wasn't completely a one year of those charges standing that he was still deciding what to do.

THE COURT: Yes. Is the moral of the story is when you're only charged with misdemeanors -- because the government is still sifting through terabytes of video -- you should take that plea offer as promptly as possible because it's only going to get worse for you?

MR. MATERA: Understandably, there was no plea offer at that point in time. Mr. Rubenacker, being a resident of the state of New York, was detained there and was brought to the Eastern District of New York where we, ultimately, had a removal proceeding. So we didn't even get to speak to anyone who would be handling this matter until

at some point down the road after we agreed to the removal proceeding.

So there was never a misdemeanor offer made; that was just, ultimately, what was stated by the Assistant United States Attorneys in the Eastern District of New York. Certainly, we weren't in a position to take any kind of plea at that point in time; it was only after that that we were made aware of Mr. Rubenacker's other charges or what, ultimately, became his indictment on these additional charges. And myself, as an attorney, obviously requested to view the videos just so I could give adequate representation to my client and confirm, yes, this does make out the charge; this is what we need to do. That's more on me than it is on my client. My client did want to plead guilty. He didn't understand, obviously, the full nature of all of the charges at that point in time.

So once we did get all of the videos, and we sat down -- we went through it. We even sat down with the government through a reverse-proffer situation so that he fully understood and, even then, was adamant that this was something that he wanted to accept responsibility for and plead guilty to. So I do understand what Your Honor is saying, that it was 12 months; but it wasn't 12 months of everything being equal. It was 12 months of getting all of the discovery which, again, understandably took an

exceptionally long period of time.

And once we -- it wasn't just a matter of getting the videos, it was also a matter of getting the videos -- as Your Honor I am sure is well aware, there must be thousands and thousands of hours of video -- and then getting the videos and then determining which ones include

Mr. Rubenacker, and parsing all of that out. That contributed to the time between when he had indicated to me that he wanted to resolve this, and when we, ultimately, were able to do so.

There was also a delay, again, because of a disagreement between counsel and the government. The government had made their plea offer -- I want to say -- July or August. Mr. Rubenacker was amenable, but we had this dispute over the offense levels. Again, nothing that Mr. Rubenacker even understood as much as I explained it to him, and then he, obviously, did understand what we were arguing over. But it wasn't anything of him not saying: Let's go ahead and plead guilty. He had always said that from the beginning; it was just getting through all of the legalese. And, ultimately, as Your Honor indicated, he showed how much he wanted to accept responsibility by pleading guilty to the entire indictment.

When we could not come to an agreement despite -- and I am sure Mr. Edwards would agree -- despite several

months of trying to come to an agreement -- when we couldn't get to that point, he nevertheless still said to me: Mike, I want to turn around and resolve this; let's let the judge know. What can I do? And I explained to him his rights, and that would include pleading to the entire indictment which, obviously, would allow him to maintain his right of appeal and his right to dispute those objections; and that's what we did. So that's the only reason why there was the delay that Your Honor sees.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MATERA: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Matera.

Mr. Edwards, do you want to respond?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.

So the defendant is right, he did plead guilty; and he got a minus three for that.

So just to orient the Court -- I am sure the Court is aware: His guidelines would have been 57 to 71. And now his guidelines, after the resolution of the objections, are 41 to 51. The top end -- from top to bottom, that's a 30-month difference; that's a benefit, and the government doesn't disagree with that benefit.

In terms of finding some middle ground between pleading guilty and getting his minus three and providing substantial assistance to the government, which -- our door

is always open; he was more than welcome to come in and talk to us about people around him, that area does not exist.

The government just respectfully disagrees that anything is more warranted than the minus three here.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. MATERA: May I please?

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Matera, anything further?

MR. MATERA: Sure.

Just so we're clear, at no time was it requested that Mr. Rubenacker provide any additional information nor, for that matter, did he have any more than what the video showed. But he never once said: No, I am not willing to come and talk to the government.

In fact, as I am sure Your Honor has read in my papers, he did cooperate and did give truthful testimony before the House Select Committee. So to suggest that he wasn't willing to come in and say something about what happened and what was going on with those around him, I can assure you that although it was never asked of us by the Department of Justice, it was asked of us and it was given in a rather lengthy testimony before the House Select Committee. I have not had the opportunity to review the transcript; they actually just contacted me yesterday to tell me. I'm making arrangements to do so. But

1 THE COURT: When did he do that?

You make a passing reference to him talking to the House Select Committee, but you don't --

MR. MATERA: It was right after --

THE COURT: -- give me a date or any other details about it.

MR. MATERA: Sure. It was right after -- about three weeks -- I have the exact date on my computer, I can check for you; but it was about three or four weeks after the plea was entered. Obviously, they wanted to wait because we were working out the plea deal -- until that was done; but he did give truthful testimony.

He was questioned for several hours about everything from what happened outside -- or what was going on outside the Capitol Building as far away as the time of then-President Trump's speech and what was happening there, what led to the walk towards the Capitol and, ultimately, the entry into the Capitol, and everything that was going on inside. So all of that was gone through in extensive detail. So, again, it has never been a situation where Mr. Rubenacker was not willing and ready to come in and help out in any way that he could; he did, as soon as he was asked.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, May I respond?

Unless Your Honor has any questions, I am happy to respond --

THE COURT: You can respond briefly.

MR. EDWARDS: Just briefly, in terms of never having been asked, the government has been involved in a number of cooperation plea agreements that have been very public; I myself have been part of three of those as they relate to January 6th. It was readily apparent to anyone paying attention that the government's door is open and people should cooperate.

As it relates to having never asked

Mr. Rubenacker, I am not sure the government needs to -- I

don't understand the point that the government needs to ask

him to be remorseful and come in and cooperate; he should be

willing to do that if he thinks that a significant downward

variance is warranted based on that cooperation.

Second, as to the House Select Committee

cooperation -- it's a different branch. The government nor

does the Court have any way of vetting this cooperation,

whether it was truthful or accurate. I don't mean to in any

way say Mr. Matera is not telling the truth, we just don't

have any way to verify the trust, or verify the process.

The government has been clear throughout, whenever having

conversations with Mr. Matera, that it would not play any

role in the government's handling of this case.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. So the defendant has requested a downward departure under the guideline at 5K2.0(a)(3), which provides for departures based on circumstances present to a degree not adequately taken into consideration in exceptional cases.

Let me point out that the probation office that conducted a presentence investigation report identified no circumstances warranting a departure or a variance. See the PSR, at paragraph 150.

And the basis for this extraordinary downward departure according to the defendant is that he was willing to accept a plea early on, as well as his rehabilitative potential due to his lack of prior criminal history, and his cooperation with the House Select Committee; and the Court will deny this request for a downward departure.

The guideline determination is already made to take full account of the defendant's lack of criminal history; it also takes account the fact that he accepted responsibility for his criminal conduct by entry of his guilty pleas about one year after his arrest. This is not a context that presents such a set of exceptional circumstances to warrant application of a downward departure under the guideline at 5K2.0(a)(3).

1 I do appreciate that he has spoken to the House 2 Select Committee and, for that reason, I will take that into 3 account in deciding where within the guidelines to impose a 4 sentence. 5 Okay. We're now at the third step of the 6 sentencing hearing; and I have been provided with divergent 7 sentencing recommendations in this case. The government recommends 46 months' imprisonment, 8 9 at the midpoint of the applicable guideline range of 41 to 10 51 months, followed by a period of 36 months' supervised release, and \$2,000 in restitution. The probation office 11 12 recommends 41 months' imprisonment, at the low end of the 13 guideline range, also followed by 36 months of supervised 14 release; and the defendant recommends 12 months of home 15 confinement. 16 So, with that, I am going to start with the 17 government to talk about application of the 3553(a) factors. 18 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor. If I could have 19 one minute to just set up my laptop. 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: You are not showing a PowerPoint, are 23 you? 24 MR. EDWARDS: I have the exhibits -- some of the 25 exhibits --

1 THE COURT: I see, okay. Go ahead. MR. EDWARDS: It's in the form of a PowerPoint; 2 3 just videos on slides that I have already provided to 4 counsel and the Court. But if -- I know the Court has 5 reviewed them, so I can maybe just breeze through a few of 6 them. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 So I want to actually make sure you can see this. 10 Is Your Honor able to see the --11 THE COURT: I am. 12 MR. EDWARDS: Great. 13 So the government intended today to just walk 14 through a few of the exhibits; a number of them are on these 15 slides. Having engaged in lengthy dialogues with the Court 16 about some of them, I am happy to skip a few. 17 I think it's important -- at least for the 18 purposes of the government's recommendation of 46 months of 19 imprisonment within the guidelines, and 36 months of 20 supervised release, and \$2,000 of restitution -- that the Court understand this defendant's behavior within the 21 22 context of January 6th. 23 I believe the Court has already reviewed this. 24 think this will aid the government's ability to just talk

about the gravity of what was going on that day. So, to

25

start, Exhibit 1, which was -- all of these have been provided to defense and the Court.

The defendant's own phone -- the defendant recorded a lot of his conduct on January 6th and, ultimately, pieced together a number of videos in what's known as a Snap Story on Snapchat, which is a social media provider.

This video is just going to be a very quick

Snapchat of everything he experienced that day. And it

shows you, frankly, in the government's opinion, the

flippant attitude that the defendant had toward January 6th

by taking these videos and posting them on his Snapchat

Story. So I will play that now and, hopefully, the audio

will work.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 1, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: So what Your Honor sees here is a series of videos in which the defendant recognizes that America is pissed, and follows that group of individuals around him toward the United States Capitol Building. And he eventually then breaks into the Senate wing door on the north side of the United States Capitol. At about 2:13 p.m., he is one of the first 50 rioters in the United States Capitol Building.

In no way, shape, or form are there any law enforcement officers around, as seen in the screenshot of

Exhibit 2. And there are videos that the government has provided that shows an outside perspective of those doors and an inside perspective at the time that Greg Rubenacker went inside that door. Law enforcement is not present; they're just not. No one is waving him in.

In fact, it's the opposite. There are rioters around Greg Rubenacker who are smashing the windows in and breaking the doors down. Greg Rubenacker must have seen that, he is in their presence and enters within the first 30 to 40 seconds after 2:13 p.m.

So he goes inside and, as seen in that video, chants, "We took over the Capitol." "This is history." He knows the significance, he just has a different lens on it than the government does.

So, eventually, he -- this is the video that will show part of what is happening when Greg Rubenacker goes into the Capitol and immediately hangs a left and follows a group of people who start to accost Officer Eugene Goodman up the stairs. There are two videos; I think both of them are significant. I would like to talk about them after playing them.

(Whereupon, a video was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: As Your Honor can see, Greg
Rubenacker is near the front of this group of individuals
chasing Eugene Goodman up multiple flights of stairs while

Eugene Goodman is repeatedly telling them to back up.

There is no question what is going on here.

Officer Goodman told them they couldn't be there; and they decided that they outnumbered him, and so they chased him.

In fact, people yelled, "He is one, we are thousands." They started screaming, "Where are they counting the votes?"

"What are you going to do? Shoot us?"

That surrounding context around Greg Rubenacker makes crystal clear that he is not to be there and that he is part of this crowd by acting in concert with them when he continues up those stairs despite Officer Goodman's repeated refrains. This is another angle from Mr. Rubenacker's pursuit. This is from behind Officer Goodman, so you will be able to see Mr. Rubenacker in that same brown hood.

(Whereupon, a video was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, I will skip now to this photograph that was included in the government's sentencing memorandum.

This scene is significant. Greg Rubenacker joined this group of individuals chasing Officer Goodman up... And while identified in our sentencing memorandum what Officer Goodman was doing right before this -- confronting Senator Mitt Romney and others and telling them to turn around and get them to safety, it's important to note that about 40 feet behind this person taking this photograph is the Senate

Chamber. That's not the only time that Greg Rubenacker comes so close to the Senate Chamber because he does so again at about 2:45 p.m., coming down another hall after he breaches again, which we'll talk about in a second; but that is significant.

January 6th these senators are to be part of a

Joint Session standing on hallowed ground that people not

even in tours or visits can be. Frankly, who knows what

happens if Officer Goodman and these officers don't form a

line and stop these rioters 40 feet from the Senate Chamber?

That location is significant.

Instead, Mr. Rubenacker notices these officers.

He doesn't turn around and say, jeez, I can't be here, this is not where I am supposed to be. Instead, he confronts them.

This photograph makes it clear. Rubenacker, he didn't even stay behind the crowd. He joined the front and he confronts them. It is not reasonable to believe that Mr. Rubenacker didn't know what was going on and was not phased by the things being yelled around him or what these circumstances were. Any reasonable person sees this and if they want to be law-abiding, they leave.

So Mr. Rubenacker decides to leave the Capitol Building and come back after confronting these officers.

About 20 to 22 minutes later, he goes to the east Rotunda

doors which -- if you exit where he exited out of, the carriage doors, he takes a right and heads toward the long steps up to the Rotunda doors.

There are a number of videos -- I am not going to play these now -- except, maybe, about 30 seconds or so of one. It's important for the Court to realize what was happening at those Rotunda doors when he breached at about 2:42 p.m. It is not a stream of people walking through the Rotunda doors; it's not officers up there waving people in. It is mayhem. There are assaults of officers; there are people kitted out in military gear traveling up -- a line up to the doors at that moment.

Greg Rubenacker is part of this mob of people who are throwing things at officers, throwing things at doors, picking up projectiles around them and throwing them. He is not alleged to have done that at these doors, but he's certainly alleged to have been there and seen all of that conduct and decided: I am going through those doors because they're open now.

So I will play just a few seconds of one video just to show a snapshot of what was happening to these officers and these doors about two minutes before Mr. Rubenacker enters them.

This is, for the record, Exhibit 18.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 18, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, we should look that conduct in the face and call it for what it is, it's unAmerican. It's disgusting. What Greg Rubenacker did was look that conduct in the face and enter the east Rotunda doors about two minutes later.

I am not going to play the CCTV. But Greg
Rubenacker enters and immediately hangs a right. What he
does is he goes towards the Senate hall; that's
approximately 40 to 50 feet away from the same Senate
Chamber doors that are on the other side of the same
connecting L hallway where he went up, the first breach. I
will show a photograph of that -- a slight video of that,
Your Honor.

What occurs here -- what I would like to do is play a snapshot of what Mr. Rubenacker sees. When he enters this hallway, you will be able to see his brown hood from behind; it starts at the front to show you the law enforcement presence. If you will note, behind them is a brown door. At the end of this hallway is the Senate Chamber.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 7, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: The rest of Exhibit 7, Your Honor, shows law enforcement deploying chemical irritant spray to stop these rioters, including Mr. Rubenacker, from progressing any further. It is not reasonable to believe

that Mr. Rubenacker was swallowed as part of the crowd and just happened to be pushed forward in this hallway because, as the Court saw in Exhibit 6, from the east Rotunda doors CCTV, when he makes it inside, there is space; and he intentionally goes to the right. He goes toward the Senate hallway.

When he puts himself in a position to be in this narrow hallway, with a group of about 50 to 100 rioters screaming, "Fuck McConnell," he put himself in that position. It is not reasonable to believe any argument that Mr. Rubenacker didn't know what was happening and was caught up in the crowd but had no intention of going forward. He wasn't facing backwards and telling people to move; he was moving forward toward the Senate Chamber. That's the second time now within an hour that Mr. Rubenacker came this close to going toward the Senate Chamber doors.

So Mr. Rubenacker doesn't leave. He has now been part of a crowd that is hit with chemical irritant spray from officers clad in riot gear; and he goes into the Rotunda and lights up marijuana. He claims, in his sentencing memorandum, that he didn't bring the marijuana but somebody else handed it to him; that, in fact, is Nicolas Eduardo Alvear Gonzalez, which was a defendant of mine; that defendant did distribute marijuana. He's shown, in Mr. Rubenacker's Snapchat video, with American flag pants

and a cowboy hat on.

Mr. Rubenacker accepted the marijuana; he lit the marijuana; and he smoked the marijuana in the Rotunda.

Regardless of the Court's feelings on marijuana, this is smoking an illegal substance in a United States Capitol Building; I don't care what the substance is at that point.

He is now surrounded by other rioters who start to light up around him and he is flippantly treating this behavior as something worthy of putting on Snapchat and bragging about it; saying, "It smells like freedom in here."

The same freedom that he becomes concerned about later when he starts texting people about betraying him when they talk about him publicly about what he did on January 6th.

What did he do after he smoked marijuana in the Rotunda? He sees a large amount of law enforcement officers enter one of the doors into the Rotunda -- clearly marked as officers, and he knows that. He approaches them and he records, on his cell phone, his conduct of those officers.

It is clear those officers are trying to clear the Rotunda, and he does not leave. He decides to call them "Communists," and he decides to accost them of who they serve because Mr. Rubenacker, in his words, is part of "We the people."

So who are the officers serving that day? They're serving the American public when they try to get people like

Mr. Rubenacker out of the Rotunda so we can move forward with a peaceful transfer of presidential power.

The body-worn camera captures Mr. Rubenacker's conduct. I think that's a better angle so we can see Mr. Rubenacker's demeanor.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 10, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: It is not reasonable to believe that Mr. Rubenacker did this because he witnessed officers conducting themselves in ways he disagreed with in that moment. Those officers just entered, as the Court saw, the Rotunda. He hadn't addressed anyone yet.

In fact, Mr. Rubenacker had already screamed at officers and attempted to get toward the Senate Chamber twice before he had seen officers approach or tackle or pepper spray anyone. So it is not reasonable to believe that Mr. Rubenacker conducted himself in this way solely because he disagreed with how the officers were handling the situation. What he disagreed with was the election. What he disagreed with was the election and facing the Senate with him; he makes that clear in his own words.

There are other body-worn cameras that the Court has seen that I won't go through. Apologies. But I want to show one, Your Honor, that just shows the context around Mr. Rubenacker, which I think is relevant for when the Court

considers his conduct, especially in comparing it to other people's conduct.

This is not a group of peaceful protesters in the Rotunda when officers are trying to remove them and there are simply too many to remove, and so it becomes physical by nature because there is jostling.

These are two factions that are in opposition to one another. They line up, and they confront one another. Law enforcement is on the right side; these rioters are on the wrong side; and Mr. Rubenacker chose a side. So these videos will show, in part, what was going on there when Mr. Rubenacker made that decision.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 11, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: So, as the Court can see, hopefully, there, toward the end -- it's brief -- but Mr. Rubenacker is right there with his brown hood, red hat, in the bottom left of the screen, as he sees what plays out before him. That video shows the Court these two opposing factions and rioters screaming "Hold the line." This video happens to have a couple of clips that show more clearly what Mr. Rubenacker did to join that confrontation and hold the line.

Court's indulgence.

As the government has provided to the Court and defense counsel, there are a number of timestamps in these

```
1
       exhibits that are particularly relevant; this is one of
       them. I will play Exhibit 20, from 16 minutes to 16 minutes
2
       and 30 seconds. Unfortunately, I will have to play it from here.
 3
 4
                 (Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 20, was published.)
 5
                 MR. EDWARDS: What you will see, Your Honor, is
 6
       Mr. Rubenacker joined in that same outfit and continued to
       use his force and his mask to support the rioters who are
 7
       confronting the officers. He doesn't go toward one of the
 8
 9
       five to six exits in the Rotunda.
10
                 This is toward the left, at about -- the way I use
       it is at nine o'clock. So the furthest left center of the
11
       photo is a red hat; that's Mr. Rubenacker.
12
13
                 I am playing now, again, at 16 minutes and 11
14
       seconds.
15
                 (Whereupon, a video was published.)
16
                 MR. EDWARDS: Pausing now at 16 minutes 30
17
       seconds.
18
                 There is one additional snippet that the
19
       government would like to show; 15 minutes 30 seconds.
                                                               Ι
20
       will go a little backwards here.
21
                 We'll pause here, at 15 minutes 12 seconds.
22
       fact -- I'll ad lib here a little bit. I will go back to
       about 15 minutes 2 seconds; we'll play from here.
23
24
                 What you will see is what Mr. Rubenacker does when
25
       the law enforcement starts to grow in number and certainly
```

1 show -- present a show of force to prevent these rioters 2 from going further into the Capitol. What you will see is 3 Mr. Rubenacker, instead, take it on his own and approach 4 them. 5 And then, in the following clip, it will show Mr. Rubenacker, again, participating with the rioters to 6 7 stop the officers from successfully removing them. (Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 20, was published.) 8 9 MR. EDWARDS: For the Court's awareness, that's 10 Mr. Rubenacker in the center with the red hat and brown 11 hood. 12 Playing, again, at 15 minutes 12 seconds. 13 (Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 20, was published.) 14 MR. EDWARDS: So I will pause now at 15 minutes 43 15 seconds; I think it's sufficient. 16 What I wanted to show the Court, nothing about 17 this is peaceful. It was mayhem. Mr. Rubenacker 18 intentionally and repeatedly chose to join in that mayhem. 19 Your Honor, at some point, law enforcement decides 20 to escalate and increase in number and remove these folks 21 physically. What Mr. Rubenacker decides to do is respond in 22 kind. 23 So, as seen in Exhibit 14, in the Rotunda CCTV --24 the government does the best it can with the images we've 25 got and the videos we've got. You can see, in the mosh pit

of rioters, Mr. Rubenacker lift his arm and swing it down on the head or helmet of an officer in front of him.

The Rotunda CCTV shows it a little better. These are screenshots that show Mr. Rubenacker in that successive action of bringing his hand up with the bottle and bringing it down on one of the officers in front of him.

What Mr. Rubenacker may attempt to argue to the Court is that there is -- it's not clear that he makes contact with the officer's helmet. The government's position is the video -- when spliced together with other open source video and the body-worn camera, we've succeeded by showing by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Rubenacker makes contact with the helmet.

But the bigger point here is, really?

Mr. Rubenacker is in the Rotunda swinging at an officer with his bottle; he assaulted that officer, and others.

We have got body-worn camera -- various angles of body-worn camera that show the Court Mr. Rubenacker's behavior as he continues to confront the law enforcement officers. At one point, after hitting an officer on the helmet, he lifts his bottle, as the Court is aware, and throws liquid on multiple officers who are addressing other rioters by spraying chemical irritant spray. All of those officers then flinch to the right.

The body-worn camera is very clear, showing

Mr. Rubenacker lift his bottle and throw that liquid. I understand the defendant's point is it's water; those officers don't know that. It's a pandemic, first of all; so his used water being thrown on these officers is of concern.

But, second, it's a riot. Mr. Rubenacker is in the Rotunda with hundreds of other people, illegally there and attacking officers; and he decides to prevent some of those officers from doing their job by throwing liquid on them. There are countless examples of officers being hit with liquid that is not water. They are trained to address those concerns. They flinch, and they stop addressing the rioters in front of them, which gives the rioters an opportunity to make more ground; that is of consequence.

So Mr. Rubenacker then gets hit with chemical irritant spray after about 30 seconds to a minute -- after 3:09, when he throws that water on these officers.

But, yet, he still doesn't stop. He continues to then walk out of the east Rotunda doors that he had entered the second time he breached the Capitol; and he continues to accost these officers and call them "communists" for doing their job, as seen in this body-worn camera.

(Whereupon, a video, Exhibit 22, was published.)

MR. EDWARDS: Mr. Rubenacker is not here on accident. He knows he is angry at these officers, and he is calling them "communists." And according to his sentencing

memorandum, it's in part because he believes the election was fraudulent. So the officers are not enforcing this election; they're the communists for not doing what he thought they should do.

He then goes into the east Rotunda door lobby and exits the building -- not of his own volition, but continuously pours water on his face to rinse the chemical irritant spray that officers were forced to deploy on him and others, and goes toward the exist; standing there, continuing to rub his eyes until, finally, a Capitol police officer puts his arm around him and forces him out of the Capitol Building at 3:20 p.m., nearly a little over an hour after the first time he entered at 2:13 p.m.

You take those 20 minutes away when he's traversing illegally on the Capitol grounds to get to the other entrance, you have got about 45 minutes to an hour of Mr. Rubenacker being a menace on January 6th; that is the context of Mr. Rubenacker's conduct, which takes us to after January 6th. He engages in text communications with other people, and he calls them -- he says that they betray him after they publicly message something about his conduct on January 6th.

If I'm understanding correctly, there was some allegation that a friend or a previous friend had referred to him as a white supremacist, or something in kind; he

didn't like that.

But he says on January 6th: I fought for your constitutional rights. I understand the defendant's point that that's a phrase that's often used in today's political parlance. But what is not often done is what Mr. Rubenacker did on January 6th, by attacking officers and getting within 40 feet of sacred ground where they're supposed to certify an election; that is fighting, and he did that.

He then continues to search for pictures of rioters and how to encrypt his phone. So, in terms of accepting responsibility and being remorseful early on, he certainly engaged in conduct that made it seem like he did not want to be caught. He texted people that he did not want to be on messages anymore, that he wanted to turn to Signal, which is an end-to-end encrypted messaging platform. And he wanted to encrypt his iPhone, which is the type of phone he had in the Capitol when he recorded his conduct. That's not the conduct of somebody after January 6th who is immediately remorseful.

So that's a wrap-up of Mr. Rubenacker's conduct.

If I could turn now to the 3553(a) factors for the Court, the first and foremost is the nature and circumstances of the offense.

It cannot be understated -- overstated.

What happened on January 6th is, frankly, a

tragedy in this country's history. This is my first opportunity to address this in a sentencing. And I continue to look at these videos of Mr. Rubenacker and see nothing in those actions and in that conduct that is redeeming.

That person attacked the core of our democratic republic. It's January 6th; this has happened for hundreds of years. The presidential transfer of power is sacred to this country; it is what we hoist when we say to the rest of the world that there are ways to conduct ourselves to better humanity.

The transfer of power was unique when George
Washington did it. It continues to be unique in many parts
of the world. And we should continue to strive to preserve
it. Mr. Rubenacker did not.

As to the nature and circumstances of the offense, Mr. Rubenacker's conduct that day warrants serious punishment.

We have named a number of factors that the government continues to look at at sentencing when we address the nature and circumstances. I won't go into all of them because I have addressed some of them today.

They include: Did he go inside the Capitol? How did he get in there? Did he encourage violence or participate in violence? Did he react to acts of violence around him, and how so? Did he -- how long was he in the

Capitol? Where did he go? What statements did he make?

And what remorse has he shown? All of those aggravating circumstances apply here.

He was in the United States Capitol Building for almost an hour. He got very close to entering the Senate Chamber twice before multiple officers had to stop him.

He continued to see acts of violence around him and continued to engage in his own acts of violence by swinging at officers and throwing liquid on them, by accosting them and threatening them in the way the Court has defined that term earlier in this proceeding. And he made multiple statements that day and afterward that show that he was not immediately remorseful, that he fought for people's constitutional rights that day.

As for the history and characteristics of the defendant, the government agrees there is no criminal history here. But we then turn to the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of his conduct and respect for the law.

It goes without saying, Your Honor, that a serious punishment is warranted here because of his serious conduct. He not only assaulted officers like many outside of the Capitol; but he joined that conduct with additional aggravating circumstances by breaking into the Capitol twice and making his way to the Senate Chamber.

I want to talk a little bit about adequate deterrence. There are, as the Court is well aware, two elements of deterrence; there is general deterrence and there is specific deterrence.

The idea that the defendant should be sentenced to any sentence of home confinement, and that that would succeed in providing specific deterrence, is belied by the other sentences that courts across this district have applied to similar conduct; and it is belied by the history of our criminal justice system looking at this kind of conduct and then allowing someone to go home.

I want to talk a little bit more, though, about general deterrence. This is a circumstance, on January 6th, where the government must treat this conduct seriously. It must take Thor's hammer to even the ants. It must treat this seriously; it must send a message to the world that this cannot be done, and it cannot be tolerated.

The Court plays a significant role in that by accessing this conduct and determining what kind of punishment should be doled out for this conduct.

Mr. Rubenacker's conduct is serious enough to warrant taking his liberty away for nearly four years; that is the government's request. We believe that that would play a significant role in sending a message to the world that you cannot do this.

The final factor I wanted to discuss before turning to our restitution request is the unwarranted sentencing disparities that may exist; and particularly, as the Court is aware, in this circumstance it's a difficult analysis to undergo. Never in this country's history has the Department of Justice or the Court had to deal with so many data points to assess.

The reason a significant sentence of imprisonment is warranted here is because he, like many others, assaulted officers; he, like others, entered the Capitol Building.

There are other cases, like Scott Fairlamb, who entered the Capitol Building and assaulted an officer; he was sentenced to 41 months, and a small amount of time inside. That is a similar range that Mr. Rubenacker should be sentenced in, though Mr. Rubenacker's conduct was more serious because he was in the building twice for nearly an hour, while Mr. Fairlamb was in the building for a very small amount of time. And that is significant.

It's not arbitrary that the government is focusing on how much time they spent in the Capitol Building. At that moment, it is the heart of our democracy; the closer you are to its core, the closer you are and more culpable you are for obstructing that proceeding that day in many ways.

But I want to address at least one point the

defendant makes in his sentencing memorandum when he establishes that clearly the government, in its conversations about how to treat people differently, thinks that anyone not in the building could not obstruct that proceeding; it could not be further from the truth with respect to Mr. Matera [sic].

In those conversations, the government made it very clear that there are many factors that the Court and that the defense and that the government have to consider in assessing all of these cases. The government looks at many factors in determining what kinds of plea offers to provide. One of those factors is going inside the building.

This prosecutor is part of a case where we have charged someone with 1512(c)(2) who didn't even come into D.C. So it is not accurate to say that the government has drawn a line in the sand as to whether or not you are in the building or you are not; it is simply one factor of many that this Court should consider, and not arbitrarily so. It makes perfect sense to assess what they did and how far they made it to those senators and those representatives.

There are other defendants that have been sentenced to significant --

(High-pitched audio feedback.)

MR. EDWARDS: I got away with it for a while.

There are other defendants who have been sentenced

to significant terms of imprisonment including Mr. Wilson for 51 months; Mr. Devlyn Thompson for 46 months -- those individuals did not go into the Capitol; they engaged in significant bouts of violence and witnessed the same on the lower west terrace tunnel.

I suspect the defendant will get up here and tell you that this was a bottle and this was water, and these assaults don't compare to those. We don't disagree that they aren't the same degree of violence to officers; but we disagree that because of that fact Mr. Rubenacker should be treated so differently. He also did things these defendants did not do by making his way to the Senate Chamber, by chasing Eugene Goodman, by assaulting officers after an hour of witnessing violence and mayhem inside the Capitol.

That's differently situated than many of these defendants. And there is no reason to treat him any lesser than these defendants just because of the gravity of the assault. It's a difference, but there are many other differences

Mr. Rubenacker has that show he warrants the same kind of punishment.

Finally, Your Honor, I would like to address restitution briefly. We have requested \$2,000. Unless the Court has questions on the restitution request, it is based, as our memo details, on two statutes; the Mandatory Victim Rights Act [sic] and the Victim and Witness Protection Act.

The latter is, of course, discretionary. But the former makes it mandatory, in the government's view, that at least to two counts that Mr. Rubenacker has pled guilty to, under 1752(a)(2) and 1752(a)(4), that conduct triggers a mandatory restitution for the Court to request or impose upon the defendant.

And so, as detailed in our sentencing memorandum, in terms of enumerator of the damages that many victims have relayed to the government and denominator of the number of individuals the government has investigated and arrested, we have come up with \$2,000 being an appropriate amount for when someone is convicted of a felony; and that is Mr. Rubenacker in this situation.

So unless the Court has any other questions for the government, we'd state that, in our briefings and in today's presentation, the government has put forth a position that shows the Court that a significant sentence of imprisonment and supervised release is warranted. This is a very important sentencing in many ways; and I think the Court should impose a very significant sentence for Mr. Rubenacker.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Matera.

MR. MATERA: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

1 Judge, we have no dispute that this was a very 2 dark day in our country's history. The images of what 3 happened that day, obviously, are something that we, as a 4 society, cannot be in favor of. And it's something that, 5 when Mr. Rubenacker looked back and saw what went on and 6 what he foolishly involved himself in, had deep regrets --7 THE COURT: And he foolishly involved himself in this because he had beliefs that there was a stolen 8 9 election; is that right? 10 MR. MATERA: I am going to get to that. Yes, Your 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: So does he have those beliefs because 13 that is important for specific and general deterrence? 14 MR. MATERA: It's not. I am certainly going to 15 address all of that in the next, literally, 30 seconds; you 16 stole my thunder a little bit. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MATERA: Judge --19 THE COURT: There are too many people in the 20 public sphere who are still talking as if there was a stolen 21 2020 presidential election. 22 MR. MATERA: I agree. 23 THE COURT: And a lot of the people like 24 Mr. Rubenacker who attacked the Capitol on January 6th are 25 susceptible to believing that big lie.

1 MR. MATERA: Yes, I agree. THE COURT: And so the job of this -- the judges 2 3 on this court is to ensure both specific and general 4 deterrence, in the context of these cases, has only, in some 5 ways, gotten more surprisingly serious. 6 MR. MATERA: Understood. And by no means do we 7 take any of this lightly. We understand the serious nature of everything that is involved, of everything that occurred, 8 9 and everything that is at stake with these and the remainder 10 of the cases. THE COURT: Well, I'd like an answer to my 11 12 question. Does he still believe the 2020 presidential 13 election was stolen? 14 MR. MATERA: He does not. He does not. 15 As I said, I am going to absolutely get into that. 16 But to answer your question, no. 17 THE COURT: Let's get to it. 18 MR. MATERA: Absolutely. 19 So, Judge, 43 minutes is the time that 20 Mr. Rubenacker was inside the Capitol. Obviously, not an 21 insignificant period of time, but those 43 minutes are going 22 to, obviously, forever change this young man's life. 23 Mr. Rubenacker is a very impressionable 24 26-year-old man. And as Your Honor has just alluded to, for 25 the better part of several months leading up to January 6th,

we have heard, as a society -- whether it's through media, whether it's through people giving speeches -- we have heard that the election was stolen. I believe there is even a book coming out, from what I understand, shortly, by former President Trump about the "crime of the century," as he calls it. Mr. Rubenacker got caught up in that; he admits that.

He listened to what was being said not just on some rumor mill as Your Honor has pointed out. This isn't something that there is some group on Snapchat or on Twitter that has these conspiracy theories about the election.

These are words that are coming from our elected officials at the time all the way up to the very highest elected official in former President Trump, who then was giving speech after speech after speech -- including, on January 6th, about how the election was stolen; something he still continues to maintain.

Mr. Rubenacker, absolutely at the time bought into what he now knows to be a lie. He bought into the fact that -- whether it was ballot boxes were stuffed or ballots weren't counted because they were dragged away. He bought in, unfortunately.

Again, he knows it was wrong now. Now that he has had a moment to sit back and actually look -- and it's not just because -- as I am sure the government would probably

argue if they had a chance to come back up. It's not because, well, now he got arrested, that he realizes this; it's because he sat down -- whether it was with family, whether it was even me in my office going through all of the details and he actually focused, and he actually looked at the entirety of the situation. And he understands that he was wrong and the belief was wrong; but it doesn't change the fact that at the time he was listening to the words of our elected officials.

Mr. Rubenacker -- I don't know that anyone will necessarily dispute, although he showed it in a very odd and different way. Mr. Rubenacker has a very large love for this country. And Mr. Rubenacker, when he had this belief that our election -- one of the most sacred parts of our democracy, an election -- that an election perhaps was stolen, he reacted irrationally and horribly wrong; and, again, he understands that.

On January 6th he had believed the rhetoric so much -- or at least part of -- the one side of the rhetoric, that he decided to come to Washington; and he decided to go and listen because he knew President Trump was going to be speaking.

He didn't show up looking for violence, Your

Honor. He didn't show up with a helmet; he didn't show up

with goggles. He didn't show up with body protective gear;

he certainly didn't show up with a weapon. He showed up with -- only with his plastic essential water bottle. He had no intention on that day to come here and engage in violence.

He had no intention that day or knowledge that the situation was even going to lead he or anyone else into the Capitol Building. No way anybody, I think, would have thought that at the time. But at some point, former President Trump does tell the crowd to, basically, march towards the Capitol, and Mr. Rubenacker did. He followed others in the direction of the Capitol Building, and he ultimately goes inside when he gets there.

He -- in following the crowd, he does follow
Officer Goodman -- or the crowd, before they get to Officer
Goodman, up some staircases -- and the videos show this.
The videos show Mr. Rubenacker on the staircases. He is
not -- yes, he is in the first group -- maybe it's five or
six people, give or take. But it's not that he is on the
staircase at that point, and he is screaming at Officer
Goodman or he's screaming at anyone else, or he is inciting
everyone "move forward."

As a matter of fact -- if you pay close attention, as I am sure Your Honor has, to Mr. Rubenacker's demeanor and his actions while he is on those staircases, he's kind of holding his phone and he is looking around; but he makes

the foolish mistake to keep going forward. At that point in time, there is a lot of commotion going on; there is a lot of people screaming many things.

Mr. Rubenacker doesn't know specifically what every single person is screaming. There is so much commotion you couldn't possibly hear. We have heard the video since then and we are not disputing what the government is claiming was said; we hear it. Mr. Rubenacker hears it, which is even more — further enforced his realization that what his actions consisted of were just wrong that day.

But at no point did he hear those words as he was on those staircases. He heard things, but -- as you can imagine with the level of noise and commotion that was going on, he didn't hear specifically.

At no -- there is no denying, also, that when they get to the wall of officers -- this is during the first entry, that he does yell at officers. The video is clear; he doesn't deny that at all. He pled guilty to the entire indictment as evidence that he doesn't deny that.

But yelling at the officers at that point in time was a further part of Mr. Rubenacker's mistaken beliefs as to -- at the time as to what went on with the election and as to what, if anything, these officers were able to do about it. He thought for sure, if they were there, he was

doing the right thing because this is what my President told me was going on; this is what my President has told me, that there was a stolen election; this is what my President has encouraged us to, march towards the Capitol.

So being a young man, impressionable as he is, he had some misguided thoughts that these officers could also

had some misguided thoughts that these officers could also confirm and assist in what former President Trump was alluding to. Unfortunately, we all know that that belief is certainly not accurate, certainly misguided; and he knows that too now.

At that point in time, when he is in the area with the officers, he is there for a total of approximately five minutes -- not "approximately," he is there for five minutes.

THE COURT: Does he understand that he is being charged and standing before a federal judge to be sentenced today not because of his beliefs but because of his actions --

MR. MATERA: He does.

THE COURT: -- inspired by those beliefs.

MR. MATERA: He does. And that's why I say, he regrets what those beliefs led him to do that day.

THE COURT: When you say that he is an "impressionable young man" -- continues to be an impressionable young man, what assurance does that provide

the Court that if he hears he is impressed -- being "impressionable" with other people saying things, that he is not going to follow through with conduct that is illegal?

MR. MATERA: Well, Judge, as part of his release he was required to undergo continual treatment and therapy, mental therapy; and he did. He would come back and he would explain to me how the sessions would go. We would have conversations about them. We even had a few conversations with Ms. Moffett [sic], who was the counselor that he was treating with. Your Honor has seen her letter. And all indications are that Greg has come a very, very long way from where he was at the time that even she first encountered him. I can assure you that he has come a long way since the time that I first encountered him in connection with this case.

Greg has learned how to cope with his feelings.

He has learned how to cope with any beliefs. He has

refrained from watching all politics because he just doesn't

believe that anything good will come from his particular

viewing, as far as what's being reported and things like

that. Greg has made it clear -- to me, to Ms. Moffett, and

to anyone else -- that he understands what happened that

day, and that what his actions consisted of that day were

wrong; and that -- he has vowed that they will never happen

again.

This is a young man that indicated that he so knew that what happened was wrong and that what he did was wrong that he pled guilty to the entire indictment. He pled guilty without the assistance of a plea bargain in place. He wanted to show this Court that that was his intention; to take responsibility, to understand that that conduct is not tolerable, and to promise this Court, in doing so, that this is not something that Greg would ever be involved in again.

Greg has, as Your Honor is aware, never been in trouble with the law before. Greg is an individual who has never seen the inside of a court, arguably, until today because we have been doing the other proceedings virtually due to the pandemic.

This isn't an individual who is out there looking for trouble, causing trouble. And, in fact, as Your Honor is aware, in the 15 -- now -- plus months since he was put on supervised release, there have been absolutely no issues with pretrial services. I have spoken many, many times to -- because of the unique circumstances of these cases, he has had an officer assigned to him in the Eastern District of New York; I have spoken to that officer on numerous occasions.

There's never been an issue with Greg. There's never been an issue with him following the rules. There's never been an issue with him doing what he is told. At no

point have they come back to Your Honor or anyone else and said: We have some concerns that Greg is just not getting it, or the therapist is saying Greg is not getting it. It's been quite the opposite.

Greg has been getting it. Greg does understand, and Greg has worked on making himself better. And over these last 15 months, I truly and honestly believe he has made himself better. He has come to grips with the realities of what happened with the 2020 election; he understands it. He has come to grips with the fact of what happened on January 6th never should have happened and can never happen again -- not just with Greg, but to anyone in this country; he understands all of that.

Now, it is true that Greg comes back into the Capitol a second time after leaving. Now, he left after five minutes the first time; and it's approximately 24 minutes that he is outside the Capitol. And people saw he was walking around and doing nothing in particular. And then people started going in another entrance; and he foolishly followed them in again. He didn't climb through any broken windows. He didn't smash any windows himself, but he did follow them back inside.

At that point in time, he takes selfies of himself smoking marijuana that was given to him by some individual that he didn't know inside the Rotunda, which -- that, in

1 and of itself, is another incredibly foolish act --THE COURT: Did he smoke not just the marijuana 2 but also an E-cigarette or vapor? 3 MR. MATERA: His vape -- yes. He did have his 4 5 own, that was his. 6 THE COURT: Well, he was taking advantage of this crowd acting lawlessly by lighting up --7 MR. MATERA: I understand. I understand. 8 9 THE COURT: -- a couple of times in the Capitol. 10 MR. MATERA: My point in mentioning that is that 11 he just clearly wasn't thinking rationally at that time 12 because no one thinking rationally would take some substance 13 from another individual in -- whether it's in the Capitol or 14 anywhere else, for that matter, and start smoking; but Greg 15 did. 16 Greg decided to take pictures of himself. 17 wasn't thinking, at that point in time, about the electoral 18 certification. He was thinking of where he was and 19 stupidly, foolishly thought that: I am in the Capitol here, 20 and I am going to smoke my marijuana -- or whatever 21 marijuana was given to me [sic] -- which, again, not 22 excusing the fact that he smoked marijuana. 23 His -- he does have -- the government did make 24 mention of the fact that it is illegal; and I do understand 25 that it is illegal currently under the federal law. Greg is somebody who -- in the state of New York, it's not illegal.

Again, I am not excusing his conduct; but I am saying that, in his mind, he didn't necessarily associate smoking marijuana with anything improper. Obviously, a mistake.

But, in his mind, because he is legally allowed to smoke in New York, he mistakenly and foolishly thought that that was somehow okay; he knows it was not. We have certainly addressed that many, many times.

Now, as the government showed us through videos, the police then show up -- the D.C. police show up. There is no doubt they're doing their job. They're trying to -- and Greg understands that now. They are trying to get people out of the Rotunda, and Greg initially doesn't leave.

And at some point in time -- there is no doubt, from watching the video, that there is some shoving that's going back -- not with Greg, but with others -- that is going back and forth between individuals involved in this crowd and the police officers. And Greg foolishly picks up -- inexcusably picks up the bottle and does swing it toward the officer. It's not -- and, again, please don't think that we're trying to mitigate his actions; we are not. But we are just trying to show that there is a difference.

When you watch this video, this isn't Greg taking a bottle and just swinging it at somebody. He holds it above his head and then comes down, almost in like a tapping

motion. Not to excuse his conduct, but it's not the same as somebody who would go up to an officer and punch an officer in the face. It's not the same -- which we have also had in one of these January 6th cases. It is not the same as somebody who would turn around and take a lacrosse stick taped to a confederate flag and jab an officer in the chest.

THE COURT: Shouldn't we just be glad that he only had a water bottle and not the lacrosse stick?

MR. MATERA: Judge, I will submit to you that we don't know what would have happened if he had something else. But the very fact that the only thing he had was a water bottle should be a clear indication that he had no intention to commit violence that day. He didn't bring anything. He didn't bring pepper spray. I understand there are some cases before Your Honor where two defendants had chemical irritant, and they're spraying it in an officer -- multiple officers' faces. He didn't bring anything like that with him to the Capitol.

So I understand Your Honor's question. But to suggest that maybe he would have done that -- done something more significant if he had a bigger weapon; I think it goes the other way. I think it just shows that that was never his intention to put himself in that situation despite the fact that he ultimately did. He didn't intend to come to the Capitol that day and engage in any violence whatsoever.

If he had, he would have had something like any one of these other defendants. He would not have had just a plastic essential water bottle on him.

So, again, the entire time that he is in the building is approximately 43 minutes; not an insignificant amount of time. The government is saying that it was over an hour; it was over an hour since the time that he had first entered. It wasn't over an hour actually inside the building just based on the timelines that have been set forth.

The government has addressed with the Court how serious -- that it was more serious because of how close Mr. Rubenacker came to the Senate Chamber; and that statement, in and of itself, we are not disputing. The thing is, Mr. Rubenacker is not familiar with the layout of the United States Capitol.

Mr. Rubenacker didn't go in any particular direction because he is saying: This is where I am going to get -- I know where the Senate Chamber is, I am going to get over there. He found himself, yes, in that area that the government has made reference to, but not because of his own intentions to say: Let me get over there right now. It, unfortunately, in following the crowd, did get him over in that particular location.

Now, as we have discussed earlier -- and I won't

belabor the point all that much -- but he did plead guilty. Yes, it was approximately a year after. But for all of the reasons we have discussed -- and one reason that we left out and I neglected to bring up as to why it took a year, was the whole process seemed to go a little bit slower because we're also dealing with a pandemic at the time; we're in the midst of it. Things weren't happening as quickly as they otherwise may have, whether it was with the obtaining or collection of the videos; the ability to view all of the videos; the ability for me to even have Greg in my office many times. We were doing a lot of things over Zoom, which wasn't always the most conducive.

So, yes, it did take 12 months. But as I have indicated before, it's not 12 months of Greg saying: We are going to fight this; we are going to fight this. That was never any conversation that we had. But, again, we did need to -- the only way to effectively provide proper legal advice to a client is for me to make sure that the evidence shows what they're telling me that it shows. We did make the effort to get those videos.

And we understand with just the nature of these proceedings and the nature of the volume of the video evidence that was involved -- not to mention, as I stated earlier, having to find Mr. Rubenacker in crowds of hundreds or thousands of people -- so it did take time. So, again, I

just want to stress that point.

THE COURT: Yes. There were a lot of red MAGA hats.

MR. MATERA: Yes, there were. Yes, there were.

And by the way, a red MAGA hat that Mr. Rubenacker did not show up to the Capitol with that day. It was handed to him while he was in the crowd. Yes, he put it on; no one forced him to put it on.

But, again, it just goes to show his thought process at that point in time in coming was what he thought was to peacefully protest what he was led to believe was a fraudulent election -- something that he came to the conclusion is no longer -- that is no longer his belief. He came to that conclusion very early on after he and I first met and actually sat down and looked at everything.

Now, the government also talks about

Mr. Rubenacker's conduct after the events. They have

suggested to the Court that his conduct in what he was

saying to other people: I fought for your rights, and

things like that. Again -- and the government is exactly

right; that's exactly what I am going to say to Your Honor.

To say, "I fought for your rights" to somebody is not meaning that I fought physically for your rights any more than it is that I'm fighting for his rights right now; I am not doing anything physical. It's a term of art that

was used, and Mr. Rubenacker --

THE COURT: I don't think that was the government's point, that he was admitting some physical violence. I think the government's point was: Even after seeing what had happened on January 6th, and the shock in this nation about what was happening, and what happened on January 6th for weeks after, he was still defending his conduct on January 6th.

MR. MATERA: Well, I don't necessarily think -agree with that particular position. But I think what Greg
was doing at that point in time, when he came to the
conclusion very early on -- and even before the time that
the FBI approached his home and placed him under arrest.
From what I have been told from members of his family when
they saw everything that was going on -- and they spoke to
Greg about it, like, what was going on there? He
immediately even had conversations with them about: Things
got out of hand; I can't believe what happened over there.

So the fact that Greg was trying to disassociate himself with his involvement that day is not because he was trying to hide evidence or he was trying to hide any facts or that he was there, it was because Greg realized what he did and he didn't want himself associated with anything that he did so that friends, who ultimately were, you know, using some terms that -- or former friends at this point, using

some terms in response to what they were seeing, what they were hearing -- this is why Greg turned around and started to remove some of the videos, because he realized they were wrong.

When someone realizes that what they did was wrong, trying to do whatever little part they can to remedy the situation is not something that should be frowned upon, it's something that should be appreciated; the fact that he realized that what he did was wrong. He realized that he didn't want himself involved in anything like that. It was never done to hide evidence or to deceive anyone else into thinking that he wasn't there.

Now, I know you have read our sentencing memorandum; and there are a few things that I would like to highlight for Your Honor. This situation that Greg got himself into was something that's unfortunate, yes, and something that started -- as far as Greg's mental state of mind, started from a very early time in his life.

Greg is one of 16 children. At times, that was very difficult for Greg growing up. Greg often found himself as one -- maybe not the only one with 16 children, but one that was not the focus all the time. He spent a lot of alone time; he felt left out. And Greg turned around and turned to friends that he made.

Friends became -- obviously, his parents, but --

he had a better relationship with his parents than he did with all of his brothers and sisters, but his friends became his family. At an early age, while in high school -- as, I pointed out in my memo, Greg witnessed several of his high school friends, his high school family, die in a horrible car accident; and he also watched one of his friends get arrested and ultimately convicted for causing the deaths of those individuals.

As a result, Greg's -- part of his sense of family was stolen from him at that point in time, and it was very hard for Greg. He immediately went into therapy. He was -- at that time, he was diagnosed with PTSD as well as anxiety disorders; and he was treating with a doctor -- a doctor, too, for quite some time before that.

Greg has indicated to me that the therapy that he has gotten through the Court-mandated therapy has been an even greater help to him and something that he plans on continuing at some point when the situation will allow him to do so.

Mr. Rubenacker is also an aspiring musician -musical artist, I should say. He has, because of his
actions, suffered greatly in his career that he was working
towards; and perhaps that's rightfully so. I understand
that. But because of the situation that he finds himself
in, he certainly has lost a lot of opportunities.

He has worked very hard -- and we appreciate and thank Your Honor because you did, during the 15 months, give him the ability to continue to go to -- to extend the geographical territory of where he was allowed to travel to just so he could start to rebuild that career. And I am happy to say that he has made tremendous strides in that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any very long or lengthy prison sentence would totally derail the progress that he has made again and would totally put Greg in a situation where -- you know, the music industry, as Your Honor may or may not be aware, is fickle. And it doesn't tend to lend itself to -- I don't want to say "older" because I am certainly way older than Greq, but it's not something that is easy to get into at a later time in life; it's more of a young person's -- in today's day and age, it's more of a young person's profession than it is that we can see just from looking at any of the local -- the current pop charts, and things like that. Any lengthy jail sentence would result in Greg losing even further opportunities. We very much want him to be able to be a productive member of society and to continue to give back; and that is one of the ways -- continuing his career -- that he would be able to do that.

Now, the government, in all of the January 6th cases that I am aware of, has asked the Court to consider a bunch of -- many different factors, including the

1 destruction of property on January 6th. Obviously, Greg did not destroy any property; he 2 is not accused of destroying any property --3 4 THE COURT: I am well aware of his offense 5 conduct. You don't have to go through what he did or he 6 didn't because it is now 1:30 --7 MR. MATERA: I understand. I actually was going to ask you that before I started. Did you -- I don't know 8 9 if the Court --10 THE COURT: We're going to continue until the end. 11 MR. MATERA: Okay. So, Judge, we have no doubt 12 and we don't dispute the fact that the ultimate sentence 13 that Your Honor is going to hand down needs to reflect the 14 serious nature of the offense; we do get that. And we are 15 not for a minute trying to minimize the nature of the 16 offense. But again --17 THE COURT: I know you are not trying to minimize. 18 But you did submit letters, including one letter who 19 compared the January 6th rioters to those who attended 20 Woodstock --21 MR. MATERA: Yes. 22 THE COURT: -- and expressed some criticism of the 23 person who turned Greq in who obviously did not know him 24 well. 25 So how am I supposed to reconcile -- your words --

1 that you don't want to minimize; and then I also read the letter saying that what happened on January 6th was like a 2 3 1969 music festival? 4 MR. MATERA: I understand. 5 THE COURT: I think when it goes to the smoke --6 the pot smoking perhaps; but I think the comparison falls 7 apart after that. MR. MATERA: Yes. But the words of this 8 9 particular individual are not necessarily -- they don't 10 share Greg's beliefs and they don't share Greg's sentiments 11 as far as what Greg believes. 12 Certainly, Greg is not anyone who knows much about 13 Woodstock, unless he has read something about it. But it's 14 not somebody who shares -- it's somebody who knows Greq and 15 explained, also, who they think Greg actually is. Did they 16 make an extra reference, they did; but we don't necessarily 17 agree. We don't agree with their assessment and their 18 comparisons of what happened on January 6th to Woodstock by 19 any means whatsoever, Your Honor. Like I said, the balance 20 of the letter which was explaining who Greg is is what we 21 were trying to convey to the Court. 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matera, I do know that 23 your recommendation is 12 months of home confinement. 24 Is there anything else you want to add?

MR. MATERA: Yes. Judge, again, my recommendation

25

1 of the 12 months of home confinement had more to do with what we hoped would have been a successful challenge to our 2 3 objections. Understanding that the --THE COURT: Even had your objections been 4 5 successful, he still would have faced substantial jail 6 time --7 MR. MATERA: He would have faced 24 to --THE COURT: -- 30 months. 8 9 MR. MATERA: -- 30 months. Yes, I understand. 10 Judge, it's our position that any kind of lengthy 11 jail sentence is going to significantly impact not only 12 Mr. Rubenacker's potential for his career, his ability to 13 care for his parents -- which he currently does now, who are 14 both in their 80s; his mother, in particular, who is not 15 physically well. She suffers -- as you saw in her own 16 letter, suffers from some ailments which Mr. Rubenacker was 17 able, at the time, to help her out around the house, and 18 things like that; and care for his father, which his mother 19 is not able to do for the most part. 20 THE COURT: But as you pointed out, this is a very 21 big family. 22 MR. MATERA: It is, but they don't all live there 23 anymore, that's the problem. A lot of them are dispersed 24 all over the country. One of them is in military service;

he is deployed, he is not around. Unfortunately, there is

25

```
1
       only a handful of these individuals who are even around.
       And I can assure you that those individuals do not take the
2
 3
       same care of the parents that Mr. Rubenacker does for
       whatever reason.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Well, they might have to step up.
                 MR. MATERA: I'm sorry?
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: I said, "They might have to step up."
                 MR. MATERA: Understood.
 8
 9
                 So in light of all of these factors, Judge, I am
10
       going to ask you to consider a sentence of less than the 41-
11
       to 51-month guideline range, a sentence that is more in line
12
       with Mr. Rubenacker's actions that day, and ask that you
13
       take mercy on him and give him a sentence closer to
14
       somewhere between 18 and 24 months.
15
                 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Matera.
16
                 Mr. Rubenacker, this is your opportunity to speak
17
       to me directly if you wish. I have read your letter.
                 THE DEFENDANT: Come forward?
18
19
                 THE COURT: Yes. You can step forward, but keep
20
       your mask on, please.
21
                 THE DEFENDANT: How are you doing, Your Honor?
22
                 Well, as my letter says, I had the pleasure of
23
       meeting you under very unfortunate circumstances. And I --
24
                 THE COURT: More unfortunate for you than for me.
25
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I mean, for the last year I
```

have had an ankle monitor on me for over 400 days. I have had a curfew to 12 -- it was nine o'clock, then it was switched to 12 when you granted me the city [sic] so I could start working on my work more.

I work with Grammy-nominated producers; I work with Buddha and Grams [sic]. I work with Craig Dairy, who is known as Lady Gaga's and Katy Perry's vocal coach. I have been making music for eight years. And everything that I have been doing in the last eight years has finally started coming to fruition. And, you know, in the last year, because of my actions -- obviously, a lot of things have fallen apart for me. I was recently played on the radio in Europe on F1 radio. My coach tried to get me to go on a 20-day tour this summer but I, obviously, could not go.

I also want to express my serious condolences to the people that were hurt on January 6th; and I do want to say that I am really sorry for my actions. And through therapy and, you know, through a lot of support in the last 12 months, I feel like my mental health has probably been the best it's ever been.

I did suffer a lot when I lost my friends. I do think this therapist has probably been the only therapist to really help me. I do think that losing her is going to impact my mental health because I have been through several therapists before in my life, and none of them helped me.

And I have been through so much in my life with my friends dying, seeing them -- I saw my friend take his last breath;
I saw my other friend's neck broken.

So I have been through a lot in my life. And I definitely have been just trying -- you can even look this up on SoundCloud; but my dream in life was to always just chill [sic] people and spread good vibes to people. You can look it up on SoundCloud. When I -- people call me "DJ" because I used to DJ a lot. I used to make my -- a little podcast, and it was called Good Vibes Sessions with Rubicx. So that was always my goal, dream, and aspiration in life because of all of the pain and suffering that I have been through in my life -- that I just wanted to dedicate my life to try and help other people because people feel alone; people are depressed; people have anxiety; people have mental health problems. And I always wanted to just make sure that people didn't like -- people knew they weren't alone.

And, again, I am just -- I wish I just never went to January 6th. I wish I never believed the lies. And all I can tell you is that I am definitely becoming a better person for myself and for this country, and that I hope that I --

THE COURT: Please put your mask above your

25 nose --

THE DEFENDANT: -- and that I can hope and show you that I am the person that I have been telling you about as I am speaking here, because the actions on January 6th don't match who I am; and I am truly sorry for that.

And that day is a very dark day for democracy, and it should never happen again. And I just want to say sorry to you -- to you guys for even having to go through all of these cases. And I also want to say sorry to the United States of America because people look at this as a very dark day; and I am very sad and upset that I was even part of this day.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Rubenacker.

Mr. Matera -- you can stay right where you are,
Mr. Rubenacker. I am going to explain the sentence I am
going to impose, and then impose sentence.

Mr. Matera, do you want to stand with your client?

So after considering the sentencing memoranda,

multiple memoranda submitted by each side, the presentence

investigation report, the sentencing recommendation from the

probation office, the recommendations on sentencing from

each of the parties, I must now consider the relevant

factors set out by Congress in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) and

ensure I impose a sentence that is sufficient but not

greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of

sentencing.

The purposes of sentencing include: The need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense; promote respect for the law; provide just punishment for the offense; deter criminal conduct; protect the public from future crimes by you, Mr. Rubenacker; and promote rehabilitation.

So having already considered how the guidelines apply in this case, I must -- pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 -- also consider: The nature and circumstances of the offense, your history and characteristics, the types of sentences available, the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records found guilty of similar conduct, and the need to provide restitution to any victims of the offense. And I will begin with the restitution amount owed by Mr. Rubenacker.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I just --

THE COURT: There is no plea agreement [sic] between the parties in the case, and the government asked for the same amount in restitution as it asked for in previous felony pleas, \$2,000.

Restitution is mandatory under the Mandatory
Victim Restitution Act, the MVRA, codified at 18 U.S.C.
Section 3663(a) for defendant's convictions on Count 4:
Entering and remaining in a restricted building or grounds,
in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1752(a)(1); and on Count 6

for engaging in physical violence in a restricted building or grounds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1752(a)(4).

The restitution is discretionary, under the Victim and Witness Protection Act of 1982, the VWPA, for four other of the defendant's convictions on Count 1, for civil disorder; Count 2, obstruction of an official proceeding in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1512(c)(2); Count 3, assaulting, resisting certain officers in violation of Section 111(a)(1); and Count 5, disorderly and disruptive conduct in a restricted building in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1752(a)(2).

And the government argues that restitution is appropriate under the VWPA because of defendant's conduct in conjunction with that of many other rioters directly and proximately resulting in damage to the Capitol Building and grounds and losses suffered by law enforcement officers deployed to protect members of Congress, their staff, and other Capitol employees; and the Court agrees.

Where, as here, the case involves the related criminal conduct of multiple defendants, the procedures for awarding restitution under both the MVPA and the VWPA allow the Court discretion to hold the defendants jointly and severally liable for the full amount of restitution or apportion restitution and hold the defendant responsible only for his own individual contribution to the victim's

loss.

And, here, the amount of \$2,000 is an approximate estimate of the losses for which he is responsible. And I do find that that is the standard amount that so far has been -- for which defendants from January 6th facing and convicted of felony charges are being required to pay. And so I will impose that amount of \$2,000 as restitution, and find that it is -- based on the record before me -- the best available estimate of damages for which this defendant should be held responsible.

Now regarding the nature and circumstances of the offense, he has pled guilty to all ten charges against him. His conduct did help facilitate a riot that overwhelmed law enforcement and succeeded, at least for a period of time, in disrupting the proceedings of Congress to certify the 2020 presidential election.

As to this defendant -- and I think the government said that there are many, many data points associated with each defendant's specific offense conduct that goes into fashioning an appropriate sentence for that defendant. And, in this case, the things that stand out about

Mr. Rubenacker's specific offense conduct in this case is that he was part of this vanguard of people storming the Capitol Building in entering the Senate wing doors within 60 seconds of the initial breach of the building, which

occurred at about 2:13 p.m.

He entered less than one minute later through the set of doors that had been busted open by force by the rioters. Once inside, he was then part of this mob that approached Capitol Police Officer Eugene Goodman, greatly outnumbering him; yelling at him; screaming obscenities at him. According to Officer Goodman's victim impact statement, it left him with no idea what to believe what their intentions were, and caused him to retreat to the top of the stairs until he finally saw the backup of his fellow police officers in the Ohio Clock Corridor.

This defendant was with the crowd that simply ignored the repeated instructions to back up and to leave.

And rather than backing up, this defendant followed him all the way up the steps.

I am not going to repeat things that we have already spent over an hour looking at, but I want to emphasize that chasing Officer Goodman up the stairs was antagonistic conduct; it was threatening conduct. It was scary conduct for Officer Goodman, and it was -- would, on its own, warrant significant punishment.

The defendant filmed himself walking through the hallways of the Capitol saying things like: "Holy shit!"

"This is history!" "We took the Capitol!" Clearly exhilarated by the fact that all these people in this crowd

were able to overwhelm the police.

And although he did exit the Capitol after this first illegal entry, about 20 minutes later -- despite having had a face-off encounter with all the line of police officers outside the Ohio Clock Corridor who were telling him to leave the building, he decided to do a second illegal reentry into the Capitol, at approximately 2:42, through the Rotunda doors.

Upon entering the Rotunda, he was apparently -- in the words of his counsel, so impressed with the temple of democracy, he decided to start smoking marijuana and puffing on a vapor. He brazenly filmed himself doing that, and posted it on social media with the caption, "Smoke out the Capitol, baby." "Smells like freedom in here." To me, this strikes me as a person feeling so bloody entitled with his right to be there inside the Capitol Building and was so exhilarated and excited about his ability to overwhelm the police, that he celebrated by smoking marijuana with a group of other people who were also smoking marijuana inside the Rotunda.

The defendant's reaction to being told by police officers to leave was, again, to harass and taunt the officers. In filming himself yelling: "We pay for you to serve us." "You serve this country." "Are you even proud of yourself?" "Are you guys even proud of yourselves?"

"Who are you guys serving?" He later accused the police officers of engaging in a communist act. "This is a communist act by you guys."

But not content with just the verbal harassment of police officers in the Rotunda trying to cabin the group of rioters -- at least at this one section of the building, rather than having them maraud through the rest of the building, although some of them already had -- he boldly stepped forward to a line of police officers and was -- leapt up and swung a plastic water bottle at law enforcement, which was the only weapon he had in his hands. And it appeared to me as if he made contact with the helmet of a police officer.

He then, a minute later, opened the bottle and sprayed the liquid from his bottle across multiple law enforcement officers who were trying to engage in the crowd to clear the Rotunda. He attempts to minimize his conduct by saying it was just water, it was just a water bottle. But anyone could see that the officers actually flinched. They had reason to fear being sprayed by an unknown substance.

It took being pepper sprayed to the face before this defendant decided finally to exit the Capitol more than an hour after he initially went in.

All the while he was yelling at the officers,

"This is a communist act right here." "I hope you guys are proud of that." "This is a communist act by you guys."

The nature and circumstances of this offense, the need for this sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense and promote respect for the law favors a custodial sentence.

Regarding his history and characteristics, he has no prior criminal history. I also appreciate that he was strongly affected by the tragic death of his friends in a car accident, but that accident occurred eight years ago; it cannot serve as an excuse for this defendant to so brazenly break the law twice by illegally entering the Capitol Building.

THE DEFENDANT: I still suffer from PTSD.

THE COURT: It appears, from the letters submitted by the defendant's family and his mental health counselor, that he has been very helpful in caring for his parents, but he does have a number of other siblings who can help step in while the defendant is held accountable for his actions on January 6th.

The need for the sentence imposed to deter criminal behavior and protect the public from further crimes by this defendant are critical considerations for every sentencing judge, and the seriousness of the criminal conduct on January 6th highlights the need for deterrence in

the form of a sufficient sentence to deter the defendant and others from engaging in this kind of violent breach of our democracy in the future.

In the days after he breached the Capitol,

Defendant's actions and statements suggest anything but

acceptance or appreciation of the wrongdoing. He searched

the internet for FBI pictures of rioters and methods to

encrypt his iPhone, suggesting he was attempting to conceal

evidence of his criminal actions.

He told others to message him on the encrypted messaging app Signal, rather than iMessage, explaining, "I don't trust anyone right now," and texting one individual, "Y'all have video of me in the capital?" "Fuck u." And another, "Playing with my freedom I guess is funny to you and I did zero violence."

Even more than that, his statements to others in the days after the Capitol attack reflect his pride in his actions that day. He bragged he had been let into the Capitol by police despite knowing that was not the case. In fact, he was told by the police to leave repeatedly.

And when one individual texted him on

January 27th, 2021, weeks after January 6th, expressing

dismay about his actions on January 6th, he responded: I'm

trying to understand what I do [sic] that offended you so

much and what violence did I do? And "My beliefs are

constitutional beliefs." He also boasted to another person he fought for their constitutional rights.

What the defendant did on January 6th was anything but fighting for constitutional rights.

I do appreciate the remorse he's expressed in his letter and in his statement today. But, at the same time, a sentence of incarceration is appropriate to deter this specific defendant from future unlawful activity and from others who may have an uncritical — be an uncritical believer of news or theories — no matter how incredible, no matter how unproven — from engaging in actions that are illegal based on those uncritical and false beliefs.

Dissatisfaction with our country's legitimate and peaceful avenues for expression of discontent don't give citizens license to disobey the law and overthrow democratically elected governments.

It bears repeating, again and again, at every one of these sentencings that every legitimate and reputable review has found the 2020 presidential election to be fair, with the results unassailable.

This defendant is a grown-up. He was a grown-up on January 6th, 2021; he should have known better.

When determining what sentence to impose, the importance of deterring future malcontents from disrupting the peaceful transition of power, which happens every four

years in this country, after an election weighs very heavily in this Court's consideration.

There are consequences to going along with the crowd when the crowd is engaging in clear, obvious criminal activity, and consideration of this factor favors imposition of a period of incarceration to promote respect for the law, deter this defendant and others from additional criminal activity.

Regarding the types of sentences available, a sentence of probation is not appropriate since his guideline range falls in Zone D of the Sentencing Table. And that is -- these are advisory guidelines, but I do believe that the guidelines recommendations regarding a period of incarceration is amply reasonable in this case.

I do concur with the government and the recommendation of the probation office that a term of incarceration is well warranted here. And let me sum up the ten aspects of the specific conduct here that, to my mind, warrant a period of incarceration.

First, he was among the very first rioters to enter the Capitol. And dramatic videos show the crowd breaking and smashing the windows surrounding the Senate wing door, pushing inside the building. Loud alarms are blaring; there is glass broken on the floor. It makes clear that the rioters' presence was anything but invited by the

police, as the defendant sort of mentioned to one of the people he communicated with. He was right there within 60 seconds, among the first of the 50 people to enter the Capitol through the Senate wing door.

Second, upon entering the Capitol, rather than wandering briefly through a public hall and out -- as a number of defendants before me have done -- he joined a huge crowd who targeted a law enforcement officer, Eugene Goodman, followed him up the floor -- up the stairwell to the second floor, with a bunch of people in the mob asking: Where are they counting the votes? All the while ignoring Officer Goodman's instructions to back up.

Third, once upstairs, he followed Officer Goodman into the Ohio Clock Corridor, where there were other uniformed officers positioned. He joined the crowd in taunting and harassing those law enforcement officers, seeming to delight in the finger pointing and the jeers.

He did exit the Capitol through another door about ten minutes later and -- but instead of staying outside, appreciating he wasn't supposed to be inside, he just let his bad decision snowball.

So a fourth reason the defendant's conduct warrants incarceration is his incredibly foolish but, also, dangerous decision to re-enter illegally into the Capitol Building a second time. To get inside the second time, this

defendant -- indistinguishable from a sea of red MAGA
hats -- helped the crowd violently push into the doors near
the Rotunda until those had been breached, and they were
able to stream inside.

Fifth, once back inside the Capitol, amidst the beauty of the Rotunda, he lit up a joint and began to smoke as if this were a party. He filmed himself for social media, as if the use of illegal drugs while illegally present inside the Capitol on one of the scariest days in our history was something to celebrate and make him look cool. He was not cool.

Sixth -- he was also not a patriot.

Sixth, as the Rotunda began to fill with more and more rioters, law enforcement arrived to try and get the scene under control. He, again, began to harass the officers there trying to do their job.

His words to the officers displayed a misplaced sense of entitlement entirely at odds with his illegal actions and those of hundreds of the other rioters that day. He is the one who asked the officers who were serving and questioned their patriotism, inexplicably accusing them of being communists until he was sprayed with tear gas.

Seventh, as the tension s arose in the Rotunda, the surveillance footage shows -- or CCTV footage, plus the body-worn camera footage -- shows the defendant coming

closer and closer to the frontline between the protesters and the police, like a moth to a flame, trying to get to the front of the group. He used his body as a battering ram to push protesters toward the police, providing clear physical support to the rioters in front of him and egging them on in their physical altercation with the police.

Eighth, as he drew near to the police, he reached out his water bottle, over the protesters in front of him, to reach far enough in front of him to hit a police officer on the head with it.

Ninth, as the police dispensed tear gas in an attempt to push the crowd back, he attempted to circumvent their efforts and resist their efforts to get people out of the Rotunda and the Capitol Building. He opened the lid of his water bottle, and he sprayed liquid across the multiple officers who were seen to flinch.

Finally, although he claims to now regret his actions that day, his messages to friends in the weeks after the attack show a defensiveness and an arrogance about his role in the riot, even as he also sought to shield his role by switching to an end-to-end encrypted messaging platform that he thought Snowden uses, by searching online for ways to encrypt his iPhone, by aggressively defending his actions on January 6th to others, and expressing anger towards others for posting publicly online information about his own

actions at the Capitol on January 6th.

Officer Goodman's victim impact letter makes eloquently clear how the effects of that day continue to linger on those working inside the Capitol Building. He says: It's been hard on the crew workers in the building, with some having to seek medical treatment and continuing fears about what happened that day.

Moving on to the next factor, the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparity, the government points to cases which it says contain a similar balance of aggravating and mitigating factors as the defendant here, and also has provided a very helpful chart of the sentences thus far imposed on January 6th cases.

The defendant debates whether or not those individuals had more egregious conduct. And in the Court's view, I just look at *U.S. v Fairlamb*, where that defendant -- just as this one -- pleaded to obstruction of justice under 1512(c)(2) and, also, assaulting, resisting, or impeding officers in violation of 18 U.S.C. 111(a)(1). And that court -- in that, the court imposed a sentence of 41 months on that defendant. Also, with respect to Duke Wilson -- where the defendant was charged and convicted of the same two charges; he was sentenced to a sentence of 51 months after picking up a pipe and striking indiscriminately at officers. And in *Thompson*, where the defendant also

pleaded to assaulting, resisting, or impeding officers in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 111(a)(1) only -- not the 1512(c) obstruction charge -- that defendant who had struck a police officer in the arm, as well as verbally harassed law enforcement -- he was given 46 months' incarceration.

To my mind, this defendant's conduct differs in some ways from those defendants, but is more egregious in other ways -- largely based on the ten factors I have already listed, and the fact that he went into the building twice, and the other factors I have already talked about.

So based on my consideration of these and other factors, I will now state the sentence to be imposed.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and in consideration of the provisions of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553, and the advisory sentencing guidelines, it is the judgment of the Court that you, Greg Rubenacker, are hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 41 months -- which is 3 years, 5 months -- on each of Counts 1, 2, and 3; a term of 12 months on each of Counts 4, 5, and 6; and a term of 6 months on each of Counts 7, 8, 9, and 10; with all such terms to run concurrently.

You are further sentenced to serve a 36-month,

3-year, term of supervised release as to each of Counts 1,

2, and 3; and a term of 12 months, 1 year, on each of

Counts 4, 5, and 6; with all such terms to run concurrently.

In addition, you are ordered to pay a special assessment of \$100 for each of Counts 1, 2, and 3; \$25 for each of Counts 4, 5, and 6; and \$10 for each of Counts 7, 8, 9, and 10; for a total of \$415, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 3013.

You are also ordered to make restitution to the Architect of the Capitol in the amount of \$2,000.

Restitution payments shall be made to: The Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, for disbursement to the following victim: Architect of the Capitol, in the amount of \$2,000; Office of the Chief Financial Officer, attention Kathy Sherrill, CPA, Ford Office Building, Room H2-205B, Washington, D.C. 20515.

While on supervision, you shall abide by the following mandatory conditions as well as the standard conditions of supervision which are imposed to establish basic expectations for your conduct while on supervision.

The mandatory conditions include: One, you must not commit another federal, state, or local crime;

Two, you must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance;

Three, you must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance, which includes marijuana. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervision, and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter

as determined by the Court;

Four, you must cooperate in the collection of DNA, as directed by the probation officer;

Five, you must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 3663 and 3663(a).

You shall also comply with the following special conditions:

You must submit to substance abuse testing to determine if you have used a prohibited substance. You must not attempt to obstruct or tamper with the testing methods.

You must participate in a mental health treatment program, and follow the rules and regulations of that program. The probation officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, will supervise your participation in the program.

Within 40 [sic] days of release from incarceration, you will appear before the Court for a re-entry progress hearing. The United States Probation Office in the district in which you are supervised will submit a progress report to the Court within 30 days of the commencement of supervision; and upon receipt of the progress report, the Court will determine if your appearance is required.

The Court finds you do not have the ability to pay a fine and therefore waives imposition of a fine in this case.

The financial obligations are immediately payable to: The Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court, 333 Constitution Avenue Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20001. Within 30 days of any change of address, you shall notify the Clerk of the Court of the change until such time as the financial obligation is paid in full.

The probation office shall release the presentence investigation report to all appropriate agencies, which includes the U.S. Probation Office in the approved district of residence in order to execute the sentence of the Court. Treatment agencies shall return the presentence report to the probation office upon the defendant's completion or termination from treatment.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3742, you have a right to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court. If you choose to appeal, you must file any appeal within 14 days after the Court enters judgment. If you are unable to afford the cost of an appeal, you may request permission from the Court to file an appeal without cost to you.

As defined in 28 U.S.C. Section 2255, you also have the right to challenge the conviction entered or sentence imposed if new and currently unavailable information becomes available to you or on a claim that you received ineffective assistance of counsel in entering a plea of guilty to the offense of conviction or in connection

```
1
      with the sentencing.
                 Are there any objections to the sentence imposed
2
      not already noted on the record from the government?
 3
                 MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Mr. Matera?
                 MR. MATERA: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
                 MR. MATERA: Judge, if I could just make a request
 8
 9
       that Your Honor is able to put a recommendation that any
       incarceration be close to his home?
10
                 THE COURT: And his home, which --
11
12
                 MR. MATERA: Long Island. I think New Jersey, maybe.
13
                 THE COURT: In New Jersey?
14
                 MR. MATERA: I think that may be the closest one.
15
      He's in -- Long Island, New York is where he resides. I
16
      think the closest one may be New Jersey.
17
                 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I will just ask for Long
18
       Island, New York. I will make that recommendation.
19
                 MR. MATERA: Sure.
20
                 THE COURT: All right. I am prepared to have --
21
       allow the defendant to self-surrender, but I want to give
22
       the government an opportunity to address that issue.
23
                 MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, I believe -- it would be
24
       the government's request to defer to the Court. I wasn't
25
       sure if the Court has allowed for self-surrender, and so I
```

```
1
       would just defer to the Court; but I would request that it
2
      be done so promptly.
 3
                 THE COURT: All right. Well, if you have --
 4
                 MR. EDWARDS: Frankly, I will state -- I mean, I
 5
       think throughout these cases -- and I can check before I
 6
       state this on the record. I think the government has
7
       allowed this. I don't want to overstep here and go against
      what we have done in past cases. I believe we have allowed
 8
 9
       the defendants to self-report.
10
                 THE COURT: Well, you don't allow; you make a
11
       request.
12
                 MR. EDWARDS: I apologize. Right.
13
                 THE COURT: And so I am just giving you an
14
       opportunity to make a request for -- if you are asking for
15
      him to be detained while he is being designated. But if you
16
      are not making that request, fine.
17
                 If you had made the request, to be honest, I
18
      probably would have overruled it; he has been doing fine on
19
      pretrial release. I don't see any reason to change that,
20
       and will allow him to self-surrender. Which I would presume
21
      would be Mr. Matera's request.
22
                 MR. MATERA: It would be, Your Honor.
23
                 THE COURT: All right. And I do not determine the
24
       time --
25
                 MR. EDWARDS: Right.
```

THE COURT: -- while he is awaiting designation; that is up to the Bureau of Prisons.

MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Understood.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Rubenacker, I will allow you to remain on release while you are awaiting designation by the Bureau of Prisons to the facility where you will serve your sentence of imprisonment.

I must caution you about your conduct while you are on release pending surrender. You are required to appear to surrender for service of your sentence as directed by the probation department and the Bureau of Prisons.

Failure to appear and surrender as required is a separate criminal offense for which you could be sentenced to imprisonment.

All the conditions on which you were released up until now continue to apply, and the penalties for violating those conditions can be severe. If you fail to appear to surrender, you could be subject to a fine or imprisonment — an additional term of imprisonment that can be consecutive to the term of imprisonment to which you have already been sentenced today. If you violate the conditions of your release, you may be subject to revocation of the release and a separate prosecution for contempt of court.

If you are convicted of an offense committed

1	newly a new offense committed while you are on release
2	pending surrender, in addition to the sentence imposed for
3	that offense, you may be sentenced to an additional
4	consecutive term of imprisonment for committing a crime
5	while on release.
6	Do you understand, Mr. Rubenacker?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I just have a question.
8	MR. MATERA: Ask me.
9	THE COURT: All right. If there is nothing
10	further from the government today
11	MR. EDWARDS: Nothing from the government, Your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: And, Mr. Matera, anything further today?
14	MR. MATERA: Not at this time, Your Honor.
14 15	MR. MATERA: Not at this time, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused.
15 16	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby
15 16 17	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true,
15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate
15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void
15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void if the transcript is disassembled and/or photocopied in any manner by any party without authorization of the signatory
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void if the transcript is disassembled and/or photocopied in any manner by any party without authorization of the signatory below.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void if the transcript is disassembled and/or photocopied in any manner by any party without authorization of the signatory below. Dated this 7th day of June, 2022.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Thank you. You are all excused. (Whereupon, the proceeding concludes, 2:09 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I, ELIZABETH SAINT-LOTH, RPR, FCRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes, and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. This certificate shall be considered null and void if the transcript is disassembled and/or photocopied in any manner by any party without authorization of the signatory below.

137:2, 160:24 105:23, 146:6, 2J1.1 [1] - 66:24 3663 [1] - 161:5 \$ 159:19, 159:24, **15,000** [1] - 82:15 2J1.1(b)(2 [3] - 46:6, 3663(a [1] - 145:23 **150** [1] - 92:11 160.2 46:11, 47:7 3663(a) [1] - 161:5 **\$10** [2] - 82:17, 160:3 **1503** [5] - 62:13, **2.7** [2] - 49:22, 50:6 **2J1.2** [21] - 33:24, 3742 [1] - 162:14 **\$100** [2] - 82:15, 160:2 62:17, 63:3, 63:11, 20 [8] - 6:5, 98:25, 34:3, 34:8, 34:20, **3771** [2] - 15:22, 18:3 **\$150,000** [1] - 82:15 63:18 105:2, 105:4, 106:8, 35:1, 39:19, 40:22, 3771(a)(8 [1] - 23:1 \$2,000 [9] - 93:11, 106:13, 109:14, **1512** [8] - 25:10, 44:25, 55:4, 64:15, 3771(e) [2] - 15:25, 94:20, 116:22, 25:17, 32:8, 41:22, 65:6, 65:18, 67:19, 149:3 26:23 117:11, 145:20, **20-20** [1] - 4:17 68:1, 68:11, 69:4, 67:1, 67:3, 67:12, 3:09 [1] - 108:16 147:2, 147:7, 160:7, 69:17, 70:4, 80:16, 67:21 20-day [1] - 142:14 3:20 [1] - 109:12 160:11 81:5, 81:19 20001 [2] - 1:14, 162:3 **1512(c** [1] - 159:3 3D1.2(b [1] - 31:15 **\$25** [2] - 82:16, 160:2 2J1.2(a [1] - 81:20 1512(c)(2[11] - 34:1, 2002 [1] - 67:1 3D1.2(c [1] - 31:21 **\$415** [2] - 82:18, 160:4 37:25, 38:10, 46:15, 2009 [2] - 70:16, 78:2 2J1.2(b)(1)(B [7] -3D1.2(c) [1] - 32:10 53:7, 64:22, 68:10, **2011** [1] - 63:22 32:8, 35:19, 55:18, **3E1.1(a**[1] - 82:6 73:11, 115:14, 60:18, 60:22, 61:11, 2012 [2] - 72:22, 77:16 3E1.1(b [1] - 82:6 146:7, 158:18 81:21 **2016** [1] - 26:24 2J1.2(b)(1)(B) [1] -1515(a)(1)(B [1] - 38:1 **2017** [1] - 70:15 4 'administration [1] -1516 [1] - 68:23 55:8 **202** [1] - 1:15 73:15 1519_[1] - 68:24 2J1.2(b)(2 [8] - 36:7, 2020 [6] - 60:12, **4** [6] **-** 82:10, 82:16, **16** [6] - 105:2, 105:13, 36:19, 45:24, 61:6, 118:21, 119:12, 61:14, 76:9, 77:17, 145:23, 159:19, 105:16, 135:19, 127:9, 147:15, 81:25 159:25, 160:3 135:21 153:19 **2J2.1** [1] - 38:6 **40** [6] - 96:10, 97:24, **1752(a)(1** [1] - 145:25 /s [1] - 166:24 2021 [13] - 1:5, 50:16, 98:10, 100:9, 110:7, 2J2.2 [1] - 38:7 1752(a)(2 [1] - 117:4 59:16, 61:20, 65:25, 161:16 1752(a)(2)[1] - 146:11 73:6, 74:25, 75:11, 1 400 [1] - 142:1 3 1752(a)(4 [1] - 117:4 80:10, 80:24, 84:21, **41** [9] - 82:8, 83:5, 152:22, 153:22 1752(a)(4) [1] - 146:2 **1** [16] **-** 4:5, 18:4, 30:9, 88:20, 93:9, 93:12, 18 [31] - 15:15, 15:25, 2022 [4] - 27:6, 28:17, 3 [21] - 6:5, 31:12, 31:12, 31:19, 49:10, 114:13, 141:10, 26:23, 28:6, 33:21, 84:23, 166:23 31:19. 33:19. 34:23. 82:7, 82:14, 82:16, 158:21, 159:18 34:1. 37:24. 41:22. **20515**[1] - 160:13 43:4. 43:18. 45:24. 95:1, 95:15, 146:5, **42** [1] - 6:8 62:13. 62:17. 64:22. **21** [1] - 28:17 48:1. 52:20. 54:13. 159:19, 159:23, **43** [4] - 106:14, 67:12, 67:21, 68:22, **21-193** [2] - 1:3, 2:3 73:18. 81:24. 82:4. 159:24, 160:2 119:19, 119:21, 73:10, 99:24, 99:25, 21-CR-120 [1] - 71:16 82:14, 82:16, 146:7, 1.2 [1] - 66:24 131:5 141:14, 144:22, **21-CR-188** [2] - 65:13, 159:18, 159:19, 10 [8] - 4:5, 30:6, **45** [1] - 109:16 145:8, 145:22, 71:17 159:24, 160:2 55:15, 61:1, 82:17, 46 [4] - 93:8, 94:18, 145:25, 146:2, 21-CR-3 [1] - 71:16 3-offense [6] - 47:4, 103:6, 159:21, 160:4 116:2, 159:5 146:7, 146:10, 21-CR-345 [1] - 71:18 48:14, 61:6, 61:13, 100 [1] - 101:8 4th [1] - 1:14 158:19, 159:2, 21-CR-75 [1] - 45:20 65:11, 76:9 **10:00** [1] - 1:5 159:14, 160:4, 22 [3] - 82:7, 98:25, 3-year [1] - 159:23 **11** [2] - 104:13, 105:13 161:5, 162:14 5 108:22 30 [11] - 96:9, 99:5, **111(a)(1** [3] - 33:21, **188** [1] - 78:2 **2255** [1] - 162:20 105:3, 105:16, 146:9, 159:2 **1969** [1] - 139:3 24 [4] - 59:20, 127:16, 105:19, 108:15, **5** [10] **-** 20:24, 57:17, **111(a)(1)** [1] - 158:19 **1982** [3] - 67:13, 140:7, 141:14 118:15, 140:8, 70:25, 82:10, 82:16, **11747** [1] - 1:18 67:14, 146:4 **25** [1] - 82:3 140:9, 161:20, 162:4 146:9, 159:18, **12** [18] - 76:17, 82:11, 1984_[1] - 159:13 **258-1251** [1] - 1:15 30-month [1] - 88:21 159:20, 159:25, 83:6, 86:23, 86:24, **1995** [1] - 62:12 **26** [2] - 1:5, 68:25 3013 [1] - 160:5 160:3 93:14, 105:21, 1998 [1] - 76:24 26-year-old [2] -**303** [1] - 1:18 **50** [4] - 95:22, 100:9, 106:12, 132:13, **1999** [3] - 48:13, 83:20, 119:24 101:8, 155:3 32 [1] - 12:22 132:14, 139:23, 76:18, 76:23 27th [1] - 152:22 **51** [6] - 82:8, 83:5, 32(i)(4 [1] - 26:16 140:1, 142:2, 142:3, 1:30 [1] - 138:6 **28** [1] - 162:20 88:20, 93:10, 116:2, **333** [1] - 162:2 142:19, 159:19, **1B1.1** [1] - 60:8 2:09 [1] - 166:16 158:23 3553 [2] - 145:9, 159:24 **1B1.4** [1] - 79:23 **2:13** [4] - 95:21, 96:10, 51-month [1] - 141:11 159:15 **13** [1] - 67:8 109:13, 148:1 515 [1] - 62:11 **14** [5] - 42:19, 67:8, 3553(a [4] - 83:23, 2 **2:42** [2] - 99:8, 149:7 **516** [1] - 1:19 93:17, 110:21, 81:20, 106:23, 2:45 [1] - 98:3 **52** [2] **-** 5:5, 28:16 144:22 162:16 2A2.2 [5] - 31:13, **36** [3] - 93:10, 93:13, **53** [2] **-** 5:7, 28:16 **15** [10] **-** 48:1, 105:19, 2 [16] - 18:4, 33:24, 31:14, 33:19, 34:23, **55** [3] - 5:22, 5:24, 6:4 94:19 105:21, 105:23, 34:4, 37:24, 38:3, 81:4 **551** [1] - 68:22 **36-month** [1] - 159:22 106:12, 106:14, 59:11, 59:13, 59:19, **2d** [1] - 78:2 **555** [1] - 1:14 **3661** [2] - 15:15, 28:6 126:16, 127:7, 68:7, 70:25, 96:1, **2J** [1] - 41:14

EC 101 E:11 6:0	_	accepted to 02:20	activities (4) 62:4	17.9 17.23 19.6
56 [2] - 5:11, 6:8	7	accepted [2] - 92:20,	activities [1] - 62:4	47:8, 47:23, 48:6,
560 [1] - 1:17		102:2	activity [4] - 73:6,	48:15, 54:17, 55:12,
564 [1] - 63:22	7 m 20.6 92.17	accepting [2] - 33:12,	153:8, 154:5, 154:8	60:24, 61:2, 61:8,
57 [1] - 88:18	7 [6] - 30:6, 82:17,	110:11	acts [11] - 31:16,	61:13, 61:15, 61:18,
59 [1] - 5:11	100:21, 100:22,	access [2] - 4:8, 4:12	59:12, 64:7, 72:21,	61:21, 61:25, 62:4,
593 [1] - 62:11	159:20, 160:3	accessing[1] - 113:19	72:24, 73:3, 74:6,	62:8, 62:12, 62:16,
5K2.0(a)(3 [3] - 82:21,	70 [1] - 63:22	accident [5] - 70:19,	75:14, 111:24,	62:19, 63:5, 63:12,
83:6, 92:4	71 [1] - 88:18	108:24, 136:6,	112:7, 112:8	63:16, 64:5, 64:13,
5K2.0(a)(3) [1] - 92:25	7212 [1] - 69:1	151:10	actual [4] - 56:8,	64:16, 64:18, 64:25,
	741-6700 [1] - 1:19	accordance [3] -	56:16, 65:18, 69:16	65:5, 65:17, 66:21,
6	7th [1] - 166:23	72:15, 160:4, 161:4	ad [1] - 105:22	67:25, 68:13, 69:1,
		according [5] - 49:8,	adamant [1] - 86:20	69:5, 69:11, 69:14,
	8	74:20, 92:13,	add [3] - 35:15, 37:3,	69:25, 70:23, 72:1,
6 [19] - 30:9, 49:21,		108:25, 148:7	139:24	72:2, 72:12, 72:19,
50:5, 59:15, 61:20,		accost [3] - 96:18,	added [3] - 31:7, 33:7,	72:23, 73:7, 73:23,
65:24, 73:6, 74:25,	8 [8] - 30:6, 51:4,	102:21, 108:20	66:25	74:3, 74:23, 75:13,
75:11, 80:10, 80:24,	52:21, 54:13, 54:14,	accosting[1] - 112:10	addition [3] - 48:19,	75:24, 76:3, 76:11,
82:10, 82:16, 101:3,	81:21, 159:20, 160:3	account [4] - 79:25,	160:1, 166:2	79:12, 79:17, 80:13,
145:25, 159:20,	8-level [1] - 60:16	92:19, 92:20, 93:3	additional [9] - 35:16,	81:24, 82:2
159:25, 160:3	8-offense [3] - 55:6,	accountable [1] -	81:20, 86:9, 89:10,	Administration [3] -
60 [4] - 6:8, 12:22,	60:21, 61:11	151:19	105:18, 112:23,	41:16, 41:21, 47:12
147:24, 155:2	80s [1] - 140:14		154:7, 165:20, 166:3	administrative [3] -
60(a)(3) [1] - 26:16	88 [1] - 63:22	accurate [7] - 14:20,		61:23, 68:16, 71:3
62 [1] - 5:25		15:9, 23:4, 91:20,	Address [1] - 23:19	admissibility [1] -
627 [1] - 78:1	9	115:15, 124:9,	address [21] - 20:20,	23:17
64 [1] - 6:12		166:18	20:21, 24:9, 42:9,	admit [1] - 20:10
6th [76] - 9:5, 17:5,		accurately[1] - 75:6	47:17, 50:22, 50:23,	admits [1] - 120:6
19:18, 19:21, 19:24,	9 [5] - 30:6, 55:15,	accused [2] - 138:3,	52:8, 55:16, 76:7,	
21:5, 21:12, 21:20,	61:1, 159:20, 160:4	150:1	79:6, 80:4, 83:25,	admittedly [1] - 22:2
22:8, 23:10, 24:6,	9:45 [4] - 9:3, 10:6,	accusing [1] - 156:21	108:10, 111:2,	admitting [1] - 134:3
27:6, 27:15, 28:2,	10:9, 12:11	act [5] - 128:1, 150:2,	111:20, 114:25,	adopt [2] - 42:2, 42:16
1		150:3, 151:1, 151:2	116:21, 118:15,	advance[1] - 5:9
36:13, 45:1, 45:9,	Α	Act [15] - 17:21, 17:25,	162:4, 163:22	advantage[1] - 128:6
45:11, 46:12, 46:16,		19:5, 22:15, 22:21,	addressed [7] - 4:1,	advice [1] - 132:18
47:20, 50:3, 50:14,		24:14, 25:2, 26:20,	45:20, 62:12,	advisory [5] - 7:19,
50:16, 53:1, 56:21,	a.m [3] - 1:5, 20:24,	67:1, 67:13, 116:25,	103:11, 111:21,	82:8, 82:14, 154:12,
57:17, 61:9, 65:13,	57:17	145:22, 146:4,	129:8, 131:11	159:15
65:23, 71:8, 71:12,	abetting [1] - 78:16	159:13	addressing [2] -	affected [1] - 151:9
76:6, 84:13, 91:8,	abide [1] - 160:14	acted [1] - 78:3	107:22, 108:11	affects [1] - 26:5
94:22, 95:4, 95:11,	abiding [1] - 98:22	acting [3] - 66:10,	adequate [2] - 86:11,	affirming [1] - 77:17
98:6, 102:13,	ability [8] - 94:24,	97:10, 128:7	113:1	afford [1] - 162:18
109:17, 109:19,	132:9, 132:10,	action [3] - 28:2,	adequately [1] - 92:6	afraid [1] - 10:12
109:22, 110:2,	137:3, 140:12,	80:13, 107:5	adjudication [1] -	age [2] - 136:3, 137:15
110:6, 110:18,	149:17, 161:24,	Action [1] - 1:3	74:16	agencies [2] - 162:8,
110:25, 111:6,	166:20	actions [36] - 9:16,	adjudications [1] -	162:11
113:13, 118:24,	able [12] - 87:10,	17:5, 59:15, 59:24,	69:21	agency [5] - 37:20,
119:25, 120:16,	94:10, 97:14,	60:5, 63:3, 63:6,	adjudicative [2] - 64:4	62:5, 68:25, 69:21,
121:18, 127:11,	100:16, 123:24,	63:7, 75:21, 77:11,	adjudicator [1] - 73:21	73:21
130:4, 134:5, 134:7,	137:19, 137:22,	77:15, 77:25, 79:4,	adjudicatory [2] -	Agent [1] - 2:7
134:8, 137:23,	140:17, 140:19,	79:12, 84:20, 111:4,	37:20, 62:5	agents [1] - 63:8
138:1, 138:19,	149:1, 156:4, 163:9	122:24, 123:10,	adjusted [1] - 82:3	aggravating [5] - 7:22,
139:2, 139:18,	absolutely [5] - 14:9,	124:18, 125:23,	adjustment [1] - 31:7	34:18, 112:2,
142:16, 143:20,	119:15, 119:18,	129:21, 136:22,	adjustments [1] -	112:24, 158:10
144:3, 147:5,	120:18, 126:17	141:12, 142:11,	31:23	aggressively[1] -
151:20, 151:25,	abuse [1] - 161:8	141.12, 142.11,		
152:22, 152:23,	accept [8] - 10:21,	151:19, 152:5,	administration [74] -	157:23
153:3, 153:22,	12:24, 16:20, 29:24,	151.19, 152.5,	35:22, 36:3, 36:9,	agitated [1] - 58:9
157:24, 158:1,	32:24, 86:21, 87:22,		36:10, 36:13, 37:10,	ago [1] - 151:10
158:13	92:14	152:23, 153:11,	37:14, 38:19, 39:8,	agree [14] - 13:2, 13:3,
6th-related [1] - 71:12	acceptance [4] - 82:4,	156:19, 157:18,	39:12, 39:17, 40:1,	15:13, 15:19, 27:18,
	83:25, 84:8, 152:6	157:23, 158:1	40:4, 40:15, 41:11,	31:10, 34:3, 48:24,
	00.20, 04.0, 102.0	active [1] - 79:2	42:2, 45:10, 46:18,	87:25, 118:22,
1	1	1	1	1

119:1, 134:10, 139:17 agreed [5] - 25:6, 39:21, 45:23, 46:1, 86:1 agreement [8] - 25:4, 45:5. 45:13. 45:23. 46:2, 87:24, 88:1, 145:17 agreements [2] -71:22, 91:6 **agrees** [4] - 30:13, 60:8, 112:16, 146:18 Aguilar [3] - 62:11, 62:14, 62:20 ahead [4] - 32:12, 50:25, 87:19, 94:1 aid [2] - 6:6, 94:24 aided [1] - 1:25 aiding [1] - 78:16 ailments [1] - 140:16 akin [1] - 66:16 alarms [1] - 154:23 albeit [1] - 77:18 Ali [2] - 43:6, 70:14 allegation [2] - 14:7, 109:24 alleged [2] - 99:16, 99:17 allow [9] - 42:8, 42:10, 88:6, 136:18, 146:21, 163:21, 164:10, 164:20, 165:6 allowed [5] - 129:5, 137:4, 163:25, 164:7, 164:8 allowing [2] - 4:12, 113:11 alluded [2] - 42:8, 119:24 alluding [1] - 124:8 almost [2] - 112:5, 129:25 alone [5] - 36:15, 77:19, 135:23, 143:14, 143:18 ALSO [1] - 1:21 altercation [1] - 157:6 altogether [2] - 31:4, 31:5 Alvear [1] - 101:23 amenable [1] - 87:14 amended [1] - 67:2 **Amendment** [1] - 48:1 amendments [1] -48:4 America [3] - 2:3, 95:18, 144:9

AMERICA[1] - 1:3

American [3] - 56:5, 101:25, 102:25 amidst [1] - 156:5 amount [18] - 10:17, 25:7, 48:22, 59:1, 76:16, 102:15, 114:13, 114:18, 117:11, 131:6, 145:15, 145:19, 146:23, 147:2, 147:4, 147:7, 160:7, 160:11 amply [1] - 154:14 **analogized** [1] - 66:18 analogy [1] - 80:22 analysis [3] - 33:6, 34:24, 114:5 anger [1] - 157:24 angle [2] - 97:12, 103:4 angles [1] - 107:17 angry [5] - 27:22, 57:12, 58:15, 59:5, 108:24 ankle [1] - 142:1 answer [3] - 21:8, 119:11, 119:16 antagonistic [2] -58:1, 148:19 anticipated [1] - 21:3 ants [1] - 113:15 anxiety [2] - 136:12, 143:15 anyway [1] - 35:5 apart [3] - 39:10, 139:7, 142:12 apologies [1] - 103:23 apologize [3] - 11:5, 51:16, 164:12 app [1] - 152:11 apparent [1] - 91:8 appeal [6] - 88:7, 162:15, 162:16, 162:18, 162:19 appear [7] - 25:5, 26:11, 65:1, 161:17, 165:11, 165:13, 165:18 appearance [1] -161:22 APPEARANCES[1] -1:10 appeared [3] - 3:4, 67:11, 150:12 appearing [2] - 63:21, 72:25

applicable [11] -

12:25, 31:24, 33:19,

33:24, 34:11, 40:22,

43:5, 60:13, 67:19,

76:6, 93:9 application [23] -32:25, 36:1, 36:18, 147:2 39:19, 45:6, 45:9, 55:4, 55:7, 55:9, 55:20, 60:16, 61:6, 62:1, 71:24, 72:5, 76:25. 77:6. 77:17. 81:5, 81:7, 81:16, 92:24, 93:17 applications [1] -42:11 applied [19] - 42:5, 43:8, 43:18, 44:25, 21:7 45:24, 46:15, 47:7, 52:20, 54:13, 65:11, 65:15, 70:11, 70:17, 71:2, 71:13, 77:10, 77:22, 113:9 applies [14] - 34:4, 34:20, 35:19, 36:7, 37:14, 45:11, 45:16, 46:6, 48:24, 50:21, 62:9, 65:6, 72:17, 87:18 81:4 apply [36] - 7:19, 7:25, 30:8, 37:8, 40:23, 41:2, 42:10, 42:19, 44:16, 45:2, 45:17, 47:4, 48:15, 52:3, 52:4, 60:22, 63:7, 63:13, 64:16, 67:6, 68:1, 68:17, 68:20, 69:5, 69:7, 71:4, 73:15, 76:10, 76:12, 67:22 76:13, 79:18, 80:22, 81:17, 112:3, 145:8, 165:17 applying [3] - 36:6, 46:11, 71:9 89:24 **apportion** [1] - 146:24 appreciate [7] - 27:19, 30:10, 61:24, 93:1, 137:1, 151:8, 153:5 appreciated [1] -135:8 appreciating [1] -155:20 appreciation [1] -152:6 approach [2] - 103:14, 106:3 approached [2] -134:13, 148:5 approaches [1] -102:17 appropriate [8] -79:20, 81:8, 117:11, 146:13, 147:20, 153:7, 154:10, 162:8

approved [1] - 162:9 approximate [1] -April [1] - 28:17 arbiter [1] - 45:15 arbitrarily [1] - 115:18 **arbitrary** [1] - 114:19 Architect [2] - 160:7, 160:10 area [6] - 4:10, 58:17, 58:23, 89:2, 124:11, 131:20 areas [3] - 20:25, 21:6, arguably [1] - 126:11 argue [3] - 36:15, 107:7, 121:1 argues [11] - 33:18, 55:17, 56:11, 60:21, 61:19, 64:10, 69:11, 73:13, 76:12, 76:18, 146:12 arguing [2] - 41:9, argument [13] - 17:2, 19:1, 24:19, 35:17, 40:19, 51:2, 62:8, 63:23, 67:8, 76:7, 83:7, 83:13, 101:10 arguments [9] - 18:16, 18:23, 35:3, 40:6, 42:17, 44:9, 50:23, 67:24. 83:11 arising [2] - 45:1, arm [3] - 107:1, 109:11, 159:4 arose [1] - 156:23 arrangements [1] arrest [3] - 85:1, 92:22, 134:13 arrested [6] - 21:5, 84:21, 85:10, 117:10, 121:2, 136:7 arrived [2] - 78:25, 156:14 arrogance [1] - 157:19 art [1] - 133:25 artist [1] - 136:21 aside [1] - 64:5 aspects [2] - 34:16, 154:18 **aspiration** [1] - 143:11 aspiring [1] - 136:20 assault [4] - 11:19. 25:9, 85:12, 116:17 assaulted [5] - 60:3, 107:16, 112:22, 114:9, 114:12

assaulting [5] - 33:20, 116:13, 146:8, 158:18, 159:1 assaults [3] - 60:10, 99:10, 116:8 assertion [2] - 56:14, 78:15 assess [3] - 43:12, 114:7, 115:19 assessing [1] - 115:10 assessment [4] -82:15, 82:18, 139:17, 160:2 assigned [1] - 126:20 assist [1] - 124:7 assistance [3] - 88:25, 126:4, 162:24 Assistant [3] - 2:17, 2:19, 86:4 associate [1] - 129:3 associated [2] -134:23, 147:18 assurance [1] -124:25 assure [3] - 89:19, 125:13, 141:2 Atlantic [4] - 43:1, 44:18, 70:15, 78:1 attack [2] - 152:17, 157:19 attacked [2] - 111:5, 118:24 attacking [3] - 80:13, 108:7, 110:6 attempt [3] - 107:7, 157:12, 161:10 attempted [2] -103:13, 157:12 attempting [1] - 152:8 attempts [2] - 58:22, 150:17 attended [1] - 138:19 attention [3] - 91:9, 122:22, 160:12 attitude [1] - 95:11 attorney [4] - 22:4, 28:25, 29:4, 86:10 Attorney [2] - 2:17, 2:19 Attorney's [1] - 1:13 Attorneys [1] - 86:5 audio [3] - 3:21, 95:13, 115:23 audit [1] - 68:24 August [1] - 87:14 AUSA[1] - 2:25 authority [1] - 75:9 authorization [1] -166:22 authorized [1] - 79:19

available [6] - 4:8, 78:21, 145:11, 147:9, 154:9, 162:23 Avenue [1] - 162:3 avenues [1] - 153:14 avoid [2] - 145:11, 158:8 avoided [1] - 31:6 awaiting [2] - 165:1, 165:6 awarding [1] - 146:21 aware [19] - 9:4, 10:15, 11:15, 16:17, 45:14, 46:15, 53:16, 85:8, 86:8, 87:4, 88:18, 107:21, 113:2, 114:4, 126:9, 126:16, 137:10, 137:24, 138:4 awareness [2] - 20:18, 106.9 awkward [1] - 42:5

В

b)(1)(b[4] - 36:1, 36:11, 51:3, 54:18 **b)(2**[1] - 36:7 baby [1] - 149:14 background [1] - 70:4 backing [2] - 51:10, 148:14 backup [1] - 148:10 backwards [2] -101:13, 105:20 bad [2] - 13:4, 155:21 balance [2] - 139:19, 158:10 **ballot** [2] - 75:7, 120:20 ballots [1] - 120:20 **bar** [1] - 60:15 bargain [1] - 126:4 base [2] - 63:23, 81:20 based [23] - 7:20, 14:23, 27:7, 27:18, 40:16, 56:17, 65:13, 67:4, 70:17, 71:14, 77:13, 81:4, 82:11, 83:12, 83:17, 91:16, 92:5, 116:23, 131:9, 147:8, 153:12, 159:8, 159:11 basic [1] - 160:17 basis [3] - 43:15, 75:9, 92:12 battering [1] - 157:3 bears [1] - 153:17 beauty [1] - 156:6

became [3] - 86:9, 135:25, 136:2 bit [7] - 49:7, 84:6, becomes [3] - 102:11, 104:5. 162:23 becoming [1] - 143:21 132:5 BEFORE [1] - 1:9 **bizarre** [1] - 11:9 began [3] - 156:6, Black's [3] - 72:2, 72:11, 75:16 156:13, 156:15 begin [2] - 55:6, **blaring** [1] - 154:24 **blatant** [1] - 57:10 145:14 beginning [2] - 84:17, **bloody** [1] - 149:15 87:20 boasted [1] - 153:1 behalf [2] - 7:24, 64:1 body [12] - 66:1, behavior [4] - 94:21. 78:22, 103:3, 102:9. 107:19. 151:22 behind [5] - 97:13, 97:25, 98:17, 100:17, 100:18 belabor [1] - 132:1 body-worn [8] - 103:3, belied [3] - 78:19, 113:7, 113:9 belief [4] - 121:7, 121:13, 124:8, **boldly** [1] - 150:8 133:13 **book** [1] - 120:4 beliefs [11] - 118:8, 118:12, 123:22, boosted [1] - 2:12 124:17, 124:20, bottle [22] - 58:23, 124:22, 125:17, 58:24, 59:11, 60:3, 139:10, 152:25, 78:22, 107:5, 153:1, 153:12 believer [1] - 153:10 believes [4] - 33:18, 34:9, 109:1, 139:11 below [2] - 83:5, 166:22 benefit [2] - 88:21, 88:22 bottom [6] - 39:1, **BERYL** [1] - 1:9 47:6, 57:22, 59:9, best [5] - 42:6, 106:24, 88:20, 104:16 142:20, 147:8, **bottom-line** [1] - 47:6 166:19 bought [3] - 120:18, betray [1] - 109:20 120:19, 120:21 betraying [1] - 102:12 **bouts** [1] - 116:4 better [10] - 12:23, **box** [1] - 75:8 103:4, 107:3, 111:9, **boxes** [1] - 120:20 119:25, 127:6, bragged [1] - 152:18 127:8, 136:1, 143:21, 153:22 bragging [1] - 102:10 between [13] - 38:15, branch [3] - 37:20, 80:23. 91:18 48:18, 52:22, 59:24, 77:14, 85:1, 87:8, branches [1] - 32:7 87:12, 88:23, brazenly [2] - 149:12, 129:17, 141:14, 151:11 145:18, 157:1 breach [5] - 78:23, 79:2, 100:11, beyond [2] - 51:12, 84:8 big [2] - 118:25, breached [7] - 51:15,

140:21

bigger [3] - 50:9,

107:14, 130:21

105:22, 113:1,

113:12, 118:16,

103:22, 107:11,

107:17, 107:18,

107:25, 108:21,

121:25, 156:25,

103:22, 107:11,

107:17, 107:18,

107:25, 108:21,

107:16, 107:21,

129:19, 129:24,

130:8, 130:12,

131:3, 150:10,

150:18, 157:8,

147:25, 152:2

57:22, 75:21, 99:7,

108:19, 152:4, 156:3

157:15

150:14, 150:15,

108:1, 116:7, 122:2,

157:3

156:25

breaches [1] - 98:4 breadth [1] - 62:14 break [4] - 81:11, 81:12, 81:13, 151:12 breaking [3] - 96:8, 112:24, 154:22 breaks [1] - 95:20 breath [1] - 143:2 breeze [1] - 94:5 brief [4] - 35:15, 38:18, 47:16, 104:15 briefing [5] - 35:4, 36:14, 37:4, 64:12, 76:8 briefings [1] - 117:15 briefly [5] - 41:7, 91:3, 91:4, 116:22, 155:6 bring [5] - 101:21, 130:13, 130:14, 130:17, 132:4 **bringing** [2] - 107:5 brings [1] - 44:10 broad [10] - 15:13, 24:4, 24:24, 25:17, 42:9, 47:9, 47:23, 62:21, 65:7, 70:1 broadcast [1] - 10:22 broader [1] - 63:16 Broadhollow [1] -1:17 broadly [6] - 15:22, 24:15, 26:21, 41:17, 70:6, 72:3 broken [4] - 9:19, 127:21, 143:3, 154:24 brothers [1] - 136:2 brought [3] - 47:18, 71:21, 85:23 brown [5] - 97:14, 100:16, 100:19, 104:16, 106:10 Buddha[1] - 142:6 buddies [1] - 27:25 Building [33] - 11:17, 14:17, 14:18, 14:22, 16:4, 16:23, 20:10, 20:25, 21:6, 27:13, 49:21, 59:21, 59:23, 90:15, 95:19, 95:23, 98:24, 102:6, 109:12, 112:4, 114:10, 114:12, 114:20, 122:7, 122:11, 146:15, 147:24, 149:16, 151:13, 155:25, 157:14, 158:4, 160:13 building [23] - 12:15,

39:15, 51:21, 57:8, 57:22, 109:6, 114:16, 114:17, 115:4, 115:12, 115:17, 131:5, 131:9, 145:24, 146:1, 146:10, 147:25, 149:6, 150:6, 150:8, 154:23, 158:5, 159:9 built [1] - 75:10 bunch [2] - 137:25, 155:10 Bureau [4] - 159:17, 165:2, 165:7, 165:12 business [3] - 61:19, 66:5, 69:17 busted [1] - 148:3 busy [1] - 54:24 but-for [7] - 48:17, 48:23, 49:7, 49:11, 77:4, 77:8, 77:24 C

cabin [1] - 150:5 calculation [2] -81:10, 84:10 camera [7] - 103:3, 107:11. 107:17. 107:18. 107:25. 108:21. 156:25 cameras [1] - 103:22 cannot [10] - 10:21, 16:19, 52:23, 71:4, 110:24, 113:17, 113:25, 118:4, 151:11 capital [1] - 152:13 Capitol [100] - 11:17, 13:13, 14:17, 14:18, 14:22, 16:3, 16:23, 20:10, 20:25, 21:6, 27:13, 49:21, 51:15, 56:20, 56:22, 57:20, 58:19, 58:20, 59:15, 59:21, 59:23, 59:25, 60:11, 65:12, 71:7, 75:19, 75:22, 78:18, 78:23, 79:1, 79:8, 80:14, 90:15, 90:17, 90:18, 95:19, 95:21, 95:23, 96:12, 96:17, 98:23, 102:5, 106:2, 108:19, 109:10, 109:12, 109:15, 110:17, 111:22, 112:1, 112:4, 112:23, 112:24, 114:10, 114:12,

114:20, 116:3,	152:19, 154:14,	146:8
116:14, 118:24,	161:25	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		certainly [23] - 14:8,
119:20, 122:7,	cases [47] - 21:5,	15:4, 17:11, 19:19,
122:10, 122:11,	21:12, 25:1, 25:8,	22:10, 24:24, 48:2,
124:4, 127:15,	42:24, 42:25, 43:22,	48:7, 49:17, 52:15,
127:17, 128:9,	45:1, 45:4, 45:13,	56:13, 86:6, 99:17,
128:13, 128:19,	45:16, 49:5, 49:13,	105:25, 110:12,
130:18, 130:25,	52:22, 53:1, 55:1,	118:14, 122:1,
131:16, 133:6,	62:7, 62:10, 64:6,	124:9, 129:7,
146:15, 146:18,	69:7, 70:11, 70:19,	136:25, 137:12,
147:24, 148:5,	70:20, 70:22, 71:1,	139:12
148:23, 148:24,	71:13, 71:20, 71:22,	CERTIFICATE[1] -
149:2, 149:7,	71:24, 77:22, 80:4,	166:17
149:14, 149:16,	80:8, 80:11, 92:7,	certificate [1] - 166:21
150:23, 151:12,	114:11, 115:10,	certificates [4] -
152:4, 152:17,	119:4, 119:10,	66:10, 66:14, 66:15,
152:19, 154:21,	126:19, 130:4,	66:18
155:4, 155:5,	130:15, 137:24,	
155:18, 155:24,	144:8, 158:10,	certification [21] -
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	36:12, 38:16, 38:17,
156:5, 156:9,	158:13, 164:5, 164:8	39:2, 40:3, 40:9,
157:14, 158:1,	Cast [4] - 43:1, 44:19,	40:11, 41:2, 60:6,
158:4, 160:7, 160:11	70:15, 78:1	60:12, 64:20, 66:4,
caption [1] - 149:13	catchall [2] - 62:22,	70:3, 73:8, 73:16,
capture [4] - 34:16,	63:4	73:19, 73:25, 74:3,
47:19, 49:11, 80:17	categorically [1] -	74:9, 75:3, 128:18
captures [1] - 103:3	26:25	certified [1] - 74:22
car [2] - 136:6, 151:10	Category [2] - 30:19,	certify [6] - 38:23,
care [4] - 102:6,	82:7	61:20, 79:18, 110:7,
140:13, 140:18,	category [3] - 30:21,	147:15, 166:18
141:3	63:16, 79:13	challenge [4] - 45:5,
career [4] - 136:22,	caught [3] - 101:11,	62:19, 140:2, 162:21
137:5, 137:21,	110:13, 120:6	Chamber [16] - 21:2,
140:12	causal [2] - 77:14,	57:1, 57:23, 98:1,
caring [1] - 151:17	78:11	98:2, 98:10, 100:10,
carriage [1] - 99:2	causality [1] - 77:24	100:20, 101:14,
carry [2] - 19:22,	causation [1] - 48:17	101:16, 103:13,
	Causation[i] - 40.17	101.10, 103.13,
I 63·3U	course in fact (4)	112.6 112.25
63:20	cause-in-fact [1] -	112:6, 112:25,
carrying [2] - 47:25,	77:9	116:12, 131:13,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] -	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] -
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 91:25,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10 century [1] - 120:5	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21 characteristics [9] -
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 91:25, 93:7, 115:13,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10 century [1] - 120:5 ceremonial [1] - 39:3	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21 characteristics [9] - 31:23, 34:6, 34:8,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 91:25, 93:7, 115:13, 125:15, 145:8,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10 century [1] - 120:5 ceremonial [1] - 39:3 certain [9] - 11:12,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21 characteristics [9] - 31:23, 34:6, 34:8, 34:15, 42:5, 42:8,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 91:25, 93:7, 115:13, 125:15, 145:8, 145:18, 146:19,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10 century [1] - 120:5 ceremonial [1] - 39:3 certain [9] - 11:12, 11:21, 22:21, 25:7,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21 characteristics [9] - 31:23, 34:6, 34:8, 34:15, 42:5, 42:8, 112:15, 145:10,
carrying [2] - 47:25, 48:3 Case [2] - 2:3, 45:19 case [61] - 3:6, 7:19, 7:25, 8:4, 9:4, 10:16, 11:14, 11:15, 14:10, 21:15, 29:1, 32:2, 34:10, 42:22, 43:14, 43:15, 43:17, 43:25, 44:10, 44:15, 46:21, 48:13, 52:14, 52:17, 55:5, 56:16, 60:16, 62:11, 63:1, 65:15, 70:14, 70:16, 71:15, 72:18, 72:20, 72:22, 73:2, 76:18, 76:22, 76:23, 76:24, 77:16, 78:19, 81:17, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 91:25, 93:7, 115:13, 125:15, 145:8,	77:9 caused [3] - 27:3, 76:20, 148:9 causes [1] - 49:22 causing [8] - 35:20, 61:11, 76:1, 78:13, 80:18, 81:22, 126:15, 136:7 caution [1] - 165:9 CCTV [5] - 100:6, 101:4, 106:23, 107:3, 156:24 celebrate [1] - 156:10 celebrated [1] - 149:18 cell [1] - 102:18 center [2] - 105:11, 106:10 century [1] - 120:5 ceremonial [1] - 39:3 certain [9] - 11:12,	116:12, 131:13, 131:19 chamber [1] - 66:12 chance [1] - 121:1 change [7] - 32:13, 33:1, 119:22, 121:7, 162:4, 162:5, 164:19 changed [1] - 83:16 changing [1] - 33:6 channeling [1] - 22:18 Chansley [1] - 71:16 chants [1] - 96:12 chaos [2] - 78:6, 78:22 chapter [3] - 41:14, 41:19, 47:11 characteristic [5] - 32:9, 32:25, 41:18, 42:19, 50:21 characteristics [9] - 31:23, 34:6, 34:8, 34:15, 42:5, 42:8,

charge [5] - 11:19, 11:20, 53:5, 86:13, 159:3 charged [7] - 16:5, 25:9, 85:11, 85:16, 115:14, 124:16, 158:22 charges [14] - 4:4, 25:3, 25:12, 31:16, 51:25, 85:12, 85:13, 86:8, 86:10, 86:16, 147:6, 147:12, 158:23 chart [1] - 158:12 charts [1] - 137:17 chase [3] - 25:19, 35:12, 47:2 chased [5] - 21:1, 24:22, 27:21, 51:8, 97:4 chasing [5] - 56:25, 96:25, 97:20, 116:13, 148:18 check [2] - 90:9, 164:5 checked [1] - 85:3 chemical [8] - 51:19, 59:2, 100:23, 101:18, 107:23, 108:14, 109:7, 130:16 chest [1] - 130:6 Chief [1] - 160:11 **CHIEF** [1] - 1:9 child [1] - 43:25 children [3] - 43:20, 135:19, 135:21 chill [1] - 143:7 choices [2] - 75:2, 75:7 choose [1] - 162:16 chose [3] - 15:3, 104:10, 106:18 Christine [2] - 1:21, chronological [2] -67:5, 67:16 cigarette [1] - 128:3 Circuit [11] - 26:24, 43:6, 48:12, 70:14, 72:19, 72:22, 74:7, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 circumstance [2] -113:13, 114:4 circumstances [16] -58:14, 83:18, 92:5, 92:10, 92:24, 98:21, 110:23, 111:15, 111:20, 112:3, 112:24, 126:19, 141:23, 145:9,

147:11, 151:3 circumvent [1] -157:12 citation [1] - 77:2 cite [1] - 63:22 cited [6] - 42:24, 42:25, 43:23, 46:21, 48:13, 70:23 cites [6] - 49:5, 55:21, 62:7, 64:2, 70:10, 76:23 citing [2] - 71:15, 76:17 citizens [2] - 40:9, 153:15 city [1] - 142:3 civil [2] - 25:9, 146:5 clad [1] - 101:19 claim [1] - 162:23 claiming [1] - 123:8 claims [2] - 101:20, 157:17 clarification [1] - 30:4 clarified [1] - 26:10 clarify [1] - 54:8 clause [6] - 62:16, 62:22, 63:4, 63:7, 63:10 clauses [1] - 62:23 clear [28] - 12:6, 12:10, 12:21, 16:22, 39:25, 42:21, 42:22, 57:13, 58:23, 79:1, 89:9, 91:23, 97:9, 98:16, 102:19, 103:20, 107:8, 107:25, 115:8, 123:18, 125:21, 130:12, 150:17, 154:4, 154:24, 157:4, 158:3 clearer [1] - 69:18 clearly [7] - 59:23, 63:15, 102:16, 104:20, 115:2, 128:11, 148:24 Clearly [1] - 73:19 Clerk [3] - 160:8, 162:2, 162:5 client [7] - 29:10, 83:20, 86:12, 86:14, 132:18, 144:16 client's [1] - 83:17 climb [1] - 127:20 clip [1] - 106:5 **clips** [1] - 104:20 Clock [11] - 21:2, 27:23. 51:9. 57:3. 57:7, 57:10, 58:9, 60:2, 148:11, 149:5,

155:14 close [9] - 12:7, 59:23, 71:3, 98:2, 101:15, 112:5, 122:22, 131:12, 163:10 closely [2] - 72:11, 74:24 closely-related [1] -72:11 **closer** [5] - 114:21, 114:22, 141:13, 157:1 closest [2] - 163:14, 163:16 coach [2] - 142:7, 142:13 cocaine [3] - 46:25, 63:23 code [1] - 69:2 codified [1] - 145:22 **coercion** [1] - 56:4 colleague [1] - 53:15 colleagues [4] - 27:22, 45:7, 53:10, 54:25 collection [2] - 132:9, 161:2 collective [2] - 17:6, 78.7 collectively [1] - 78:12 College [11] - 60:13, 61:21, 64:20, 66:20, 70:3, 73:20, 74:1, 74:11, 74:15, 78:24, 79:19 colloquy [1] - 59:14 COLUMBIA [1] - 1:1 Columbia [2] - 1:13, 160:9 combat [1] - 57:19 combination [1] - 82:7 coming [12] - 7:12, 8:9, 14:17, 17:11, 19:15, 25:4, 98:3, 120:4, 120:12, 133:10, 142:10, 156:25 commencement[1] -161:21 Commentary [1] -49:9 comments [1] - 53:21 commission [10] -15:24, 38:6, 38:9, 38:11, 40:20, 42:20, 48:7, 69:15, 69:24, 79:14 commissioners [1] -69:16 commit [3] - 42:15, 130:13, 160:19

commits [1] - 49:14 committed [3] -159:17, 165:25, 166.1 Committee [6] -89:16, 89:22, 90:3, 91:17, 92:16, 93:2 **committing** [1] - 166:4 **common** [2] - 31:17, 31:18 commotion [3] -123:2, 123:6, 123:14 communicated [2] -56:20, 155:2 communication [1] -56:9 communications [1] -109:19 communist [4] -150:2, 150:3, 151:1, 151:2 Communists [1] -102:21 communists [4] -108:20, 108:25, 109:3, 156:22 community [2] - 72:4, 75:16 Company [3] - 43:1, 70:15, 78:1 compare [2] - 49:20, 116:8 compared [1] - 138:19 comparing [2] - 50:15, 104.1 comparison [5] -49:19, 50:1, 50:2, 50:4, 139:6 comparisons [1] -139:18 complete [1] - 166:19 completed [1] - 74:17 completely [1] - 85:13 completion [3] - 75:8, 78:24, 162:12 compliance [1] - 72:8 comply [3] - 58:16, 144:24, 161:6 computer [3] - 1:25, 13:15, 90:8 computer-aided [1] -1:25 conceal [1] - 152:8 concealing [1] - 68:22 concedes [2] - 26:11, 73:8 concept [1] - 67:2

concern [3] - 37:7,

concerned [3] - 10:12,

37:13, 108:4

10:20, 102:11 concerning [2] - 9:6, 79:22 concerns [3] - 76:4, 108:11, 127:2 concert [2] - 78:3, 97:10 concludes [2] - 67:6, 166:16 conclusion [7] -53:10, 67:18, 73:14, 81:5, 133:13, 133:14, 134:12 **concur** [1] - 154:15 concurrence [2] -63:1, 63:15 concurrently [2] -159:21, 159:25 condition [1] - 26:22 conditionally [1] -55:24 conditions [7] -160:15, 160:16, 160:18, 161:7, 165:16, 165:18, 165:22 condolences [1] -142:15 conducive[1] -132:12 conduct [102] - 12:7, 12:9, 16:2, 16:7, 16:21, 16:22, 17:1, 17:4, 25:14, 25:15, 31:22, 34:4, 34:12, 34:16, 34:19, 36:15, 42:10, 48:18, 51:12, 56:18, 56:24, 58:10, 58:17, 59:4, 59:9, 59:10, 59:17, 60:7, 60:10, 60:20, 61:17, 65:12, 66:5, 69:16, 70:11, 76:6, 76:15, 76:20, 77:23, 79:9. 79:13. 79:22. 80:5. 80:6, 80:23, 92:21, 95:4, 99:18, 100:2, 100:4, 102:18, 103:4, 104:1, 104:2, 109:18, 109:21, 110:12, 110:17, 110:18, 110:20, 111:4, 111:9, 111:16, 112:18, 112:21, 112:23, 113:9, 113:11, 113:14, 113:19, 113:20, 113:21, 114:15, 117:4, 125:3, 126:6, 129:2,

130:1, 133:17, 133:18, 134:8, 138:5, 145:4, 145:13, 146:10, 146:13, 146:20, 147:13, 147:19, 147:22, 148:19, 148:20, 150:17, 151:25, 154:18, 155:22, 158:15, 159:6, 160:17, 165:9 conducted [2] - 92:9, 103:16 conducting [1] - 103:9 conducts [1] - 42:14 confederate [1] -130:6 confer [2] - 3:19, 5:17 conference [1] - 4:16 confinement [4] -93:15, 113:6, 139:23, 140:1 confirm [3] - 40:13, 86:12, 124:7 confront [2] - 104:8, 107:19 confrontation [3] -57:21, 58:21, 104:21 confronted [1] - 58:14 confronting [3] -97:22, 98:24, 105:8 confronts [2] - 98:14, 98:18 Congress [30] - 38:2, 39:9, 39:10, 39:12, 39:25, 42:18, 48:1, 60:12, 61:20, 64:3, 64:9, 64:12, 64:21, 65:25, 66:4, 67:11, 67:23, 68:9, 73:6, 73:9, 74:4, 74:19, 74:25, 75:5, 75:13, 75:15. 82:3. 144:22. 146:17, 147:15 Congress's [3] -64:19, 70:2, 75:11 congressional [21] -21:21, 36:12, 38:4, 40:21, 44:21, 59:18, 60:6, 64:17, 66:19, 67:7, 68:2, 69:20, 69:23, 71:6, 71:10, 71:15, 73:25, 76:4, 78:4, 79:18, 80:17 conjunction[1] -146:14 connected [1] - 31:17 connecting[1] -100.11 connection [8] - 5:2,

5:20, 6:23, 21:4, 29:6, 53:1, 125:15, 162:25 consecutive [2] -165:20, 166:4 consequence [3] -19:18, 23:7, 108:13 consequences [2] -19:21, 154:3 consider [16] - 15:14, 22:7, 22:12, 23:24, 28:5, 28:8, 51:25, 53:23, 66:5, 79:21, 115:9, 115:18, 137:24, 141:10, 144:21, 145:9 consideration [8] -26:8, 26:14, 82:19, 92:6, 154:2, 154:5, 159:11, 159:14 considerations [1] -151:23 considered [5] - 6:22, 8:19, 17:4, 145:7, 166:21 considering [4] - 7:21, 79:24, 80:2, 144:17 considers [1] - 104:1 consisted [2] -123:10, 125:23 conspiracy [2] - 25:3, 120:11 constant [1] - 20:7 constitute [2] - 38:19, 70:7 constituted [2] -57:12, 64:20 constitutes [2] -59:18, 166:18 constituting [2] -31:18, 59:12 Constitution [2] -74:5, 162:3 constitutional [6] -48:3, 110:3, 112:14, 153:1, 153:2, 153:4 constitutionally [1] -75:23 construing [1] - 73:23 consultation [1] -161:13 contact [3] - 107:9, 107:13, 150:12 contacted [2] - 84:18, 89:23 contain [1] - 158:10 contained [2] - 58:12, 63:11 **containing** [1] - 69:4 contemplates [1] -

85:15, 88:10, 88:12,

89:5, 89:7, 90:1,

90:5, 90:24, 91:3,

92:2, 93:20, 93:22,

94:1, 94:7, 94:11,

117:23, 118:7,

118:12, 118:17,

118:19, 118:23,

119:2, 119:11,

119:17, 124:15,

124:20, 124:23,

138:4, 138:10,

138:17, 138:22,

139:5, 139:22,

140:4, 140:8,

140:20. 141:5.

141:7. 141:15.

141:19, 141:24,

143:24, 144:12,

145:17, 151:15,

163:11, 163:13,

163:17, 163:20,

164:13, 164:23,

166:13, 166:15

Court's [10] - 17:9,

court's [1] - 44:7

32:13, 102:4,

104:23, 106:9,

154:2, 158:15

136:16

73:4

76:5

2:2

54:23

165:1, 165:5, 166:9,

17:12, 23:24, 26:14,

court-issued [1] - 4:21

Court-mandated [1] -

courthouse [5] - 4:12,

53:2, 54:24, 64:11,

courtroom [5] - 3:20,

4:14, 7:6, 17:24,

COURTROOM [1] -

courts [6] - 45:16,

75:12, 113:8

69:19, 80:16

68:21, 73:7

covers [1] - 61:9

COVID [1] - 4:10

cowboy [1] - 102:1

62:5, 69:8, 69:21,

cover [4] - 38:12, 61:2,

coverage [1] - 69:25

covered [6] - 36:24.

59:19, 66:21, 68:10,

courtrooms [2] - 3:22,

164:3, 164:10,

163:5, 163:7,

128:2, 128:6, 128:9,

130:7, 133:2, 134:2,

74:2 91:24, 115:3, 115:7, 145:25, 146:5, 131:11, 133:18, 125:8, 134:17 contempt [1] - 165:24 146:6, 146:7, 146:9 136:16, 137:24, contends [1] - 27:5 convey [1] - 139:21 counted [3] - 74:21, 138:9, 139:21, content [1] - 150:4 convicted [6] - 38:3, 75:17, 120:21 146:18, 146:22, 117:12. 136:7. counting [3] - 74:11, 159:16, 160:9, contents [1] - 24:11 147:6. 158:22. 97:6. 155:11 161:1, 161:17, contested [1] - 45:22 165:25 161:20, 161:22, context [13] - 39:22, countless [1] - 108:9 161:24, 162:2, conviction [6] - 33:25, 39:23, 45:8, 46:12, country [12] - 10:24, 162:5, 162:10, 37:24, 71:5, 82:12, 46:19, 47:8, 49:7, 42:12, 43:20, 48:5, 162:15, 162:17, 162:21, 162:25 92:23, 94:22, 97:8, 58:1, 111:8, 121:13, 162:19, 163:24, 103:24, 109:18, convictions [7] - 30:6, 127:13, 140:24, 163:25, 164:1, 119:4 30:9, 30:12, 30:17, 143:22, 149:24, 166:25 67:21, 145:23, 146:5 154:1 contexts [1] - 17:2 court [39] - 4:18, 4:21, continual [1] - 125:5 cool [2] - 156:11 country's [5] - 84:14, 15:13, 26:5, 26:11, continuance [1] cooperate [4] - 89:15, 111:1, 114:5, 118:2, 42:9, 43:4, 43:11, 91:10, 91:14, 161:2 153:13 18:12 43:14, 43:15, 43:24, counts [11] - 30:6, cooperation [5] - 91:6, continue [11] - 14:22, 44:3, 44:17, 44:20, 91:16, 91:18, 91:19, 31:3, 31:19, 31:20, 27:13, 27:14, 78:23, 45:8, 47:14, 49:9, 31:22, 31:24, 31:25, 111:2, 111:13, 49:10, 61:25, 62:25, cope [2] - 125:16, 32:5, 32:9, 81:19, 137:3, 137:20, 63:4, 63:6, 65:4, 117:3 138:10, 158:3, 125:17 68:15, 71:13, 72:9, Counts [15] - 4:5, copy [1] - 10:5 165:17 72:14, 72:17, 72:19, 30:6, 30:9, 82:10, continued [3] - 105:6, core [3] - 23:16, 73:11, 73:20, 76:23, 82:16, 82:17, 111:5, 114:22 112:7, 112:8 77:1, 81:11, 119:3, 159:19, 159:20, continues [10] - 84:16, correct [20] - 8:22, 126:11, 158:20, 159:23, 159:25, 97:11, 107:19, 13:22, 13:25, 16:9, 165:24 160:2. 160:3 108:17, 108:19, 28:20, 28:21, 29:18, **COURT**[169] - 1:1, couple [5] - 3:5, 18:6, 110:9, 111:12, 29:19, 30:22, 31:11, 1:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:20, 37:5, 104:20, 128:9 111:19, 120:17, 33:3, 36:20, 37:12, 2:24, 3:3, 3:8, 3:12, **course** [4] - 36:10, 124:24 37:18, 68:15, 68:20, 3:15, 3:17, 3:20, 4:2, 45:14, 83:14, 117:1 continuing [8] - 4:10, 80:15, 84:5, 84:22, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, Court [105] - 1:23, 84:25 5:18, 14:16, 19:23, 6:18, 6:21, 7:10, 109:10, 136:18, correctly [6] - 8:21, 1:24, 2:2, 3:19, 5:17, 8:11, 8:23, 11:8, 137:21, 158:6 13:24, 16:11, 52:9, 18:1, 18:6, 19:24, 12:20, 13:4, 13:7, 52:19, 109:23 20:13, 22:6, 22:12, continuously [2] - 9:5, 13:17, 13:23, 14:12, 22:19, 23:19, 23:23, 109:7 corresponding[1] -15:12, 15:20, 16:10, 24:3, 24:4, 39:14, 80:24 contours [1] - 66:21 16:20, 16:25, 17:13, 42:1, 42:8, 42:13, Corridor [10] - 21:2, contradiction [1] -17:16, 18:20, 19:9, 27:24, 57:3, 57:7, 45:14, 46:14, 46:21, 46:11 20:1, 20:14, 20:23, 46:22, 47:13, 49:20, 57:10, 58:9, 60:2, contradicts [1] - 12:12 21:11, 21:18, 23:3, 148:11, 149:5, 53:20, 60:8, 62:11, contrary [2] - 56:16, 23:12, 24:10, 25:23, 62:20, 62:22, 63:19, 155:14 67:17 25:25, 26:3, 28:22, cost [2] - 162:18, 71:22, 73:5, 79:16, contribute [1] - 78:22 29:3, 29:9, 29:13, 79:19, 79:24, 80:7, 162:19 contributed [5] - 17:5, 29:20, 30:24, 31:1, 83:19, 88:17, 91:19, counsel [14] - 2:5, 2:8, 60:5, 79:3, 79:9, 32:15, 32:18, 32:21, 92:16, 94:4, 94:15, 5:9, 7:14, 7:23, 8:2, 87:8 33:5, 33:10, 33:12, 94:21, 94:23, 95:2, 20:13, 45:25, 54:22, contribution [1] -35:10, 35:12, 36:21, 99:6, 101:3, 103:10, 87:12, 94:4, 104:25, 146:25 36:25, 37:3, 37:7, 103:22, 103:25, control [4] - 27:25, 149:10, 162:24 37:13, 37:17, 37:19, 104:14, 104:18, counsel's [1] - 2:18 34:22, 81:7, 156:15 37:23, 39:16, 39:23, 104:24, 106:16, counselor [3] - 6:3, controlled [2] -40:16, 41:4, 41:6, 107:8, 107:18, 125:9, 151:16 160:20, 160:23 43:21, 44:2, 44:7, 107:21, 110:22, count [7] - 31:6, **controlling** [1] - 44:12 44:22, 47:2, 47:4, 112:10, 113:2, 40:11, 64:23, 66:20, convene [1] - 66:5 48:8, 50:25, 52:5, 113:18, 114:4, 74:15, 75:14, 82:11 convened [2] - 75:5, 52:18, 53:6, 53:9, 114:6, 115:8, Count [18] - 31:12, 75:13 53:14, 53:25, 54:7, 115:18, 116:23, 33:19, 33:24, 34:4, convening [1] - 74:4 54:9, 54:20, 81:15, 117:5, 117:14, 34:23, 37:24, 38:3, conversation [3] -83:1, 83:3, 83:14, 117:17, 117:20, 59:11, 59:13, 59:19, 21:15, 21:16, 132:16 83:22, 84:3, 84:6, 125:1, 126:5, 126:7, 68:7, 145:23, conversations [6] -84:21, 84:23, 85:1,

coworkers [2] - 14:22, 77:3 custody [1] - 159:17 27:12 cut [2] - 35:12, 47:2 **CPA**[1] - 160:12 CVRA[1] - 27:4 crack [1] - 46:25 Craig [1] - 142:6 D Cramer [1] - 3:24 create [1] - 77:9 **D.C** [8] - 1:6, 2:25, 3:2, created [1] - 78:5 3:3, 115:15, 129:10, credentials [1] - 4:22 160:13, 162:3 credit [1] - 83:8 Dairy [1] - 142:6 crew [1] - 158:5 damage [7] - 22:8, crime [12] - 15:22, 35:22, 51:6, 51:21, 17:20, 24:9, 24:11, 51:23, 81:23, 146:15 26:21, 27:1, 28:5, damages [4] - 49:22, 28:7, 42:15, 120:5, 50:7, 117:8, 147:9 160:19, 166:4 dangerous [1] -Crime [8] - 17:21, 155:24 17:24, 19:4, 22:15, dark [4] - 84:14, 22:21, 24:14, 25:2, 118:2, 144:5, 144:9 26:20 data [2] - 114:7, crimes [2] - 145:5, 147:18 151:22 date [2] - 90:5, 90:8 Criminal [7] - 1:3, 2:3, Dated [1] - 166:23 13:1, 13:8, 26:16, days [10] - 13:10, 30:19, 82:7 142:1, 152:4, criminal [26] - 7:2, 152:17, 156:9, 7:21, 30:15, 30:16, 160:24, 161:16, 30:17, 30:18, 30:20, 161:20, 162:4, 31:17, 34:13, 34:16, 162:16 44:10, 46:23, 92:15, **DC** [1] - 1:14 92:19, 92:21, deal [5] - 24:16, 25:1, 112:16, 113:10, 25:2, 90:11, 114:6 145:4, 146:20, dealing [3] - 38:19, 151:8, 151:22, 39:19, 132:6 151:24, 152:9, dealt [1] - 46:14 154:4, 154:7, 165:14 death [3] - 14:5, critical [2] - 61:18, 77:20, 151:9 151:23 deaths [1] - 136:7 criticism [1] - 138:22 debate [1] - 66:12 crowd [25] - 57:5, **debates** [1] - 158:14 57:11, 78:5, 97:10, deceive [1] - 135:11 98:17, 101:1, decide [1] - 30:8 101:12, 101:18, decided [8] - 97:4, 122:9, 122:13, 99:18, 121:20, 122:14, 128:7, 128:16, 149:6, 129:18, 131:23, 149:11, 150:23 133:7, 148:12, decides [6] - 98:23, 148:25, 150:16, 102:20, 102:21, 154:4, 154:21, 106:19, 106:21, 155:8, 155:15, 108:7 156:2, 157:12 163:21 deciding [2] - 85:14, crowds [1] - 132:24 93:3 crystal [1] - 97:9 decision [13] - 39:9, Crystal [2] - 1:21, 2:6 52:9, 52:14, 53:20, culpable [1] - 114:22 54:1, 64:2, 65:11, curfew [1] - 142:2 65:21, 66:6, 66:13, curious [2] - 54:1, 104:12, 155:21, 54:5 155:24 current [1] - 137:17 decisions [2] - 53:3, custodial [1] - 151:5

17:5, 25:11, 30:5, declare [1] - 55:24 30:8, 34:2, 34:9, declared [1] - 74:21 34:25, 36:15, 40:2, dedicate [1] - 143:13 42:1, 42:16, 42:23, deduced [1] - 77:3 48:18, 55:14, 56:19, deemed [1] - 4:23 58:8, 60:9, 64:11, 64:23, 67:7, 68:4, deep[1] - 118:6 70:17, 70:24, 71:5, deeply [3] - 22:7, 71:14, 76:15, 76:17, 84:15, 84:16 77:11, 77:15, 77:18, **Defendant** [6] - 1:6, 77:24, 78:15, 81:3, 55:10, 67:24, 68:19, 82:20, 92:19, 94:21, 69:11, 73:24 95:3, 108:2, 110:3, defendant [100] - 4:3, 145:23, 146:5, 5:22. 10:21. 12:8. 146:13, 147:19, 12:9, 16:5, 18:11, 149:21, 151:16, 18:12, 19:5, 29:13, 155:22, 159:6, 29:17, 33:18, 34:23, 162.12 35:24, 41:9, 42:14, Defendant's [7] -44:13, 45:3, 45:5, 51:12, 58:18, 59:17, 45:12, 49:14, 49:18, 59:20, 76:6, 77:20, 51:8, 51:22, 55:8, 152:5 55:17, 55:21, 56:11, defendants [20] - 7:1, 56:25, 57:5, 59:5, 21:5, 42:12, 71:20, 59:10, 59:22, 60:2, 71:21, 77:23, 61:19, 62:3, 62:7, 115:21, 115:25, 64:1, 64:10, 65:12, 116:11, 116:15, 66:23, 67:6, 67:18, 116:17, 130:15, 68:7, 70:20, 71:19, 131:2, 145:12, 73:8, 73:21, 75:21, 146:20, 146:22, 76:8, 76:12, 78:3, 147:5, 155:7, 159:7, 78:8, 78:12, 78:21, 164:9 79:2, 79:22, 80:15, defending [2] - 134:7, 82:12, 88:15, 92:3, 157:23 92:13, 93:14, 95:3, defense [15] - 3:9, 95:11, 95:17, 20:12, 26:7, 26:11, 101:23, 101:24, 26:18, 27:5, 34:9, 112:16, 113:5, 43:21, 45:25, 47:18, 115:1, 116:6, 117:6, 54:22, 55:3, 95:2, 146:24, 147:9, 104:25, 115:9 147:17, 147:20, defense's [1] - 48:11 148:12, 148:14, defensiveness[1] -148:22, 150:23, 157:19 151:11, 151:19, defer [2] - 163:24, 151:23, 152:1, 164:1 153:3, 153:8, defiance [1] - 58:22 153:21, 154:7, 155:1, 156:1, defined [6] - 38:1, 156:25, 158:11, 47:1, 72:23, 74:8, 158:14, 158:17, 112:11, 162:20 158:21, 158:22, defines [6] - 15:22, 158:25, 159:3, 24:14, 26:20, 41:19, 72:2, 72:12 DEFENDANT [12] definitely [2] - 143:5, 1:17, 3:14, 3:16, 143:21 8:10, 29:2, 29:8, definition [17] - 22:17, 141:18, 141:21, 24:24, 27:1, 28:4, 141:25, 144:1, 36:17. 42:2. 49:9. 151:14, 166:7 56:1. 56:5. 62:12. defendant's [51] -63:24, 67:10, 69:13, 8:13, 12:18, 16:2, 72:1, 72:11, 73:14,

definitions [8] - 47:23, 47:24, 55:22, 56:8, 63:20, 69:18, 72:18, 73:2 degree [3] - 78:6, 92:6. 116:9 delay [3] - 60:5, 87:11, 88.9 **delayed** [1] - 77:20 delaying [1] - 78:23 delight [1] - 155:17 delineated [1] - 48:3 demanded [1] - 74:19 demeanor [2] - 103:5, 122:23 democracy [5] -114:21, 121:15. 144:5, 149:11, 152:3 democratic [3] -74:24, 75:1, 111:5 democratically [1] -153:16 demonstrate [1] - 78:8 demonstrates [1] -70:9 denial [1] - 4:22 denominator [1] -117:9 deny [3] - 92:17, 123:19, 123:20 denying [1] - 123:16 depart [1] - 80:3 Department [2] -89:20, 114:6 department [1] -165:12 departure [12] - 81:1, 82:20, 83:4, 83:11, 83:17, 83:24, 84:8, 92:4, 92:10, 92:13, 92:17, 92:24 departures [1] - 92:5 DePierre [2] - 46:22, 63:21 deploy [2] - 51:18, 109:8 **deployed** [3] - 59:2, 140:25, 146:17 deploying [1] - 100:23 depressed[1] -143:15 **DEPUTY** [1] - 2:2 derail [1] - 137:8 describe [1] - 14:24 described [2] - 72:20, 74:13 describes [6] - 14:13, 23:7, 56:1, 57:15, 57:21, 80:5

75:12

description [1] - 14:20 designated [1] -164:15 designation [2] -165:1, 165:7 designed [2] - 34:15, 80.4 despite [6] - 87:24, 87:25, 97:11, 130:23, 149:3, 152:19 destroy [1] - 138:2 destroying [2] - 68:22, 138:3 destruction [2] -68:24, 138:1 detail [3] - 35:4, 65:16, 90:20 detailed [2] - 74:12, 117:7 details [3] - 90:5, 116:24, 121:5 detained [2] - 85:22, 164:15 deter [5] - 145:4, 151:21, 152:1, 153:7, 154:7 determination [6] -29:14, 30:3, 30:11, 30:21, 82:23, 92:18 determinations [2] -28:14, 28:19 determinative [1] -71:11 determine [8] - 7:14, 7:19, 33:21, 40:13. 64:19, 161:9, 161:22, 164:23 determined [2] -79:14, 161:1 determines [2] - 32:1, 33:15 determining [7] -79:20, 80:1, 80:2, 87:6, 113:19, 115:11, 153:23 deterrence [9] - 113:2, 113:3, 113:4, 113:7, 113:13, 118:13, 119:4, 151:25 deterring [1] - 153:24 development [1] -67:5 **Devlyn**[1] - 116:2 diagnosed[1] -136:12 dialogues [1] - 94:15 dice [1] - 59:15 dicing [1] - 16:21 Dictionary [5] - 55:23,

56:1, 72:2, 72:12, 75:16 dictionary [5] - 47:19, 47:21, 47:22, 55:22, 56:5 die [1] - 136:5 difference [5] - 38:15, 52:21, 88:21, 116:18, 129:22 differences [1] -116:18 different [25] - 16:2, 22:1, 22:5, 22:9, 22:11, 22:24, 25:8, 34:14, 34:16, 39:5, 39:10, 39:22, 39:23, 45:21, 46:17, 53:2, 53:3, 54:23, 59:13, 63:20, 91:18, 96:13, 121:12, 137:25 differently [5] - 24:6, 46:24, 115:3, 116:11, 116:15 differs [2] - 80:6, 159:6 difficult [4] - 77:20, 77:25, 114:4, 135:20 difficulties [2] - 4:1, 9:17 difficulty [1] - 20:7 digital [2] - 13:12, 20:11 dignity [1] - 23:2 direct [6] - 19:19, 24:25, 57:13, 58:22, 77:11, 78:10 directed [5] - 63:3, 63:6, 63:7, 161:3, 165:11 direction [2] - 122:11, 131.18 directly [6] - 8:3, 15:23, 26:21, 27:2, 141:17, 146:14 disagree [7] - 34:5, 38:25, 42:23, 53:3, 88:22, 116:8, 116:10 disagreed [5] - 45:24, 103:9, 103:17, 103:18, 103:19 disagreement [2] -54:14, 87:12 disagrees [2] - 51:7, 89:3 disassembled [1] -166:21 disassociate [1] -134:19 disbursement[1] -160:10

discharge [1] - 72:25 disclosure [1] - 12:17 discontent [1] -153:14 discovery[1] - 86:25 discrete [1] - 53:10 discretion [3] - 15:14, 28:5, 146:22 discretionary [2] -117:1, 146:3 discuss [6] - 23:25, 30:3, 52:16, 61:7, 65:16, 114:1 discussed [4] - 29:11, 71:21, 131:25, 132:3 discussing [1] - 39:22 discussion [2] - 55:6, 74:16 **disgusting** [1] - 100:3 **dismay** [1] - 152:23 **dismiss** [1] - 64:23 disobey [1] - 153:15 disobeyed [1] - 57:5 disorder [2] - 25:10, 146:6 disorderly [1] - 146:9 disorders [1] - 136:13 disparities [2] - 114:3, 145:12 disparity [1] - 158:9 dispensed[1]-157:11 disperse [1] - 57:7 dispersed [1] - 140:23 displayed [1] - 156:17 dispute [9] - 45:9, 71:23, 74:13, 84:12, 87:15, 88:7, 118:1, 121:11, 138:12 disputed [3] - 30:14, 34:21, 44:25 disputes [2] - 33:16, 74:10 disputing [2] - 123:7, 131:14 disregard [1] - 57:10 disrupted [1] - 80:13 disrupting [2] -147:15, 153:24 **disruption** [1] - 78:6 disruptive [1] - 146:9 dissatisfaction [1] -153:13 distinct [3] - 31:4, 61:10, 63:10 distribute [1] - 101:24 district [5] - 15:13, 76:23, 113:8, 161:19, 162:9 **DISTRICT**[3] - 1:1,

70:16, 76:24, 78:2, 85:23, 86:5, 126:20, 160:9, 162:2 divergent [1] - 93:6 **DJ** [2] - 143:8, 143:9 **DNA**[1] - 161:2 docketed [8] - 5:5, 5:6, 5:11, 5:22, 5:24, 6:4, 6:12, 28:16 doctor [2] - 136:13 documentation [1] -85:7 documents [7] - 5:8, 6:16, 6:19, 6:22, 66:16, 68:25, 77:18 doled [1] - 113:20 dollars [1] - 79:8 done [14] - 45:7, 50:17, 50:18, 73:24, 90:12, 99:16, 110:5, 113:17, 130:20, 135:11, 155:7, 164:2, 164:8 door [9] - 88:25, 91:9, 95:20, 96:4, 100:19, 109:5, 154:23, 155:4, 155:18 doors [22] - 96:2, 96:8, 99:1, 99:2, 99:3, 99:7, 99:9, 99:12, 99:14, 99:16, 99:18, 99:22, 100:5, 100:10, 101:3, 101:16, 102:16, 108:18, 147:24, 148:3, 149:8, 156:2 doubt [4] - 14:3, 129:11, 129:14, 138:11 doubting [1] - 9:25 down [13] - 4:12, 85:12, 86:1, 86:18, 96:8, 98:3, 107:1, 107:6, 121:3, 129:25, 133:15, 138:13 downward [11] -82:20, 83:4, 83:11, 83:17, 83:24, 84:8, 91:15, 92:4, 92:12, 92:17, 92:24 drafted [1] - 67:6 dragged [1] - 120:21 dramatic [1] - 154:21 draw [1] - 20:11 drawn [1] - 115:16 **draws** [1] - 61:16 dream [2] - 143:6,

1:1, 1:9

District [10] - 1:13,

143:11 drew [1] - 157:7 drug [2] - 160:24, 160:25 drugs [3] - 25:7, 25:8, 156.8 due [8] - 62:12, 62:19, $63{:}12,\,63{:}16,\,72{:}12,$ 72:23, 92:15, 126:13 **Duke** [1] - 158:21 duress [1] - 56:4 during [14] - 11:16, 11:17, 40:12, 46:7, 54:3, 58:18, 59:14, 59:25, 60:12, 66:16, 78:20, 79:10, 123:17, 137:2 duties [5] - 72:21, 72:25, 73:3, 74:6, 75:14 duty [2] - 20:24, 74:4 dying [1] - 143:2 Ε

> E-cigarette [1] - 128:3 early [7] - 83:8, 92:14, 110:11, 133:14, 134:12, 135:18, 136:3 easily [4] - 40:25, 51:11, 66:20, 75:11 east [5] - 98:25, 100:4, 101:3, 108:18, 109:5 Eastern [3] - 85:23, 86:5, 126:20 easy [1] - 137:13 eaten [1] - 84:4 **ECF**[8] - 5:5, 5:7, 5:22, 5:24, 5:25, 6:4, 6:8, 6:12 ECFs [2] - 5:11, 28:16 **Eduardo** [1] - 101:23 **Edwards** [11] - 2:17, 2:25, 10:3, 10:8, 17:14, 28:20, 32:23, 52:5, 52:9, 87:25, 88:13 **EDWARDS** [72] - 1:12, 2:10, 2:13, 2:15, 2:22, 3:2, 3:5, 6:17, 11:5, 17:15, 17:17, 18:25, 19:14, 20:4, 20:18, 21:8, 21:13, 21:25, 23:11, 23:15, 24:13, 25:24, 28:21, 30:23, 32:6, 32:16, 33:3, 33:9, 33:11, 41:7, 44:1, 44:6,

44:8, 45:12, 47:3,	element [5] - 25:5,	102:15, 104:9,	16:23, 59:21, 59:25	85:8, 87:1
47:5, 49:4, 51:1,	48:20, 49:9, 77:4,	105:25, 106:19,	entry [12] - 4:22,	excited [1] - 149:17
52:11, 53:16, 54:8,	77:5	107:19, 146:16,	11:17, 11:18, 16:6,	exclude [1] - 69:24
54:10, 82:25, 88:14,	elements [2] - 25:4,	147:14, 150:11,	58:18, 58:20, 90:18,	excluded [1] - 26:25
90:25, 91:4, 93:18,	113:3	150:16, 155:8,	92:21, 123:18,	exclusively [1] - 72:25
93:21, 93:24, 94:2,	Eleventh [1] - 26:24	155:16, 156:14,	149:3, 161:18	excuse [2] - 130:1,
94:8, 94:12, 95:16,	Elizabeth [2] - 1:23,	159:5	enumeration [1] -	151:11
96:23, 97:16, 100:1,	166:24	enforcing [1] - 109:2	76:25	excused [1] - 166:15
100:22, 103:7,	ELIZABETH[1] -	engage [8] - 40:1,	enumerator [1] -	
104:14, 105:5,	166:18	64:3, 64:12, 74:19,	117:8	excusing [2] - 128:22, 129:2
105:16, 106:9,	eloquently [2] - 74:12,	112:8, 122:3,	equal [2] - 40:7, 86:24	execute [1] - 162:10
106:14, 108:23,	158:3	130:25, 150:16	equally [1] - 80:22	executive [2] - 37:20,
115:24, 163:4,	Email [2] - 1:15, 1:19	engaged [7] - 59:8,	escalate [1] - 106:20	73:21
163:23, 164:4,	embedded [1] - 32:9	66:1, 73:6, 77:23,	escalated [1] - 59:6	exercise [1] - 75:4
164:12, 164:25,	embodies [1] - 31:22	94:15, 110:12, 116:3	especially [5] - 51:24,	Exhibit [16] - 95:1,
165:3, 166:11	embodies[1] - 80:5	engagement [1] -	56:3, 58:13, 59:22,	95:15, 96:1, 99:24,
effect [2] - 39:12,	emotional [1] - 19:16	56:23	104:1	99:25, 100:21,
42:13	emotions [3] - 19:2,	engages [1] - 109:19	essential [2] - 122:2,	100:22, 101:3,
effectively[1] - 132:17	22:7, 22:18	engaging [6] - 60:19,	131:3	103:6, 104:13,
effects [1] - 158:3	emphasize [1] -	146:1, 150:2, 152:2,	essentially [1] - 31:3	105:2, 105:4, 106:8,
effort [2] - 59:14,	148:18	153:11, 154:4	establish [1] - 160:16	106:13, 106:23,
132:20	emphasized [1] -	English [1] - 55:23	established [1] - 74.5	108:22
efforts [2] - 157:13	62:14	enhancement [4] -	establishes [1] - 115:2	exhibits [5] - 30:1,
egging [1] - 157:5	emphasizes [1] -	32:8, 50:11, 76:13,	estimate [2] - 147:3,	93:24, 93:25, 94:14,
egregious [2] -	65:24	77:10	147:9	105:1
158:15, 159:7	employees [1] -	enhancements [4] -	Eugene [7] - 6:10,	exhilarated [2] -
eight [5] - 35:19, 36:5,	146:18	44:16, 52:3, 67:19,	96:18, 96:25, 97:1,	148:25, 149:17
142:8, 142:9, 151:10	enables [1] - 4:13	68:17	116:13, 148:5, 155:8	exist [3] - 89:2, 109:9,
eight-offense [2] -	enacted [1] - 67:12	ensure [4] - 18:2,	Europe [1] - 142:13	114:3
35:19, 36:5	encompass [2] - 67:2,	75:5, 119:3, 144:23	evening [1] - 8:14	exit [4] - 99:1, 149:2,
eighth [1] - 157:7	70:1	ensuring [2] - 40:7	event [6] - 28:3, 36:2,	150:23, 155:18
either [7] - 7:5, 18:22,	encounter [2] - 56:23,	enter [6] - 85:2, 100:4,	38:19, 55:11, 60:23,	exited [1] - 99:1
29:21, 48:7, 50:22,	149:4	102:16, 154:21,	63:19	exits [2] - 105:9, 109:6
52:23, 71:13	encountered [2] -	155:3, 155:24	events [8] - 24:25,	expanded [1] - 61:2
elected [4] - 120:12,	125:13, 125:14	entered [10] - 75:22,	27:6, 45:1, 45:11,	expectations [1] -
120:13, 121:9,	encourage[1] -	90:10, 103:10,	59:16, 71:8, 84:13,	160:17
153:16	111:23	108:18, 109:13,	133:17	expend [2] - 43:19,
election [27] - 38:23,	encouraged [1] -	114:10, 114:12,	eventually [2] - 95:20,	49:25
40:3, 40:10, 40:11,	124:4	131:8, 148:2, 162:21	96:15	expended [5] - 43:13,
40:12, 73:16, 75:6,	encrypt [4] - 110:10,	entering [7] - 112:5,	evidence [11] - 15:14,	48:19, 48:21, 76:16,
103:18, 109:1,	110:16, 152:8,	145:24, 147:24,	50:13, 56:16, 78:19,	76:21
109:3, 110:8, 118:9,	157:23	149:9, 151:12,	107:12, 123:20,	expenditure [17] -
118:21, 119:13,	encrypted [3] -	155:5, 162:24	132:18, 132:23,	36:16, 43:10, 44:3,
120:3, 120:11, 120:16, 121:14,	110:15, 152:10,	enters [5] - 96:9,	134:21, 135:11,	44:14, 48:16, 49:10,
121:15, 123:23,	157:21	99:23, 100:7,	152:9	49:12, 49:15, 50:13,
124:3, 127:9,	end [9] - 88:20, 93:12,	100:15, 162:17	evidenced [1] - 25:18	70:13, 76:14, 77:6,
133:12, 147:16,	100:19, 104:15,	entire [6] - 21:23,	evidences [1] - 26:25	77:12, 77:16, 78:13,
153:19, 154:1	110:15, 138:10,	87:23, 88:5, 123:19, 126:3, 131:4	evidentiary[1] - 66:18	79:10, 80:21
elections [1] - 64:7	157:21	entirely [3] - 44:21,	evil [1] - 56:7	expense [1] - 78:9
electoral [8] - 38:16,	end-to-end [2] -	46:17, 156:18	exact [2] - 9:8, 90:8	expenses [1] - 44:4
38:17, 39:2, 41:2,	110:15, 157:21	entirety [2] - 38:10,	exactly [2] - 133:20,	experience [2] - 7:4,
66:10, 66:15, 75:4,	endeavoring [1] -	121:6	133:21	24:5
128:17	62:18	entities [1] - 50:6	example [3] - 77:25,	experienced [8] -
Electoral [12] - 60:12,	enforce [1] - 44:7	entitled [1] - 149:15	78:20, 84:4	21:20, 23:8, 23:10, 23:23, 24:1, 27:8,
61:20, 64:20, 66:20,	enforced [1] - 123:9	entitlement [1] -	examples [1] - 108:9	27:14, 95:9
70:3, 73:19, 74:1,	enforcement [24] -	156:18	except [2] - 71:20,	experiences [2] -
74:11, 74:15, 75:14,	56:20, 56:24, 59:8, 60:11, 60:15, 75:18	entrance [2] - 109:16,	99:5 exceptional [2] - 92:7,	18:17, 19:2
78:24, 79:18	60:11, 60:15, 75:18, 79:5, 95:25, 96:4,	127:19	92:23	explain [5] - 8:5,
electronic [1] - 13:11	100:18, 100:23,	entries [4] - 16:3,		18:23, 70:5, 125:7,
	100.10, 100.23,	,	exceptionally [2] -	10.20, 10.0, 120.1,

144:14 explained [7] - 62:22, 63:9, 63:11, 77:3, 87:16, 88:4, 139:15 explaining [3] - 19:15, 139:20. 152:11 explains [1] - 49:7 explanation [1] -13:17 explicit [1] - 60:14 explicitly [8] - 46:10, 46:13, 46:22, 47:18, 54:16, 63:2, 68:10, 69:18 express [4] - 23:22, 24:3, 69:22, 142:15 expressed [4] - 19:10, 84:18, 138:22, 153:5 expressing[2] -152:22, 157:24 expression [4] - 56:2, 56:6, 56:9, 153:14 extend [1] - 137:3 extensive[1] - 90:19 extensively [1] - 35:9 extent [4] - 14:8, 18:22, 28:1, 80:3 extra [1] - 139:16 extraordinary [2] -84:7, 92:12 extremely [1] - 25:17 eyes [1] - 109:10

F

F.Supp [1] - 78:2 **F1** [1] - 142:13 face [7] - 58:21, 100:2, 100:4, 109:7, 130:3, 149:4, 150:22 face-off [2] - 58:21, 149:4 faced [2] - 140:5, 140:7 faces [1] - 130:17 facilitate [1] - 147:13 facility [1] - 165:7 facing [3] - 101:13, 103:20, 147:5 fact [41] - 10:22, 11:22, 12:3, 14:5, 21:18, 29:24, 37:23, 46:9, 47:10, 49:20, 54:16, 59:20, 60:14, 65:10, 66:2, 74:9, 77:9, 80:11, 89:14, 92:20, 96:6, 97:5, 101:22, 103:12, 105:22, 116:10,

120:19, 121:8, 122:22, 126:15, 127:10, 128:22, 128:24, 130:11, 130:24, 134:19, 135:8, 138:12, 148:25, 152:20, 159:9 **fact-finding** [1] - 66:2 factions [2] - 104:7, 104:18 factor [5] - 54:8, 114:1, 115:17, 154:5, 158:8 factors [16] - 7:22, 34:18, 83:23, 93:17, 110:21, 111:18, 115:8, 115:11, 115:12, 137:25, 141:9, 144:22, 158:11, 159:8, 159:10, 159:12 facts [4] - 52:16, 53:20, 55:4, 134:21 factual [8] - 7:15, 28:11, 28:18, 29:15, 29:21, 43:14, 50:23, 51:3 factually [1] - 52:4 fail [1] - 165:18 failed [1] - 70:22 failure [1] - 165:13 fair [3] - 42:11, 76:3, 153:19 Fairlamb [4] - 71:15, 114:11, 114:17, 158:16 fairly [2] - 7:22, 35:3 fall [2] - 62:15, 66:20 fallen [1] - 142:12 falls [5] - 24:24, 34:13, 75:23, 139:6, 154:11 false [2] - 49:19, 153:12 falsification [1] -77:18

familiar [1] - 131:15

family [9] - 6:2, 7:4,

136:3, 136:5, 136:9,

19:24, 20:19, 20:21,

27:19, 41:1, 50:7,

115:19, 125:20,

135:17, 139:11,

fashion [1] - 73:24

62:22, 85:5, 90:15,

147:4, 157:9, 158:12

121:3, 134:14,

140:21, 151:16

far [18] - 3:7, 11:20,

fancy [1] - 13:11

fashioning [1] -147:20 father [1] - 140:18 favor [1] - 118:4 favors [2] - 151:5, 154.5 FBI [2] - 134:13, 152:7 FCRR [3] - 1:23, 166:18, 166:24 fear [4] - 14:16, 14:17, 27:11, 150:20 fears [1] - 158:7 features [1] - 66:19 February [3] - 9:8, 84:21, 84:23 Federal [2] - 13:7, 26:15 federal [9] - 15:24, 26:23, 27:3, 62:5, 68:24, 74:5, 124:16, 128:25, 160:19 feedback [1] - 115:23 feelings [7] - 19:20, 23:6, 24:1, 27:10, 27:11, 102:4, 125:16 feet [6] - 13:14, 20:10, 97:25, 98:10, 100:9, 110:7 fellow [2] - 27:23, 148:10 felony [3] - 117:12, 145:20, 147:6 felt [4] - 23:22, 27:24, 54:17, 135:23 festival [1] - 139:3 few [10] - 17:17, 17:19, 20:8, 56:22, 94:5, 94:14, 94:16, 99:20, 125:8, 135:14 fickle [1] - 137:10 Fifth [2] - 72:22, 74:7 **fifth** [1] - 156:5 fight [2] - 132:15 fighting [4] - 57:19, 110:8, 133:24, 153:4 file [3] - 6:11, 162:16, 162:19 filed [3] - 28:16, 39:5, 39:6 filings [1] - 41:8 fill [1] - 156:13 filmed [3] - 148:22, 149:12, 156:7 filming [1] - 149:23 final [9] - 28:15, 45:15, 46:3, 48:20, 66:6, 74:21, 79:16, 81:10, 114:1 finally [6] - 109:10,

116:21, 142:9,

148:10, 150:23, 157:17 Financial [1] - 160:12 financial [2] - 162:1, 162:6 findings [2] - 29:24, 53:6 fine [6] - 82:14, 161:25, 164:16, 164:18, 165:19 finger [2] - 58:10, 155:17 fingers [1] - 58:15 firmly [1] - 79:13 first [45] - 7:13, 11:16, 16:5, 19:8, 20:14, 20:16, 20:22, 35:24, 41:9, 44:12, 44:20, 45:2, 45:6, 45:8, 47:14, 48:11, 53:1, 53:5, 55:17, 58:18, 59:21, 67:14, 68:11, 74:1, 80:11, 84:18, 85:10, 95:22, 96:9, 100:11, 108:3, 109:13, 110:22, 111:1, 122:17, 123:17, 125:12, 125:14, 127:16, 131:8, 133:14, 149:3, 154:20, 155:3 First [1] - 68:6 fists [1] - 58:16 fit [1] - 75:11 five [7] - 81:13, 105:9, 122:17, 124:12, 124:13, 127:16, 161:4 five-minute [1] - 81:13 fix [2] - 3:25, 40:23 flag [2] - 101:25, 130:6 flame [1] - 157:2 flaring [1] - 26:4 flexibility [1] - 42:9 flight [2] - 24:22, 25:20 flights [2] - 51:9, 96:25 flinch [4] - 58:25, 107:24, 108:11, 157:16 flinched [1] - 150:19 flinching [1] - 59:4 flippant [1] - 95:11 flippantly [1] - 102:8 floor [3] - 154:24, 155:9, 155:10 floors [1] - 54:23

focus [2] - 62:21,

135:22

focused [2] - 62:24, 121.5 focuses [1] - 61:10 focusing [1] - 114:19 folks [1] - 106:20 follow [6] - 32:23, 59:7, 122:13, 125:3, 127:22, 161:12 followed [7] - 93:10, 93:13, 122:10, 127:20, 148:14, 155:9, 155:13 following [9] - 5:8, 82:13, 106:5, 122:13, 126:24, 131:23, 160:10, 160:15, 161:6 follows [2] - 95:18, 96:17 foolish [3] - 123:1, 128:1, 155:23 foolishly [6] - 118:6, 118:7, 127:20, 128:19, 129:6, 129:18 footage [4] - 58:25, 156:24, 156:25 footnote [2] - 49:6, 77:3 FOR [3] - 1:1, 1:11, 1:17 force [9] - 17:6, 22:22, 72:5, 72:6, 72:8, 75:18, 105:7, 106:1, 148:3 forced [4] - 45:25, 46:4, 109:8, 133:8 forces [1] - 109:11 forcing [1] - 51:18 Ford [1] - 160:12 foregoing [1] - 166:18 foremost [1] - 110:22 foreseeable [1] -51:12 foreseeably [2] - 51:5, 51:23 forever [1] - 119:22 forget [1] - 9:8 form [5] - 75:18, 94:2, 95:24, 98:9, 152:1 forma [1] - 74:18 formal [2] - 66:1, 74:10 formally [1] - 66:4 format [1] - 45:21 former [6] - 117:1. 120:4, 120:14, 122:8, 124:7, 134:25 forth [5] - 28:19, 83:18, 117:16,

129:17, 131:10 forum [1] - 21:10 forward [17] - 2:8, 6:25, 8:24, 10:10, 10:12, 10:20, 67:24, 83:10, 101:2, 101:12, 101:14, 103:1, 122:21, 123:1, 141:18, 141:19, 150:9 fought [6] - 110:2, 112:13, 133:19, 133:22, 133:23, 153:2 four [10] - 30:6, 31:20, 31:22, 48:4, 77:21, 90:9, 113:22, 146:4, 153:25, 161:2 fourth [1] - 155:22 frankly [4] - 95:10, 98:8, 110:25, 164:4 fraudulent [2] - 109:2, 133:12 freedom [4] - 102:10, 102:11, 149:14, 152:14 Friday [1] - 5:23 friend [3] - 109:24, 143:2 friend's [1] - 143:3 friends [12] - 6:2, 134:24, 134:25, 135:24, 135:25, 136:2, 136:5, 136:6, 142:21, 143:1, 151:9, 157:18 front [12] - 47:6, 57:19, 96:24, 98:17, 100:17, 107:2, 107:6, 108:12, 157:3, 157:5, 157:8, 157:9 frontline [1] - 157:1 frowned [1] - 135:7 fruition [1] - 142:10 fuck [1] - 152:13 Fuck [1] - 101:9 fulfill [1] - 74:4 full [7] - 10:17, 28:5, 86:15, 92:19, 146:23, 162:6, 166:19 fully [4] - 28:25, 69:15, 79:19, 86:20 **functioning** [1] - 72:13 fundamental [1] -26:17 funny [1] - 152:14 furthermore [1] -66:14

furthest [1] - 105:11 future [5] - 4:22, 145:5, 152:3, 153:8, 153:24

G

Gaga's [1] - 142:7 gap [1] - 42:5 gas [3] - 59:1, 156:22, 157:11 gathering [1] - 52:15 gear [3] - 99:11, 101:19, 121:25 general [6] - 62:23, 77:14, 113:3, 113:13, 118:13, 119:3 generally [1] - 71:1 geographical [1] -137:4 George [1] - 111:11 Gettysburg [1] - 23:19 given [19] - 12:3, 18:18, 20:12, 21:18, 31:25, 46:19, 46:20, 50:7, 51:11, 58:13, 59:1, 59:22, 63:24, 75:12, 83:8, 89:20, 127:24, 128:21, 159:5 glad [1] - 130:7 glass [1] - 154:24 goal [1] - 143:11 goggles [1] - 121:25 Gonzalez [1] - 101:23 Goodman [56] - 6:10, 9:18, 10:1, 10:17, 11:19, 12:1, 13:13, 14:3, 15:5, 16:6, 17:3, 17:20, 19:8, 20:17, 21:14, 21:19, 22:9, 22:15, 22:20, 23:4, 24:17, 24:19, 24:22, 25:20, 25:21, 27:2, 27:5, 27:16, 27:21, 28:3, 57:1, 57:3, 57:6, 57:9, 57:13, 57:15, 57:21, 58:4, 60:1, 96:18, 96:25, 97:1, 97:3, 97:13, 97:20, 97:22, 98:9, 116:13, 122:14, 122:15, 122:20, 148:5,

148:18, 148:20,

1:11

government's [19] -

6:7, 8:18, 11:25,

155:9, 155:13

Goodman's [12] -

8:14, 12:12, 18:21,

26:8, 57:14, 97:11, 148:7, 155:12, 158:2 govern [1] - 34:2 governing [2] - 31:15, 76.4 government [133] -2:9, 2:18, 5:11, 6:6, 6:15, 7:14, 8:1, 9:10, 9:11, 9:12, 10:2, 10:25, 11:5, 11:11, 11:20, 11:23, 13:10, 16:8, 16:13, 16:14, 16:17, 16:18, 18:1, 20:5, 21:14, 22:3, 22:14, 22:20, 24:18, 25:13, 27:18, 28:18, 30:1, 30:20, 32:3, 33:23, 34:10, 38:21, 41:6, 42:24, 43:19, 43:23, 44:24, 46:6, 46:7, 47:15, 47:21, 48:16, 48:23, 49:5, 49:13, 49:16, 49:25, 50:4, 50:7, 50:12, 50:18, 50:24, 51:6, 54:22, 60:9, 65:4, 68:19, 69:19, 70:9, 70:10, 70:22, 71:12, 76:13, 76:19, 77:10, 77:12, 78:7, 78:10, 80:21, 80:24, 82:24, 85:6, 85:17, 86:19, 87:12, 87:13, 88:21, 88:25, 89:3, 89:13, 91:5, 91:12, 91:13, 91:18, 91:23, 93:8, 93:17, 94:13, 96:1, 96:14, 104:24, 105:19, 106:24, 111:19, 112:16, 113:14, 114:19, 115:2, 115:7, 115:9, 115:10, 115:15, 117:9, 117:10, 117:15, 117:16, 120:25, 123:8, 128:23, 129:9, 131:6, 131:11, 131:21, 133:16, 133:20, 137:23, 145:18, 146:12, 147:17, 154:15, 158:9, 163:3, 163:22, 164:6, 166:10, 166:11 GOVERNMENT[1] -

20:23, 21:3, 24:1,

18:7, 20:18, 52:2, 70:13, 91:9, 91:25, 94:18, 94:24, 95:10, 97:17, 107:9, 113:23, 117:2, 134:3, 134:4, 163:24 governmental [14] -36:17. 43:11. 43:13. 44:4, 44:14, 48:17, 48:18, 48:21, 50:14, 61:16, 76:14, 77:7, 78:14, 79:10 governments [1] -153:16 gradient [1] - 42:13 **Grammy** [1] - 142:5 **Grammy-nominated** [1] - 142:5 Grams [1] - 142:6 grand [15] - 37:14, 41:11, 41:25, 43:2, 55:13, 60:25, 61:3, 61:22, 62:5, 62:9, 62:24, 63:13, 68:15, 69:8, 69:21 granted [1] - 142:3 gravity [2] - 94:25, 116:17 **Gray** [1] - 77:16 great [1] - 94:12 greater [3] - 79:14, 136:17, 144:24 greatly [2] - 136:22, 148:5 **GREG** [1] - 1:5 Greg [67] - 2:4, 4:3, 49:23, 50:2, 50:8, 50:19, 51:2, 96:3, 96:7, 96:8, 96:16, 96:23, 97:8, 97:19, 98:1, 99:13, 100:3, 100:6, 125:11, 125:16, 125:21, 126:8, 126:9, 126:10, 126:23, 127:2, 127:3, 127:5, 127:6, 127:12, 127:14, 128:14, 128:16, 128:25, 129:12, 129:13, 129:16, 129:18, 129:23, 132:10, 132:14, 134:10, 134:16, 134:19, 134:22, 135:2, 135:15, 135:19, 135:20, 135:23, 136:4, 136:11, 136:15, 137:9, 137:12, 137:18,

138:2, 138:23, 139:11, 139:12, 139:14, 139:15, 139:20, 159:16 Greg's [4] - 135:17, 136:9, 139:10 grips [2] - 127:8, 127:10 ground [4] - 88:23, 98:7, 108:13, 110:7 grounds [4] - 109:15, 145:24, 146:2, 146:16 group [14] - 32:10, 78:7, 81:18, 95:18, 96:18, 96:24, 97:20, 101:8, 104:3, 120:10, 122:17, 149:18, 150:5, 157:3 grouped [5] - 31:3, 31:12, 31:14, 31:19, grouping [8] - 31:5, 31:11, 31:15, 31:25, 32:7, 33:1, 33:5, 33:12 groups [1] - 31:5 **grow** [1] - 105:25 growing [2] - 49:22, 135:20 grown [2] - 153:21 grown-up [2] - 153:21 guaranteed [1] - 72:15 Guard [1] - 79:5 Guerrier [1] - 26:24 guess [3] - 52:9, 71:17, 152:14 guideline [70] - 28:14, 29:14, 30:11, 31:13, 31:14, 31:15, 31:21, 31:25, 33:14, 33:17, 33:19, 33:22, 33:24, 34:1, 34:3, 34:13, 34:20, 34:21, 34:23, 34:25, 35:18, 38:11, 38:12, 39:19, 45:15, 55:8, 60:8, 60:22, 61:14, 63:21, 64:15, 65:6, 65:9, 65:18, 67:17, 68:1, 68:11, 68:18, 68:20, 68:21, 69:3, 69:6, 69:17, 70:4, 70:10, 70:17, 76:9, 77:6, 77:13, 79:23, 80:1, 80:5, 80:16, 81:4, 81:6, 81:18, 81:19, 81:25, 82:5, 82:14, 82:22, 83:5, 84:9, 92:4, 92:18, 92:25, 93:9,

93:13, 141:11, 100:7 154:10 guidelines [49] - 7:19, 7:25, 25:19, 30:3, 137:6 30:7, 30:8, 30:12, 30:18, 31:21, 31:24, 32:14. 34:15. 36:18. 38:5. 41:10. 41:17. 42:10, 42:11, 42:12, 46:18, 46:19, 46:23, 150.4 47:9, 63:25, 65:3, 67:5, 67:9, 67:14, 137:1, 158:5 68:11, 69:14, 71:25, 79:20, 79:25, 80:3, 80:8, 81:7, 81:10, 81:16, 81:17, 82:8, 88:18, 88:19, 93:3, 94:19, 145:7, 61:10, 80:19 154:12, 154:13, 159:15 26:22, 27:6 guidelines' [1] - 41:13 guilty [21] - 4:4, 51:25, 80:17, 80:22 56:25, 68:7, 83:9, 84:23, 86:14, 86:22, 87:19, 87:23, 88:15, 133:5 88:24, 92:22, 117:3, 123:19, 126:3, 126:4, 132:1, 145:13, 147:12, 162:25 157:10 guru [1] - 3:25 guys [6] - 144:7, 149:25, 150:1, 150:3, 151:1, 151:2 161:11 Н H2-205B [1] - 160:13

half [2] - 41:23, 42:3 hall [3] - 98:3, 100:8, 155:6 hallowed [1] - 98:7 hallway [6] - 100:11, 100:16, 100:19, 101:2, 101:6, 101:8 hallways [1] - 148:23 **hammer** [1] - 113:15 hand [5] - 57:19, 107:5, 134:18, 138:13 hand-to-hand [1] -57:19 handed [2] - 101:22, 133:6 handful [1] - 141:1 handling [3] - 85:25, 91:25, 103:17 hands [1] - 150:11 hangs [2] - 96:17,

happy [5] - 20:19, 50:23, 91:1, 94:16, harass [3] - 56:13, 149:22. 156:15 harassed [1] - 159:4 harassing [1] - 155:16 harassment[1] hard [3] - 136:11, harm [17] - 12:7, 15:2, 19:11, 19:12, 19:16, 19:17, 19:19, 24:4, 24:5, 24:25, 51:5, 51:24, 56:3, 56:10, harmed [3] - 15:23, harms [3] - 23:9, hat [5] - 102:1, 104:16, 105:12, 106:10, hats [2] - 133:3, 156:2 havoc [1] - 78:6 head [4] - 58:24, 107:2, 129:25, heads [2] - 10:4, 99:2 health [7] - 6:3, 27:12, 142:19. 142:24. 143:16, 151:16, hear [13] - 8:1, 8:24, 13:4, 18:12, 35:2, 35:4, 52:12, 82:21. 83:11, 123:6, 123:8, 123:12, 123:15 heard [10] - 10:10, 18:4, 29:20, 53:17, 60:14, 75:3, 120:1, 120:2, 123:6, 123:13 **HEARING** [1] - 1:8 hearing [20] - 4:7, 4:15, 5:1, 5:9, 7:13, 7:18, 7:23, 15:17, 21:21, 26:5, 28:10, 30:2, 54:3, 65:14, 66:1, 71:3, 71:17, 93:6, 135:2, 161:18 hearings [4] - 3:6, 4:23, 7:11, 54:21 hears [2] - 123:9,

125:1

114:21

80:11

heart [2] - 27:10,

heartland [2] - 80:4,

heavily [1] - 154:1 held [6] - 4:18, 46:22, 64:12, 73:12, 147:10, 151:19 helmet [6] - 107:2, 107:9, 107:13, 107:21, 121:24, 150:12 help [8] - 27:25, 90:21, 136:17, 140:17, 142:23, 143:14, 147:13, 151:18 helped [2] - 142:25, 156:2 helpful [2] - 151:17, 158:12 helping [1] - 25:19 hereby [2] - 159:16, 166:18 Heritage [1] - 56:5 hide [3] - 134:21, 135:11 high [4] - 115:23, 136:3, 136:4, 136:5 high-pitched [1] -115:23 highest [10] - 32:1, 33:14, 33:16, 33:17, 33:22, 34:1, 34:21, 81:6, 81:18, 120:13 **highlight** [1] - 135:15 highlights [1] - 151:25 himself [29] - 6:2, 12:12, 14:21, 14:24, 23:6, 42:15, 51:16, 101:7, 101:9, 103:16, 118:6, 118:7, 127:6, 127:8, 127:21, 127:23, 128:16, 130:23, 131:20, 134:20, 134:23, 135:10, 135:16, 135:21, 136:24, 148:22, 149:12, 149:23, 156:7 **hinder** [1] - 61:17 **History** [2] - 30:19, 82:7 history [26] - 7:2, 7:21, 30:15, 30:16, 30:18, 30:21, 48:5, 50:16, 67:5, 67:9, 80:11, 84:14, 92:15, 92:20, 96:12, 111:1, 112:15, 112:17, 113:9, 114:5, 118:2, 145:10, 148:24, 151:7, 151:8, 156:10

hearts [1] - 17:7

71:16 hoist [1] - 111:8 Hold [1] - 104:19 hold [5] - 20:8, 68:2, 104:21, 146:22, 146:24 holding [1] - 122:25 holds [2] - 26:15, 129:24 holistic [1] - 17:1 holy [1] - 148:23 home [9] - 83:7, 93:14, 113:6, 113:11, 134:13, 139:23, 140:1, 163:10, 163:11 honest [2] - 20:8, 164:17 honestly [1] - 127:7 Honor [109] - 2:5, 2:10, 2:13, 2:16, 2:22, 3:2, 3:10, 6:17, 6:20, 8:10, 8:22, 9:1, 9:3, 9:14, 10:5, 10:15, 11:15, 15:19, 16:17, 17:17, 17:23, 18:8, 18:15, 18:25, 20:4, 21:8, 21:25, 23:11, 23:15, 24:8, 24:13, 26:2, 28:21, 29:12, 29:19, 30:23, 32:6, 32:17, 33:3, 33:4, 33:9, 35:6, 35:7, 36:20, 39:15, 40:6, 41:7, 44:1, 44:8, 47:10, 49:4, 51:6, 51:17, 52:1, 52:8, 52:23, 53:22, 82:25, 83:2, 84:11, 85:8. 86:22. 87:4. 87:21, 88:9, 88:14, 89:14, 90:25, 91:1, 93:18, 94:8, 94:10, 95:16, 96:23, 97:16, 100:1, 100:13, 100:22, 103:24, 105:5, 106:19, 112:20, 116:21, 117:25, 118:11, 119:24, 120:9, 121:24, 122:23, 125:10, 126:9, 126:15, 127:1, 130:15, 133:21, 135:15, 137:2, 137:10, 138:13,

hit [4] - 101:18, 108:9,

108:14, 157:9

hitting [1] - 107:20

Hodgkins [2] - 65:13,

139:19, 141:21, 163:4, 163:6, 163:9, 163:23, 164:22, 165:4, 166:12, 166:14 Honor's [2] - 45:18, 130:19 HONORABLE [1] - 1:9 hood [4] - 97:14, 100:16. 104:16. 106:11 hope [4] - 83:13, 143:22, 144:1, 151:1 hoped [1] - 140:2 hopefully [2] - 95:13, 104:14 horrible [1] - 136:5 horribly [1] - 121:16 host [1] - 42:17 hour [14] - 12:4, 20:12, 66:7, 101:15, 109:12, 109:16, 112:5, 114:17, 116:13, 131:7, 131:8, 148:17, 150:24 hours [2] - 87:5, 90:13 House [7] - 66:12, 89:16, 89:21, 90:3, 91:17, 92:16, 93:1 house [1] - 140:17 HOWELL [1] - 1:9 huge [1] - 155:7 humanity [1] - 111:10 hundred [1] - 58:3 hundreds [7] - 78:4, 79:5, 79:7, 108:6, 111:6, 132:24, 156:19 hurt [3] - 51:19, 51:20, 142:16

- 1

idea [4] - 58:4, 63:2, 113:5, 148:8 identified [2] - 92:9, 97:21 identify [3] - 25:12, 48:16, 76:14 ignored [1] - 148:13 ignoring [1] - 155:11 illegal [18] - 16:3, 16:5, 16:23, 56:3, 58:18, 58:20, 59:21, 59:25, 102:5, 125:3, 128:24, 128:25, 129:1, 149:3, 149:6, 153:12, 156:8,

156:18 illegally [6] - 75:22, 108:6, 109:15, 151:12, 155:24, 156:8 images [2] - 106:24, 118:2 imagine [3] - 13:16, 59:3, 123:14 iMessage [1] - 152:11 immediately [8] -57:8, 96:17, 100:7, 110:19, 112:13, 134:17, 136:11, impact [27] - 6:9, 8:18, 8:19, 9:20, 12:13, 12:22, 12:25, 13:8, 15:3, 15:21, 17:25, 19:10, 20:17, 20:21, 21:4, 21:11, 21:23, 23:13, 26:9, 26:14, 26:19, 27:7, 28:7, 140:11, 142:24, 148:7, 158:2 impeachment [1] -64:6 impede [1] - 62:18 impeding [4] - 33:20, 68:8, 158:19, 159:1 **import** [2] - 33:2, 33:8 importance [1] -153:24 important [8] - 19:7, 37:1, 55:11, 94:17, 97:24, 99:6, 117:19, 118:13 **importantly** [1] - 41:22 imported [1] - 68:23 impose [12] - 8:6, 8:7, 79:21, 80:8, 93:3, 117:5, 117:20, 144:15, 144:23, 147:7, 153:23 imposed [11] - 82:11, 145:2, 151:21, 158:13, 158:20, 159:12, 160:16, 162:15, 162:22, 163:2, 166:2 imposition [2] - 154:5, 161:25 impressed [2] - 125:1, 149:10 impression [2] - 15:9, 83:21 impressionable [5] -119:23, 124:5, 124:24, 124:25, 125:2

imprisonment [14] -82:9, 82:13, 93:8, 93:12, 94:19, 114:8, 116:1, 117:18, 165:8, 165:15, 165:19, 165:20, 165:21. 166:4 improper [1] - 129:4 inapplicable [3] -34:9, 55:18, 61:19 incarceration [10] -83:7, 153:7, 154:6, 154:14, 154:17, 154:19, 155:23, 159:5, 161:17, 163:10 incident [2] - 15:19, 21:1 incidents [2] - 11:16, 16:22 inciting [1] - 122:20 include [13] - 15:23, 25:4, 26:21, 41:22, 41:23, 41:24, 47:25, 70:24. 87:6. 88:5. 111:22, 145:1, 160:18 included [5] - 41:20. 42:15, 56:25, 63:17, 97.17 includes [4] - 41:11, 78:12, 160:23, 162:9 including [15] - 4:18, 4:21, 55:22, 59:5, 67:21, 67:22, 68:8, 68:21, 69:20, 70:14, 100:24, 116:1, 120:15, 137:25, 138:18 incoming [1] - 75:9 incompetent [1] -19:13 inconsequential [1] -59:22 incorrect [1] - 62:3 increase [6] - 33:7, 35:20, 36:8, 55:7, 80:18, 106:20 increased [1] - 4:9 increases [1] - 80:25 incredible [1] - 153:10 incredibly [2] - 128:1, 155:23 independent [1] -63:10 indicated [11] - 9:9, 11:13, 11:21, 35:7, 39:11, 44:24, 87:8,

87:21, 126:1,

132:14, 136:15

indication [3] - 52:20, 69:3, 130:12 indications [1] -125:11 indictment [8] - 4:5, 64:24, 84:4, 86:9, 87:23, 88:5, 123:20, 126:3 indiscriminately [1] -158:24 indistinguishable [1] - 156:1 individual [14] - 9:19, 9:20, 9:21, 12:2, 16:15, 126:10, 126:14, 127:24, 128:13, 139:9, 146:25, 152:12, 152:21 individual's [1] - 40:8 individuals [12] -15:10, 78:17, 95:18, 96:24, 97:20, 116:3, 117:10. 129:17. 136:8, 141:1, 141:2, 158:15 indulgence [1] -104:23 **industry** [1] - 137:10 ineffective [1] -162:24 inexcusably [1] -129:19 inexplicably [1] -156:21 inflexible [1] - 77:9 inflict [2] - 56:2, 56:6 **inflicting** [1] - 55:25 influence [1] - 62:18 information [14] -9:11, 9:23, 12:14, 15:16, 18:18, 28:6, 49:14, 49:17, 79:21, 79:25, 80:2, 89:10, 157:25, 162:23 informed [1] - 53:18 initial [3] - 8:12, 26:20, 147.25 injured [1] - 51:11 injuries [2] - 19:21, 27:1 injury [16] - 35:21, 35:25, 51:13, 55:10, 55:19, 55:25, 56:7, 56:12, 56:15, 57:14, 58:12, 60:1, 60:19, 61:12, 76:1, 81:22 inside [31] - 56:19, 56:22, 58:19, 58:20, 59:15, 59:25, 90:19,

101:4, 111:22, 114:14, 115:12, 116:14, 119:20, 122:12, 126:11, 127:22, 127:25, 131:8, 148:4, 149:16, 149:19, 154:23, 155:20, 155:25, 156:4, 156:5, 156:9, 158:4 insignificant [2] -119:21, 131:5 inspired [1] - 124:20 instead [6] - 63:9, 78:10, 98:12, 98:14, 106:3, 155:19 instructions [4] -57:11, 58:16, 148:13, 155:12 integrity [1] - 72:14 intend [1] - 130:24 intended [5] - 38:15, 40:25, 42:20, 70:6, 94:13 intent [2] - 56:9, 84:19 intention [10] - 55:24, 56:2. 56:6. 84:19. 101:12, 122:3. 122:5, 126:5, 130:13, 130:23 intentionally [2] -101:5, 106:18 intentions [5] - 58:5, 78:18, 78:25, 131:22, 148:9 interactions [1] -18:17 interference [10] -36:9, 37:10, 43:9, 48:14, 61:15, 70:12, 70:18, 76:10, 79:11, 82:1 interfering [3] - 64:15, 69:1. 80:20 internal [1] - 69:1 International [1] -56:1 international [1] -43:16 internet [1] - 152:7 interpret [1] - 64:18 interpretation [2] -40:17, 68:14 interpreted [2] -54:18, 77:8 interruption [1] - 11:4 intertwined [1] - 74:25 interview [2] - 10:18, 10:22

96:3, 96:4, 96:11,

investigated [1] -117:10 investigates [1] - 64:6 investigation [14] -5:5, 7:16, 28:12, 29:5, 29:11, 29:16, 29:22, 31:3, 44:21, 68:25, 77:19, 92:9, 144:19, 162:8 investigations [1] -70:18 invited [1] - 154:25 invoices [1] - 68:22 involve [10] - 25:5, 31:16, 36:3, 36:13, 39:7, 43:22, 43:23, 55:12, 60:24, 61:21 involved [14] - 16:23, 25:15, 35:20, 43:14, 81:22, 85:6, 91:5, 118:6, 118:7, 119:8, 126:8, 129:17, 132:23, 135:10 involvement [4] -71:6, 84:16, 84:17, 134:20 involves [4] - 38:3, 74:4, 76:3, 146:19 involving [5] - 21:5, 56:4, 59:10, 69:7, 70:12 **Involving** [3] - 41:15, 41:21, 47:12 iPhone [3] - 110:16, 152:8, 157:23 **Iron** [4] - 43:1, 44:19, 70:15, 78:1 ironic [1] - 62:14 irrationally [1] -121:16 irrelevant [1] - 64:14 **irritant** [7] - 51:19, 100:23, 101:18, 107:23, 108:15, 109:8, 130:16 Island [3] - 163:12, 163:15, 163:18 issue [11] - 17:12, 18:9, 36:2, 45:20, 55:12, 60:23, 64:17, 126:23, 126:24, 126:25, 163:22 issued [2] - 4:21, 65:10 issues [3] - 14:4, 20:2, 126:17 IT [1] - 5:15 itself [9] - 15:19, 19:17, 50:18, 69:10, 76:22, 77:14, 128:1,

131:14, 137:11 J **J1.2** [1] - 70:16 jab [1] - 130:6 Jacob [1] - 71:16 JACQUELINE[1] -1:12 Jacqueline [1] - 2:19 jail [3] - 137:17, 140:5, 140:11 January [92] - 9:5, 10:18, 17:5, 19:18, 19:21, 19:24, 21:5, 21:12, 21:20, 22:2, 22:5, 22:8, 22:9, 22:23, 23:10, 24:6, 27:6, 27:15, 28:2, 36:13, 45:1, 45:9, 45:11, 46:12, 46:16, 47:20, 49:21, 50:3, 50:5, 50:14, 50:16, 53:1, 56:21, 57:17, 59:15, 61:9, 61:20, 65:13, 65:23, 65:24, 71:8, 71:12, 73:6, 74:25, 75:11, 76:6, 80:10, 80:24, 84:13, 91:8, 94:22, 95:4, 95:11, 98:6, 102:13, 109:17, 109:19, 109:22, 110:2, 110:6, 110:18, 110:25, 111:6, 113:13, 118:24, 119:25, 120:16, 121:18, 127:11, 130:4, 134:5, 134:7, 134:8, 137:23, 138:1, 138:19, 139:2, 139:18, 142:16, 143:20, 144:3, 147:5, 151:20, 151:25, 152:22, 152:23, 153:3, 153:22, 157:24, 158:1, 158:13 jeers [1] - 155:17 jeez [1] - 98:13 **Jersey** [5] - 70:16, 78:2, 163:12, 163:13, 163:16 job [6] - 20:10, 108:8,

108:21, 119:2,

129:11, 156:16

John [1] - 3:24

106:18

join [2] - 104:21,

124:16, 130:9, 140:10, 151:24, 73:17

joined [9] - 11:12, 11:24, 58:21, 97:19, 98:17, 105:6, 112:23, 155:7, 155:15 joining [2] - 2:6, 56:25 joint [1] - 156:6 Joint [2] - 48:2, 98:7 jointly [1] - 146:22 **jostling** [1] - 104:6 JR [1] - 1:12 Judge [26] - 11:10, 39:8, 39:18, 41:5, 43:7, 43:12, 43:18, 45:18, 46:4, 46:6, 46:8, 47:12, 47:14, 47:21, 54:15, 64:2, 65:1, 65:10, 65:14, 71:18, 74:12, 119:19, 125:4, 138:11, 139:25, 141:9 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:9 judge [18] - 4:24, 12:16, 17:9, 38:14, 45:2, 45:8, 47:7, 64:7, 65:10, 83:12, 88:3, 118:1, 118:18,

163:8 judges [6] - 13:12, 53:2, 62:25, 71:13, 73:11, 119:2 judgment [2] - 159:16,

162:17 judicial [28] - 37:14, 37:16, 37:17, 37:19, 41:11, 41:12, 41:24, 41:25, 43:2, 43:3, 44:9, 44:18, 55:13, 60:25, 61:3, 61:4, 61:22, 61:23, 62:9, 63:13, 63:17, 66:17, 69:12, 70:21, 71:3,

July [1] - 87:14 jump [1] - 63:22 June [1] - 166:23 juries [3] - 62:5, 69:8, 69:21

jurors [3] - 62:25, 63:3, 63:6 jury [11] - 37:15, 41:12, 41:25, 43:3, 55:13, 60:25, 61:3, 61:22, 62:9, 63:13, 68:16

justice [81] - 35:22, 36:3, 36:9, 36:10,

36:13, 37:11, 37:14, 38:7, 38:20, 39:8, 39:13, 39:17, 40:1, 40:4, 40:5, 40:7, 40:15, 41:11, 42:3, 45:11, 46:18, 47:8, 47:18, 47:24, 48:6, 48:15, 54:17, 55:12, 60:24, 61:2, 61:8, 61:13, 61:15, 61:18, 61:22, 61:25, 62:4, 62:8, 62:13, 62:16, 62:19, 63:5, 63:12, 63:16, 64:5, 64:13, 64:16, 64:19, 64:25, 65:6, 65:18, 66:22, 68:1, 68:14, 69:5, 69:11, 69:14, 70:1, 70:5. 70:8. 70:23. 72:1, 72:3, 72:13, 72:19, 72:23, 73:7, 73:14, 74:3, 74:24, 75:13, 75:25, 76:2, 76:3, 76:11, 79:12, 79:17, 81:24, 82:2, 113:10, 158:18 Justice [9] - 38:8, 41:16, 41:21, 47:12, 63:1, 63:9, 63:15, 89:20, 114:6 justice' [1] - 73:15

K

Kathy [1] - 160:12 Katy [1] - 142:7 keep [4] - 3:17, 4:11, 123:1, 141:19 keeping [1] - 54:24 keeps [1] - 26:4 kid [1] - 44:5 kidnapping [2] -43:17, 43:25 kind [18] - 9:17, 10:3, 22:11, 22:24, 25:5, 25:9, 53:23, 71:2, 73:6, 86:6, 106:22, 109:25, 113:10, 113:19, 116:19, 122:24, 140:10, 152:2 **kinds** [2] - 40:8, 115:11 kitted [1] - 99:11 knowing [1] - 152:19 knowledge [1] - 122:5 known [3] - 95:6, 142:7, 153:22 knows [10] - 96:13, 98:8, 102:17,

108:24, 120:19, 120:23, 124:9, 129:7, 139:12, 139:14

L

lack [4] - 69:22, 71:9,

lacrosse [2] - 130:5,

92:15, 92:19

130.8

Lady [1] - 142:7 laid [1] - 35:3 language [4] - 40:23, 46:20, 64:2, 64:10 **laptop** [2] - 11:6, 93:19 large [2] - 102:15, 121:12 largely [2] - 74:18, 159:8 last [18] - 5:23, 6:11, 8:5, 9:3, 9:6, 9:12, 10:2, 12:10, 20:5, 20:8, 53:17, 76:7, 127:7, 141:25, 142:9, 142:10, 142:18, 143:2 lastly [1] - 8:2 late [3] - 12:4, 12:17, 20:5 latter [1] - 117:1 law [49] - 12:6, 42:22, 44:15, 56:20, 56:23, 59:7, 60:10, 60:15, 62:1, 72:17, 72:18, 72:21, 72:24, 73:2, 73:3, 73:20, 73:23, 74:5, 74:6, 75:15, 75:18, 79:5, 79:23, 95:24, 96:4, 98:22, 100:17, 100:23, 102:15, 104:9, 105:25, 106:19, 107:19, 112:19, 126:10, 128:25, 145:3, 146:16, 147:13, 150:10, 150:15, 151:5, 151:12, 153:15, 154:6, 155:8, 155:16, 156:14, 159:5 **Law** [3] - 72:2, 72:11, 75:16

law-abiding [1] -

lawlessly [1] - 128:7

laws [2] - 47:25, 76:4

98:22

layout [1] - 131:15 lays [1] - 48:20 lead [5] - 51:5, 51:13, 51:23, 73:14, 122:6 leading [1] - 119:25 leads [1] - 67:17 leap [2] - 27:19, 41:1 leapt [1] - 150:10 learned [2] - 125:16, 125:17 least [11] - 22:3, 28:11, 51:2, 84:18, 94:17, 114:25, 117:2. 121:19. 147:14. 150:6. 160:25 leave [11] - 57:7, 57:11, 98:22, 98:23, 101:17, 102:20, 129:13, 148:13, 149:6, 149:22, 152:20 leaving [2] - 59:24, 127:15 led [7] - 51:23, 57:14, 60:1, 70:13, 90:17, 124:22, 133:11 left [10] - 12:24, 56:23, 96:17, 104:16, 105:10, 105:11, 127:15, 132:3, 135:23, 148:8 legal [2] - 67:24, 132:17 legalese [1] - 87:21 legally [2] - 52:3, 129.5 legislative [3] - 67:4, 67:8, 80:23 legitimate [2] -153:13, 153:18 lend [1] - 137:11 lengths [1] - 39:5 lengthy [6] - 7:22, 89:21, 94:15, 137:7, 137:17, 140:10 lens [1] - 96:13 less [4] - 34:17, 85:2, 141:10, 148:2 lesser [1] - 116:16 **letter** [18] - 14:13, 15:7, 19:14, 20:3, 20:23, 22:19, 23:1, 23:5, 23:9, 125:10, 138:18, 139:2, 139:20, 140:16, 141:17, 141:22, 153:6, 158:2 letters [3] - 6:1, 138:18, 151:15

level [29] - 31:8, 32:1, 32:2, 33:8, 33:14, 33:15, 33:17, 34:22, 35:19, 36:5, 36:8, 36:18, 47:4, 48:14, 53:13, 55:7, 60:21, 61:6. 61:11. 61:13. 65:11. 76:9. 81:6. 81:18, 81:20, 82:3, 82:6, 123:14 levels [6] - 31:7, 81:21, 81:24, 82:4, 84:9, 87:15 liable [1] - 146:23 lib [1] - 105:22 liberty [1] - 113:22 license [1] - 153:15 lid [1] - 157:14 lie [2] - 118:25, 120:19 lies [1] - 143:20 life [10] - 119:22, 135:18, 137:14, 142:25, 143:1, 143:4, 143:6, 143:11, 143:13 lift [2] - 107:1, 108:1 lifts [1] - 107:21 light [3] - 10:21, 102:8, 141:9 **lighting** [1] - 128:7 lightly [1] - 119:7 lights [1] - 101:20 likely [1] - 59:25 **limitation** [3] - 15:16, 19:4, 79:21 **limited** [7] - 56:17, 62:4, 63:17, 68:14, 69:12, 73:24, 80:7 line [20] - 4:8, 4:12, 4:16, 27:23, 39:1, 47:6, 51:17, 51:18, 58:7, 59:9, 78:11, 98:10, 99:11, 104:8, 104:19, 104:22, 115:16, 141:11, 149:4, 150:9 lines [1] - 60:4 linger [2] - 27:13, 158:4 lingo [1] - 34:7 liquid [9] - 51:15, 58:24, 107:22, 108:1, 108:8, 108:10, 112:9, 150:15, 157:15 list [2] - 5:18, 70:8 listed [3] - 18:3, 77:1, 159:9 listen [3] - 4:9, 4:13, 121:21

listened [3] - 22:3, 22:4, 120:8 man [6] - 83:20, listening [5] - 4:15, 7:5, 7:9, 11:8, 121:8 lit [2] - 102:2, 156:6 literally [1] - 118:15 man's [1] - 119:22 live [1] - 140:22 mandated [2] - 75:23, **lobby** [1] - 109:5 local [2] - 137:16, Mandatory [2] -160:19 mandatory [5] - 117:2, located [1] - 75:19 location [2] - 98:11, 131.24 look [13] - 13:8, 13:9, manner [6] - 17:1, 46:9, 100:1, 100:4, 111:3, 111:19, maraud [1] - 150:7 120:24, 143:5, march [2] - 122:9, 143:8, 144:9, 156:10, 158:16 marijuana [19] - 51:14, looked [5] - 57:18, 85:3, 118:5, 121:5, 133:15 looking [6] - 113:10, 121:23, 122:25, 126:14, 137:16, 148:17 looks [1] - 115:10 loosely [1] - 70:21 losing [2] - 137:18, marked [1] - 102:16 142:23 mask [5] - 2:14, 3:17, loss [2] - 56:2, 147:1 losses [2] - 146:16, 147:3 masks [2] - 2:11, lost [2] - 136:25, 142:21 match [1] - 144:4 Loth [2] - 1:23, 166:24 Matera [37] - 3:11, LOTH [1] - 166:18 loud [1] - 154:23 love [1] - 121:12 low [1] - 93:12 lower [1] - 116:5 Lustig [2] - 1:21, 2:6

153:24

126:1

136:16

119:24, 124:5,

116:24, 145:21

117:4, 145:21,

160:15, 160:18

75:17, 166:22

101:20. 101:21.

102:14, 127:24,

128:21, 128:22,

128:2, 128:20,

129:4, 149:11,

149:18, 149:19,

105:7, 141:20,

3:12, 6:13, 6:18,

8:17, 8:21, 11:8,

17:13, 19:9, 20:4,

21:22, 23:3, 25:25,

28:13, 29:10, 30:10,

30:24. 32:19. 35:2.

52:6. 54:7. 59:14.

64:1. 83:1. 83:3.

160:23

143:24

51:20

101:24, 102:2,

102:3, 102:4,

124:4

32:4, 58:9, 61:16,

124:24, 124:25,

М

88:12, 89:7, 91:21, machine [1] - 1:25 91:24, 115:6, 117:24, 139:22, MAGA [3] - 133:2, 141:15, 144:13, 133:5, 156:1 144:16, 163:5, magistrate [1] - 62:25 166.13 maintain [3] - 72:8, MATERA [97] - 1:17, 88:6, 120:17 maintaining [1] -3:10, 6:20, 7:8, 8:22, 9:1, 11:7, 11:10, 75:15 13:3, 13:6, 13:16, maintenance [1] -13:22, 13:25, 14:25, 72:3 15:18, 16:9, 16:12, major [1] - 10:23 16:24, 17:9, 26:2, majority [2] - 39:3, 29:12, 29:19, 30:25, 63.14 32:20, 35:6, 35:11, malcontents [1] -

36:20, 36:24, 37:2, 37:6, 37:12, 37:16, 37:18, 37:22, 38:14, 39:21, 39:24, 40:24, 41:5, 52:7, 52:12, 52:19, 53:8, 53:11, 54:2, 83:2, 83:12, 83:15, 84:2, 84:5, 84:11, 84:22, 84:25, 85:5, 85:20, 88:11, 89:6, 89:8, 90:4, 90:7, 117:25, 118:10, 118:14, 118:18, 118:22, 119:1, 119:6, 119:14, 119:18, 124:19, 124:21, 125:4. 128:4. 128:8. 128:10. 130:9. 133:4, 134:9, 138:7, 138:11, 138:21, 139:4, 139:8, 139:25, 140:7, 140:9, 140:22, 141:6, 141:8, 163:6, 163:8, 163:12, 163:14, 163:19, 164:22, 166:8, 166:14 Matera's [3] - 20:19, 32:24, 164:21 material [2] - 21:9, 23:1 materials [1] - 5:2 matter [15] - 2:2, 8:12, 9:4, 26:20, 39:11, 64:8, 73:22, 85:25, 87:2, 87:3, 89:11, 122:22, 128:14, 153:10, 153:11 maximum [1] - 82:10 mayhem [4] - 99:10, 106:17, 106:18, 116:14 McConnell [1] - 101:9 mean [11] - 19:9, 24:18, 35:12, 37:20, 69:23, 72:3, 72:13, 72:21, 91:20, 141:25, 164:4 meaning [9] - 40:17, 45:10, 47:19, 64:18, 65:5, 65:17, 75:24, 77:5, 133:23 means [13] - 9:22, 22:10, 49:8, 54:17, 55:23, 56:3, 56:6, 64:10, 72:4, 78:21, 119:6, 139:19 meant [5] - 42:13,

69:5, 69:24, 70:23, 73:15 mechanisms [1] -72:7 media [8] - 4:21, 10:23. 20:20. 22:11. 95:6, 120:1, 149:13, 156:8 medical [1] - 158:6 **medieval** [1] - 57:18 meet [2] - 28:4, 51:3 meeting [1] - 141:23 melee [1] - 78:20 **Melville** [1] - 1:18 member [2] - 17:6, 137:20 Member [2] - 52:10 members [8] - 7:5, 59:5, 60:11, 64:8, 74:4, 79:6, 134:14, 146:17 memo [12] - 48:10, 48:11, 52:2, 52:3, 55:14, 67:7, 70:25, 73:17, 76:17, 116:24, 136:4 memoranda [4] - 5:22, 83:18, 144:17, 144:18 memorandum [12] -5:10, 5:21, 5:23, 61:1, 97:18, 97:21, 101:21, 109:1, 115:1, 117:7, 135:14 memorized [1] - 43:9 menace[1] - 109:17 mental [9] - 6:3, 27:12, 125:6, 135:17, 142:19, 142:24, 143:16, 151:16, 161:11 mention [2] - 128:24, 132:23 mentioned [4] - 59:14, 68:6, 71:25, 155:1 mentioning[1] -128:10 merchandise [1] -68:23 mercy [1] - 141:13 merely [1] - 56:21 merited [1] - 79:14 meritorious [1] -24:21 message [4] - 109:21, 113:16, 113:24, 152:10 messages [2] -110:14, 157:18 messaging [3] -

Ν

110:15, 152:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 4:3, 85:20, 88:11, 88:14, misguided [2] - 124:6, 6:25, 8:16, 39:14, 89:6, 89:8, 90:4, 157:21 124.9 met [1] - 133:15 misplaced [1] - 156:17 65.14 90:7, 90:25, 91:4, methods [2] - 152:7, miss [1] - 37:1 mosh [1] - 106:25 93:18, 93:21, 93:24, named [2] - 63:8, missing [2] - 35:14, Moss [15] - 39:8. 94:2, 94:8, 94:12, 111:18 161.10 names [1] - 2:8 MICHAELANGELO[1] 36:21 39:18. 45:18. 46:4. 95:16, 96:23, 97:16, narrow [2] - 42:2, 46:6, 46:8, 47:12, 100:1, 100:22, - 1.17 misstated [1] - 54:10 101:8 103:7, 104:14, 47:14, 47:21, 54:15, Michaelangelo [1] mistake [3] - 38:10, narrower [1] - 68:14 105:5, 105:16, 65:2, 65:10, 65:14, 3:11 123:1, 129:4 106:9, 106:14, narrowly [1] - 62:21 71:18, 74:12 microphone [2] mistaken [1] - 123:22 Moss's [1] - 64:2 108:23, 115:24, **nation** [1] - 134:6 26:4, 28:24 mistakenly [1] - 129:6 117:25, 118:10, National [1] - 79:5 most [8] - 12:16, mid-80s [1] - 7:9 misunderstands [1] -118:14, 118:18, naturally [1] - 25:6 41:22, 42:4, 53:7, middle [1] - 88:23 59:16 118:22, 119:1, nature [18] - 41:25, midpoint [1] - 93:9 mitigate [1] - 129:21 61:25, 121:14, 119:6, 119:14, 59:17, 65:19, 65:23, 132:12, 140:19 midst [1] - 132:7 mitigating [3] - 7:21, 119:18, 124:19, mostly [2] - 26:5, 39:3 70:5, 86:15, 104:6, might [4] - 33:6, 34:18, 158:11 124:21, 125:4, 110:22, 111:15, moth [1] - 157:2 68:20, 141:5, 141:7 Mitt [1] - 97:23 128:4. 128:8. mother [2] - 140:14, 111:20, 119:7, Mike [1] - 88:2 mmatera@ 128:10. 130:9. 140:18 132:21, 132:22, military [2] - 99:11, materalaw.com [1] -133:4, 134:9, 138:7, 138:14, 138:15, motion [8] - 6:11, 140:24 1:19 138:11, 138:21, 145:9, 147:11, 151:3 8:18, 53:14, 53:18, mill [1] - 120:9 mob [16] - 21:1, 24:21, 139:4, 139:8, 64:23, 83:4, 83:24, near [3] - 96:24, Miller [11] - 45:19, 27:22, 28:1, 28:2, 139:25, 140:7, 156:2, 157:7 130:1 52:11, 52:12, 52:14, 49:20, 49:23, 56:25, 140:9, 140:22, nearly [5] - 41:23, move [3] - 101:13, 52:17, 53:20, 53:25, 58:15, 59:5, 60:3, 141:6, 141:8, 163:4, 42:3, 109:12, 103:1, 122:21 54:12, 65:15, 71:17, 78:11, 80:13, 99:13, 163:6, 163:8, 113:22, 114:16 movements [1] -71:20 148:4, 155:10 163:12, 163:14, necessarily [7] - 72:9, 56:19 million [2] - 49:22, Moffett [2] - 125:9, 163:19, 163:23, 72:16, 121:11, 50:6 moving [2] - 101:14, 125:21 164:4, 164:12, 129:3, 134:9, 139:9, millions [1] - 75:2 moment [5] - 57:15, 158:8 164:22, 164:25, 139:16 **MR** [167] - 2:10, 2:13, mind [8] - 27:10, 99:12, 103:10, 165:3, 166:8, necessary [5] - 4:23, 2:15, 2:22, 3:2, 3:5, 61:25, 74:2, 129:3, 114:21, 120:24 166:11, 166:14 18:22, 79:7, 79:8, 3:10, 6:17, 6:20, 7:8, 129:5, 135:18, Monday [1] - 45:19 multiple [17] - 20:25, 144:24 8:22, 9:1, 11:5, 11:7, 154:18, 159:6 money [1] - 50:14 31:4, 31:6, 31:25, neck [1] - 143:3 11:10, 13:3, 13:6, mine [1] - 101:24 monitor [1] - 142:1 51:9, 58:24, 60:10, need [18] - 3:22, 13:16, 13:22, 13:25, minimize [4] - 138:15, Montgomery [16] -77:22, 96:25, 27:12, 30:7, 40:23, 14:25, 15:18, 16:9, 138:17, 139:1, 39:9, 39:16, 39:18, 107:22, 112:6, 16:12, 16:24, 17:9, 76:7, 76:20, 78:7, 150:17 46:11, 46:14, 64:3, 112:12, 130:17, 17:15, 17:17, 18:25, 78:10, 86:13, minor [1] - 19:13 64:14, 64:17, 65:2, 144:18, 146:20, 19:14, 20:4, 20:18, 112:17, 132:16, 65:5, 65:11, 65:21, minus [3] - 88:16, 150:15, 157:15 21:8, 21:13, 21:25, 145:1, 145:11, 88:24, 89:4 65:24, 66:3, 74:12 multiple-count [1] -23:11, 23:15, 24:13, 145:13, 151:4, minute [9] - 6:14, 9:6, months [36] - 82:8, 31:6 25:24, 26:2, 28:21, 151:21, 151:25, 9:12, 81:13, 93:19, 82:11, 83:5, 83:6, music [3] - 137:9, 29:12, 29:19, 30:23, 158:8 108:15, 138:15, 85:3, 86:23, 86:24, 139:3, 142:8 30:25, 32:6, 32:16, needed [1] - 84:7 148:2, 150:14 88:1, 93:10, 93:13, musical [1] - 136:21 32:20, 33:3, 33:9, needs [4] - 81:11, minutes [25] - 56:22, 93:14, 94:18, 94:19, musician [1] - 136:20 91:12, 91:13, 138:13 33:11, 35:6, 35:11, 59:20, 98:25, 99:22, 114:13, 116:2, must [26] - 12:7, 36:20, 36:24, 37:2, neglected [1] - 132:4 100:5, 105:2, 119:25, 126:16, 48:16, 48:17, 63:24, 37:6, 37:12, 37:16, never [24] - 7:3, 50:17, 105:13, 105:16, 127:7, 132:13, 68:4, 76:13, 77:14, 37:18, 37:22, 38:14, 67:1, 86:3, 89:12, 105:19, 105:21, 132:14, 137:2, 87:4, 96:8, 113:14, 39:21, 39:24, 40:24, 89:19, 90:20, 91:4, 105:23, 106:12, 139:23. 140:1. 113:15, 113:16, 41:5, 41:7, 44:1, 91:11, 114:5, 140:8. 140:9. 106:14, 109:14, 144:21, 145:8, 125:24, 126:9, 44:6, 44:8, 45:12, 109:16, 119:19, 141:14, 142:19, 160:18, 160:20, 47:3, 47:5, 49:4, 126:11, 126:23, 119:21, 124:13, 158:21, 158:24, 160:22, 160:23, 51:1, 52:7, 52:11, 126:24, 126:25, 159:18, 159:19, 124:14, 127:16, 161:2, 161:4, 161:8, 52:12, 52:19, 53:8, 127:11, 127:12, 127:17, 131:5, 159:20, 159:24 53:11, 53:16, 54:2, 161:9, 161:11, 130:22, 132:16, months' [4] - 93:8, 149:3, 155:19 162:16, 165:9 54:8. 54:10. 82:25. 135:11, 143:19, misdemeanor [1] -93:10, 93:12, 159:5 MVPA [1] - 146:21 143:20, 144:6 83:2. 83:12. 83:15. moral [1] - 85:15 86:3 MVRA[1] - 145:22 84:2, 84:5, 84:11, nevertheless [1] misdemeanors [1] morning [12] - 2:10, 84:22, 84:25, 85:5, 88:2 85:16 2:16, 2:21, 3:10,

New [15] - 55:25, 70:16, 76:24, 78:2, 85:22, 85:23, 86:5, 126:21, 129:1, 129:6, 163:12, 163:13, 163:15, 163:16. 163:18 new [4] - 7:3, 80:12, 162:22, 166:1 newly [1] - 166:1 news [1] - 153:10 next [8] - 8:9, 29:15, 32:12, 53:13, 66:23, 74:22, 118:15, 158:8 nexus [2] - 71:2, 71:3 Nicolas [1] - 101:23 night [5] - 6:11, 9:3, 10:3, 12:10, 20:5 nightmares [2] -14:16, 27:11 nine [2] - 105:11, 142:2 ninth [1] - 157:11 noise [1] - 123:14 noises [1] - 11:9 nominated [1] - 142:5 none [1] - 142:25 nonjudicial [4] - 65:8, 70:2, 70:12, 70:24 norm [2] - 80:6, 80:10 normal [1] - 3:20 **north** [1] - 95:21 Northwest [1] - 162:3 nose [1] - 143:25 notably [1] - 65:16 **note** [3] - 32:7, 97:24, 100:18 Note [1] - 49:10 noted [3] - 63:19, 82:23. 163:3 **notes** [5] - 66:3, 70:4, 71:19, 77:6, 166:19 nothing [14] - 9:22, 10:8, 11:18, 14:6, 26:24, 40:14, 65:2, 65:5, 87:15, 106:16, 111:3, 127:18, 166:9, 166:11 notice [1] - 26:12 notices [2] - 6:7, 98:12 notify [1] - 162:4 novel [1] - 71:8 November [1] - 40:12 null [1] - 166:21 number [17] - 4:11, 7:6, 7:24, 42:25, 45:13, 68:20, 91:6, 94:14, 95:5, 99:4, 104:25, 105:25,

106:20, 111:18, 117:9, 151:18, 155:7 Number [1] - 45:20 numerous [3] - 68:17, 70:6, 126:21 NW [1] - 1:14 NY [1] - 1:18

0

o'clock [2] - 105:11, 142:2 object [3] - 35:18, 36:6, 46:2 **objected** [1] - 46:6 objection [33] - 6:13, 6:22, 8:13, 8:17, 11:21, 13:20, 13:23, 14:10, 16:13, 26:8, 26:15, 26:18, 28:18, 29:21, 30:20, 30:24, 30:25, 31:2, 32:3, 32:16, 32:20, 35:16, 36:2, 36:11, 48:10, 49:1, 60:17, 61:5, 61:8, 66:13, 76:7, objections [38] - 7:15, 7:17, 7:24, 11:1, 11:11, 11:12, 28:11, 28:13, 29:14, 29:17, 30:11. 32:24. 34:25. 35:13, 35:23, 36:4, 36:22, 39:5, 46:5, 55:3, 55:5, 66:6, 66:11, 66:17, 66:18, 74:14, 74:20, 75:3, 81:2, 81:9, 81:15, 82:22, 88:7, 88:19, 140:3, 140:4, 163:2 objective [1] - 31:17 **objects** [1] - 55:8 obligated [3] - 38:22, 38:23, 38:24 obligation [1] - 162:6 obligations [1] - 162:1 obscenities [2] -57:24, 148:6 observations [2] -21:7, 27:9 observed [2] - 21:19, 23:8 obstruct [9] - 25:18, 35:22, 61:12, 62:18, 76:2, 78:4, 81:23, 115:4, 161:10 **obstructed** [1] - 42:18 obstructing [6] -

25:15, 33:25, 37:25,

68:7, 68:8, 114:23 **Obstruction** [1] - 38:7 obstruction [30] -11:20, 16:6, 16:8, 25:10. 37:10. 38:4. 38:6. 40:21. 41:24. 53:5, 59:12, 59:18, 64:16, 64:22, 65:7, 65:8, 67:22, 68:2, 68:24, 69:7, 69:19, 70:5, 70:8, 70:13, 71:5, 71:14, 85:11, 146:6, 158:17, 159:3 obstructive [4] -16:22, 56:24, 60:20, 77.23 **obtaining** [1] - 132:8 obvious [1] - 154:4 obviously [28] - 9:14, 10:16, 11:15, 12:11, 35:7, 39:4, 40:6, 52:21, 53:3, 53:11, 83:15, 83:20, 84:12, 84:13, 86:10, 86:15, 87:17, 88:6, 90:10, 118:3, 119:20, 119:22, 129:4, 135:25, 138:2, 138:23, 142:11, 142:14 occasions [1] - 126:22 occur[1] - 69:20 occurred [6] - 48:4, 61:9, 80:23, 119:8, 148:1, 151:10 occurs [1] - 100:14 odd [1] - 121:11 odds [1] - 156:18 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:8 offended [1] - 152:24 offense [75] - 15:24, 16:2, 16:4, 16:7, 16:21, 16:25, 26:23, 27:3, 31:7, 31:8, 31:23, 32:1, 32:2, 32:9, 32:25, 33:7, 33:14, 33:15, 33:17, 34:4, 34:5, 34:7, 34:12, 34:14, 34:19, 34:22, 35:19, 35:20, 36:5, 36:8, 36:18, 41:18, 42:4, 42:7, 42:19, 50:20, 59:17, 61:17, 68:6, 70:11, 79:13, 80:22, 81:6, 81:18, 81:20, 81:21, 81:22, 81:24, 81:25, 82:3, 82:4, 82:5, 82:6, 84:9, 87:15, 110:23, 111:15,

138:4, 138:14, 138:16, 145:3, 145:4, 145:10, 145:14, 147:12, 147:19, 147:22, 151:3, 151:5, 162:25, 165:14, 165:25, 166:1, 166:3 Offenses [3] - 41:15, 41:20, 47:11 offenses [3] - 41:20, 70:7, 70:9 offer [4] - 85:18, 85:21, 86:3, 87:13 offered [1] - 76:19 offers [1] - 115:11 Office [5] - 1:13, 160:11, 160:13, 161:19, 162:9 office [15] - 9:19, 31:6, 32:5, 33:13, 33:23, 34:10, 60:9, 92:8, 93:11, 121:4, 132:10, 144:20, 154:16, 162:7, 162:12 office's [2] - 5:4, 5:6 Officer [67] - 1:21, 2:6, 6:10, 8:13, 9:18, 10:1, 10:17, 11:19, 12:1, 12:11, 13:13, 14:3, 15:5, 16:6, 17:3, 17:20, 18:20, 19:8, 20:17, 20:23, 21:3, 21:14, 21:18, 22:9, 22:15, 22:20, 23:4, 24:1, 24:17, 24:19, 24:22, 25:19, 25:21, 26:8, 27:2, 27:5, 27:16, 27:21, 28:3, 57:1, 57:3, 57:6, 57:9, 57:13, 57:14, 57:15, 57:21, 58:4, 60:1, 96:18, 97:3, 97:11, 97:13, 97:20, 97:21, 98:9, 122:14, 122:19, 148:5, 148:7, 148:18, 148:20, 155:12, 155:13, 158:2, 160:12 officer [29] - 9:7, 17:23, 19:15, 20:6, 23:18, 24:5, 25:11, 51:8, 51:11, 66:8, 107:2, 107:15, 107:16, 107:20, 109:11, 114:12, 126:20, 126:21, 129:20, 130:2,

130:6, 130:16, 150:13, 155:8, 157:9, 159:4, 161:3, 161:13 officer's [4] - 24:7, 57:11, 58:23, 107:9 officers [103] - 17:7, 19:25, 26:25, 27:2, 27:20, 27:23, 33:20, 51:18, 51:20, 56:13, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:6, 58:7, 58:9, 58:12, 58:14, 58:21, 58:25, 59:3, 60:1, 60:2, 60:11, 62:25, 63:4, 63:6, 75:19, 79:3, 79:5, 95:25, 98:9, 98:12, 98:24, 99:9, 99:10, 99:14, 99:22, 101:19, 102:15, 102:17, 102:18, 102:19, 102:24, 103:8, 103:10, 103:13, 103:14, 103:17, 103:19, 104:4, 105:8, 106:7, 107:6, 107:20, 107:22, 107:24, 108:3, 108:4, 108:7, 108:8, 108:9, 108:16, 108:20, 108:24, 109:2, 109:8, 110:6, 112:6, 112:9, 112:22, 114:10, 116:9, 116:13, 123:17, 123:18, 123:21, 123:24, 124:6, 124:12, 129:18, 146:8, 146:16, 148:11, 149:5, 149:22, 149:23, 150:2, 150:5, 150:9, 150:16, 150:19, 150:25, 155:15, 155:16, 156:16, 156:17, 156:20, 157:16, 158:19, 158:25, 159:1 officers' [2] - 58:22, 130:17 official [22] - 11:22, 33:25, 37:25, 46:15, 46:16, 59:12, 64:21, 66:1, 66:5, 66:24, 67:2, 67:10, 67:22, 68:8, 69:19, 70:2, 71:5, 73:10, 75:5,

120:14, 146:6,

166:25

Official [1] - 1:24 officials [3] - 44:4, 120:12, 121:9 often [5] - 13:14, 61:25, 110:4, 110:5, 135:20 Ohio [11] - 21:2, 27:23, 51:9, 57:3, 57:6, 57:10, 58:9, 60:2, 148:11, 149:5, 155:14 older [2] - 137:12 omnibus [4] - 62:16, 63:4, 63:6, 63:9 once [6] - 86:17, 87:2, 89:12, 148:4, 155:13, 156:5 one [86] - 2:21, 5:1, 5:24, 11:16, 11:17, 17:19, 18:4, 18:5, 18:16, 19:4, 19:18, 20:3, 24:23, 25:12, 28:10, 32:6, 32:24, 39:4, 47:17, 48:8, 49:5, 49:20, 53:9, 54:5, 54:8, 55:9, 56:8, 56:21, 57:5, 58:2, 58:3, 63:10, 63:23, 72:19, 74:2, 78:5, 84:12, 85:13, 92:22, 93:19, 95:22, 96:5, 97:5, 99:6, 99:20, 102:16, 103:24, 104:8, 105:1, 105:8, 105:18, 107:6, 107:20, 114:25, 115:12, 115:17, 121:14, 121:19, 128:12, 130:4, 131:1, 132:3, 133:7, 135:19, 135:21, 135:22, 136:6, 137:21, 138:18, 140:24, 148:2, 150:6, 152:12, 152:21, 153:17, 155:1, 156:9, 156:20, 158:17, 160:18, 160:24, 163:14, 163:16 one's [1] - 55:24 ones [1] - 87:6 online [2] - 157:22, 157:25 open [5] - 89:1, 91:9, 99:19, 107:11, 148:3 opened [2] - 150:14, 157:14 opening [2] - 27:10,

66:9 opinion [5] - 43:7, 46:10, 46:13, 63:14, 95:10 opportunities [2] -136:25. 137:19 opportunity [12] -18:3, 48:9, 49:2, 50:22, 74:14, 74:16, 89:22. 108:13. 111:2, 141:16, 163:22, 164:14 opposed [3] - 17:11, 19:11, 27:9 opposing [1] - 104:18 opposite [2] - 96:6, opposition [1] - 104:7 orally [1] - 54:1 orbits [1] - 19:18 Order [1] - 4:17 order [10] - 35:22, 43:14, 43:15, 44:3, 44:7, 44:17, 61:12, 76:13, 81:23, 162:10 ordered [3] - 46:7, 160:1, 160:6 orders [1] - 59:7 orient [1] - 88:17 original [2] - 5:24, 55:14 originated [1] - 66:24 **OSHA** [1] - 70:18 otherwise [3] - 77:15, 79:22, 132:8 ourselves [1] - 111:9 outfit [1] - 105:6 outlet [1] - 10:24 outlined [1] - 31:11 outnumbered [2] -57:4, 97:4 outnumbering[1] -148.6 outside [15] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 69:8, 69:20, 80:9, 80:10, 90:14, 90:15, 96:2, 112:22, 127:17, 149:5, 155:19 outstretched[1] -58:10 overall [3] - 17:4, 32:16, 59:16 overlaps [1] - 61:5 overly [1] - 56:17 overrule [1] - 26:7 overruled [3] - 55:5, 60:20, 164:18

overruns [1] - 49:21

overstated [1] -

110:24 overstep[1] - 164:7 overthrow [1] - 153:15 overwhelm [2] -149:1, 149:17 overwhelmed [4] -17:8, 27:20, 59:7, 147:13 owed [1] - 145:15 own [18] - 19:11, 23:5, 23:9, 64:8, 66:12, 70:22, 77:11, 95:3, 103:20, 106:3, 109:6, 112:8, 128:5, 131:22, 140:15, 146:25, 148:21, 157:25 Oxford [1] - 55:23 Oxley [1] - 67:1

Ρ

p.m [9] - 9:3, 95:22, 96:10, 98:3, 99:8, 109:12, 109:13, 148:1, 166:16 page [3] - 30:5, 73:18, 76:17 pages [3] - 55:15, 61:1, 67:8 paid [1] - 162:6 pain [3] - 19:22, 56:6, 143:12 painful [1] - 26:4 paintings [1] - 51:21 pandemic [3] - 108:3, 126:13, 132:6 pandemonium [1] -57:18 pants [1] - 101:25 paper [1] - 42:23 papers [7] - 5:20, 29:6, 35:9, 38:21, 66:14, 68:23, 89:15 paragraph [1] - 92:11 parents [6] - 7:8, 135:25, 136:1, 140:13, 141:3, 151:17 parlance [1] - 110:5 parsing [1] - 87:7 Part [2] - 41:20, 47:11 part [37] - 7:23, 12:5, 12:18, 14:10, 18:10, 19:6, 23:2, 24:10, 24:20, 32:7, 39:1, 47:8, 60:3, 65:21, 67:13, 83:12, 91:7, 96:16, 97:10, 98:6,

99:13, 101:1, 101:18, 102:22, 104:11, 109:1, 115:13, 119:25, 121:19, 123:22, 125:4, 135:6, 136:9, 140:19, 144:10, 147:23, 148:4 participant [1] - 79:2 participants [1] -78:11 participate [3] - 22:16, 111:24, 161:11 participating [1] -106:6 participation [1] -161:14 particular [19] - 11:14, 14:2, 14:10, 27:21, 34:19, 38:11, 39:4, 48:16, 62:10, 72:9, 75:17, 76:14, 125:19, 127:18, 131:17, 131:24, 134:10, 139:9, 140:14 particularly [4] - 7:2, 52:2, 105:1, 114:3 parties [8] - 18:22, 31:10, 34:3, 45:23, 72:16, 82:21, 144:21, 145:18 parties' [1] - 33:16 parts [2] - 111:12, 121:14 party [3] - 31:1, 156:7, 166:22 passing [1] - 90:2 past [3] - 39:6, 74:18, 164:8 paternal [1] - 43:17 patriot [1] - 156:12 patriotism [1] - 156:21 patterns [1] - 49:20 Paul [1] - 71:16 pause [2] - 105:21, 106:14 pauses [2] - 5:13, 11:4 pausing [1] - 105:16 pay [5] - 122:22. 147:6. 149:23. 160:1, 161:24 payable [1] - 162:1 paying [1] - 91:9 payments [1] - 160:8 peaceful [7] - 74:10, 80:12, 103:2, 104:3, 106:17, 153:14, 153:25 peacefully [1] - 133:11 penalties [1] - 165:17 pending [5] - 9:4, 9:5, 53:15, 165:10, 166:2 people [66] - 4:11, 4:13, 7:6, 9:18, 12:15, 14:15, 15:2, 15:3, 15:6, 15:7, 19:15, 19:20, 19:25, 24:21, 27:13, 50:3, 51:24, 58:15, 85:1, 89:2, 91:10, 96:18, 97:5, 98:7, 99:8, 99:9, 99:11, 99:13, 101:13, 102:12, 102:23, 102:25, 108:6, 109:20, 110:13, 115:3, 118:19, 118:23, 120:2, 122:18, 123:3, 125:2, 127:17, 127:19, 129:13, 132:25, 133:19, 142:16, 143:7, 143:8, 143:14, 143:15, 143:17, 144:9, 147:23, 148:25, 149:19, 155:2, 155:3, 155:10, 157:13 people's [3] - 19:2, 104:2, 112:13 pepper [3] - 103:15, 130:14, 150:22 per [1] - 82:11 perception [1] - 15:1 perceptions [1] - 15:6 perfect [1] - 115:19 perfectly [1] - 19:23 perform [1] - 74:6 performance [2] -72:24, 73:3 performing [2] -72:21, 75:14 perhaps [5] - 69:15, 69:17, 121:15, 136:23, 139:6 period [7] - 87:1, 93:10, 119:21, 147:14, 154:6, 154:13, 154:19 periodic [1] - 160:25 perjury [1] - 49:14 permission [1] -162:18 Perry's [1] - 142:7 person [24] - 4:7, 15:23, 23:6, 26:21, 35:21, 35:25, 55:11, 55:19, 55:25, 56:4,

58:2, 60:19, 78:5, 80:19, 81:23, 97:25, 98:21, 111:5, 123:5, 138:23, 143:22, 144:2, 149:15, 153:1 person's [2] - 137:14, 137.15 personal [5] - 22:7, 23:5, 23:9, 23:21, 77:24 persons [3] - 4:8, 62:18, 76:1 perspective [2] - 96:2, 96:3 pertains [1] - 68:15 petit [1] - 62:24 phase [1] - 61:18 phased [1] - 98:20 phone [5] - 95:3, 102:18, 110:10, 110:17, 122:25 **photo** [2] - 6:5, 105:12 photocopied[1] -166:21 photograph [4] -97:17, 97:25, 98:16, 100:12 photographic [2] -29:25, 30:1 phrase [31] - 36:11, 37:9, 40:17, 41:10, 41:17, 61:24, 62:3, 62:8, 62:15, 62:21, 63:5, 64:18, 64:25, 65:17, 66:21, 67:25, 68:15, 69:4, 69:10, 69:13, 69:25, 70:1, 72:2, 72:12, 72:16, 72:20, 73:7, 73:23, 75:12, 75:24, 110:4 phrases [1] - 47:23 physical [26] - 19:16, 35:21, 35:25, 51:5, 51:24, 55:10, 55:19, 56:10, 56:12, 56:15, 56:19, 57:14, 58:12, 60:1, 60:15, 61:12, 72:4, 75:18, 80:19, 81:22, 104:5, 133:25, 134:3, 146:1, 157:4, 157:6 physically [4] - 4:14, 106:21, 133:23, 140:15 pick [1] - 42:13 picked [1] - 10:23 picking [3] - 50:19, 99:15, 158:24 picks [2] - 129:18, 129:19

pictures [3] - 110:9, 128:16, 152:7 pieced [1] - 95:5 pieces [1] - 50:19 Pipe [4] - 43:1, 44:19, 70:15, 78:1 pipe [1] - 158:24 pissed [1] - 95:18 pit [1] - 106:25 pitched [1] - 115:23 place [8] - 36:12, 53:5, 65:20, 66:7, 69:8, 73:20, 84:13, 126:4 placed [3] - 15:16, 18:21, 134:13 placement [1] -160:24 plan [1] - 31:18 plans [1] - 136:17 plastic [4] - 58:23, 122:2, 131:2, 150:10 platform [3] - 44:11, 110:15, 157:21 plausible [1] - 22:10 play [12] - 32:14, 54:16, 91:24, 95:13, 99:5, 99:20, 100:6, 100:15, 105:2, 105:3, 105:23, 113:24 played [2] - 50:8, 142:12 Playing [1] - 152:14 playing [4] - 48:24, 96:21, 105:13, 106:12 plays [2] - 104:17, 113:18 plea [22] - 9:8, 45:4, 45:13, 45:23, 46:2, 54:3, 71:22, 83:9, 85:2, 85:4, 85:18, 85:20, 86:6, 87:13, 90:10, 90:11, 91:6, 92:14, 115:11, 126:4, 145:17, 162:25 plead [5] - 86:14, 86:22, 87:19, 88:15, 132.1 pleaded [3] - 4:4, 158:17, 159:1 pleading [3] - 87:23, 88:5, 88:24

pleas [2] - 92:22,

pleasure [1] - 141:22

pled [9] - 51:25, 56:25,

68:7, 84:23, 117:3,

123:19, 126:3,

145:20

147:12 plenty [2] - 47:22, 47:24 plus [10] - 43:4, 43:18, 45:24. 51:4. 54:13. 54:14. 81:20. 126:16. 156:24 podcast [8] - 10:17, 10:23, 12:12, 12:14, 21:22, 22:2, 22:4, 143:10 point [51] - 18:7, 21:10, 21:13, 22:13, 23:4, 25:11, 43:10, 45:3, 51:16, 52:24, 52:25, 53:12, 53:19, 62:10, 65:20, 65:21, 79:16, 85:21, 86:1, 86:7, 86:16, 88:2, 91:13, 92:8, 102:6, 106:19, 107:14, 107:20, 108:2, 110:3, 114:25, 122:8, 122:19, 123:1, 123:12, 123:21, 124:11, 127:1, 127:23, 128:10, 128:17, 129:14, 132:1, 133:1, 133:10, 134:3, 134:4, 134:11, 134:25, 136:10, 136:18 pointed [3] - 120:9, 136:4, 140:20 pointing [3] - 19:5, 57:2. 155:17 points [16] - 11:21, 17:17, 17:18, 20:19, 42:20, 47:17, 52:20, 52:21, 53:7, 65:4, 68:19, 70:20, 71:12, 114:7, 147:18, 158:9 Police [1] - 148:5 police [36] - 17:7, 26:25, 27:1, 27:20, 27:23, 56:13, 57:6, 59:3, 59:7, 60:4, 72:7, 79:3, 109:10, 129:10, 129:18, 148:11, 149:1, 149:4, 149:18, 149:21, 150:1, 150:5, 150:9, 150:13, 152:19, 152:20, 155:1, 157:2, 157:4, 157:6, 157:7, 157:9, 157:11, 159:4 political [3] - 72:4,

75:16, 110:4 politics [1] - 125:18 pop [1] - 137:17 portion [2] - 11:14, 29.17 portions [4] - 7:15, 28:11, 29:18, 29:23 positing [1] - 49:18 position [29] - 10:21, 11:1, 12:2, 12:18, 16:12, 16:16, 17:10, 17:12, 23:24, 40:2, 41:13, 41:14, 42:23, 45:25, 46:1, 46:4, 50:19, 52:16, 53:21, 55:21, 67:25, 68:4, 86:6, 101:7, 101:10, 107:10, 117:17, 134:10, 140:10 positioned [1] -155:15 Posner [3] - 43:7, 43:12, 43:18 possess [2] - 28:5, 160:20 possible [1] - 85:18 possibly [1] - 123:6 posted [1] - 149:13 posting [2] - 95:12, 157:25 posture [1] - 54:12 pot [1] - 139:6 potential [2] - 92:15, 140:12 potentially [1] - 53:2 pours [1] - 109:7 power [5] - 80:12, 103:2, 111:7, 111:11, 153:25 PowerPoint [2] -93:22, 94:2 powers [3] - 39:11, 39:18, 64:9 precedent [1] - 71:9 precisely [3] - 8:15, 54:25 58:11 precluded [1] - 79:24 predicate [1] - 51:3 predicated [1] - 79:17 predictable [1] - 42:11 preference [1] - 2:11 prepared [1] - 163:20 preponderance [2] -50:12, 107:12 prerequisite [2] -36:1, 37:9 presence [3] - 96:9, 100:18, 154:25 PRESENT [1] - 1:21

present [8] - 4:14, 7:5,

15:1, 78:17, 92:5, 96:4, 106:1, 156:9 presentation [1] -117:16 presented [2] - 15:16, 71:23 presentence [21] -5:5, 7:16, 9:9, 11:1, 11:13, 12:5, 16:13, 28:12, 28:15, 29:4, 29:11, 29:16, 29:22, 30:16, 31:2, 31:11, 33:13, 92:9, 144:18, 162:7, 162:11 presenting [1] - 66:9 presents [1] - 92:23 preserve[1] - 111:13 President [14] - 40:13, 66:7, 74:11, 74:22, 75:9, 90:16, 120:5, 120:14, 121:21, 122:9, 124:1, 124:2, 124:3, 124:7 presidential [7] - 75:6, 103:2. 111:7. 118:21. 119:12. 147:16, 153:19 presiding [2] - 4:24, 66:8 **presume** [1] - 164:20 pretrial [2] - 126:18, 164:19 Pretrial [2] - 1:21, 2:7 pretty [1] - 12:21 prevent [2] - 106:1, 108:7 previous [2] - 109:24, 145:20 **previously**[1] - 63:8 pride [1] - 152:17 primarily [1] - 20:9 prison [1] - 137:7 Prisons [4] - 159:17, 165:2, 165:7, 165:12 privacy [1] - 23:2 private [1] - 23:6 privately [1] - 22:12 privy [1] - 52:22 pro [1] - 74:18 probation [21] - 5:4, 5:6, 12:6, 31:6, 32:4, 33:13, 33:23, 34:10, 45:24, 54:13, 60:9, 92:8, 93:11, 144:20, 154:10, 154:16, 161:3, 161:13, 162:7, 162:12, 165:12 Probation [4] - 1:21, 2:6, 161:18, 162:9

probative [1] - 65:22 problem [3] - 41:12, 47:20, 140:23 problems [1] - 143:16 procedure [1] - 75:23 Procedure [2] - 13:1, 13:8 Procedures [1] -26:16 procedures [1] -146:20 proceed [2] - 3:24, 24:12 proceeding [49] -5:13, 6:24, 11:4, 25:16, 33:25, 38:1, 38:2, 38:22, 43:2, 43:3, 43:4, 43:24, 44:9, 44:18, 44:20, 46:8, 46:16, 55:13, 59:13, 59:18, 60:6, 60:25, 64:17, 64:21, 65:19, 65:20, 65:23, 65:25, 66:20, 66:25, 67:2, 67:10, 67:11, 68:9, 71:6, 71:15, 73:9, 73:10, 79:18, 80:20, 85:24, 86:2, 112:11, 114:23, 115:5, 146:6, 166:16 **Proceedings** [1] - 1:25 proceedings [54] -4:9, 4:18, 7:11, 18:5, 37:15, 37:17, 37:19, 37:20, 38:4, 40:22, 41:12, 41:24, 42:17, 61:3, 61:4, 61:23, 62:1, 62:6, 62:9, 63:13, 63:17, 64:4, 64:5, 65:8, 66:17, 67:7, 67:23, 68:2, 68:16, 69:8, 69:12, 69:19, 69:20, 69:23, 69:24, 70:2, 70:12, 70:21, 70:24, 71:2, 71:10, 72:14, 73:3, 75:20, 76:4, 76:5, 78:5, 80:17, 82:2, 126:12, 132:22, 147:15, 166:19 process [21] - 38:16, 38:17, 39:2, 39:3, 41:2, 66:2, 66:4, 66:9, 73:9, 74:3, 74:10, 74:13, 74:17, 74:19, 74:20, 74:24, 75:8. 85:7. 91:22. 132:5. 133:10 processes [2] - 24:6, 62:14

processing [1] - 22:24 produce [1] - 34:21 produced [3] - 1:25, 31:8, 66:16 producers [1] - 142:5 produces [2] - 81:6, 82:8 productive [1] -137:20 profession[1] -137:15 proffer [1] - 86:19 program [3] - 161:12, 161:13. 161:15 progress [4] - 137:8, 161:18, 161:20, 161:22 progressing [1] -100:25 prohibited [3] - 4:20, 79:23, 161:9 prohibitions [2] -4:20, 63:11 prohibits [1] - 62:17 projectiles [1] - 99:15 promise [1] - 126:7 promote [4] - 145:3, 145:6, 151:5, 154:6 prompt [3] - 85:4, 85:5 promptly [2] - 85:18, 164:2 promulgated [2] -67:15, 68:12 prong [2] - 49:8, 49:11 proof [2] - 56:14, 78:16 proper [4] - 12:2, 72:13, 76:3, 132:17 properly [1] - 14:1 property [9] - 35:21, 51:6, 51:23, 60:19, 76:2, 81:23, 138:1, 138:2, 138:3 proposes [1] - 34:24 proposition [1] -48:13 propounding[1] -66:11 prosecution [1] -165:24 prosecutor [1] -115:13 prosecutors [1] - 72:8 protect [3] - 145:4, 146:17, 151:22 protected [1] - 18:3 protecting [3] - 13:13, 60:11, 75:1 **protection** [1] - 40:7

Protection [3] - 67:13,

protective [1] - 121:25 protest [1] - 133:11 protesters [4] - 104:3, 157:1, 157:4, 157:8 proud [3] - 149:24, 149:25, 151:2 prove [2] - 62:10, 77:25 provide [8] - 9:11, 72:1, 89:10, 115:11, 124:25, 132:17, 145:3, 145:13 provided [17] - 9:2, 15:18, 17:25, 23:1, 23:21, 34:8, 36:17, 55:7, 70:9, 80:7, 84:9, 93:6, 94:3, 95:2, 96:2, 104:24, 158:12 provider [2] - 95:7, 161:14 provides [6] - 22:5, 66:11, 72:18, 74:14, 81:19, 92:5 providing [4] - 12:1, 88:24, 113:7, 157:4 **provision** [2] - 60:13, 66:25 provisions [3] - 41:10, 41:22, 159:14 proximate [1] - 24:25 proximately [6] -15:23, 17:6, 19:19, 26:22, 27:3, 146:15 proximity [2] - 12:7, 59:23 PSR [7] - 28:17, 28:19, 29:18, 29:23, 30:16, 46:3, 92:11 PTSD [2] - 136:12, 151:14 public [13] - 4:8, 4:12, 4:16, 14:17, 21:10, 21:11, 21:19, 91:7, 102:25, 118:20, 145:5, 151:22, 155:6 publicity [1] - 10:17 publicly [4] - 21:21, 102:13, 109:21, 157:25 published [12] - 95:15, 96:22, 97:15, 99:25, 100:21, 103:6, 104:13, 105:4, 105:15, 106:8, 106:13, 108:22 puffing [1] - 149:11 pull [2] - 28:23, 42:14 **punch** [1] - 130:2

116:25, 146:4

punishment [8] - 56:7, 79:15, 111:17, 112:21, 113:20, 116:20, 145:4, 148:21 pure [1] - 77:23 **purporting** [1] - 66:15 purpose [2] - 42:7, 77:4 purposes [6] - 16:18, 64:21, 73:10, 94:18, 144:24, 145:1 pursuant [3] - 145:8, 159:13, 162:14 pursuit [2] - 57:9, 97:13 push [3] - 156:2, 157:4, 157:12 **pushed** [1] - 101:2 pushing [3] - 59:8, 60:4, 154:23 put [13] - 21:24, 34:17, 38:18, 67:24, 101:9, 117:16, 126:16, 130:23, 133:7, 133:8, 137:9, 143:24, 163:9 puts [3] - 30:19, 101:7, 109:11 putting [1] - 102:9 puzzled [1] - 84:6

Q

qualifications [1] -

64:7 qualifies [8] - 13:20, 14:1, 24:9, 24:11, 25:21, 26:19, 27:3, 28:1 qualify [2] - 28:7, 38:24 quasi [13] - 37:16, 37:17, 37:19, 41:12, 41:25, 43:3, 61:4, 61:23, 64:4, 68:16, 69:12, 73:17 quasi-adjudicative [1] - 64·4 quasi-administrative [2] - 61:23, 68:16 quasi-judicial [10] -37:16, 37:17, 37:19, 41:12, 41:25, 43:3, 61:4, 61:23, 69:12, 73:17 questionable [1] -9:15 questioned [2] -

90:13, 156:21 questioning [1] -13:20 questions [8] - 8:8, 18:7. 18:8. 24:8. 37:5, 91:1, 116:23, 117:14 quick [1] - 95:8 quickly [3] - 52:7, 84:20, 132:7 quite [2] - 127:4, 136:14 quote [9] - 35:20, 43:9, 60:23, 72:3, 72:13, 72:24, 73:13, 77:19, 78:16 quotes [1] - 55:14 quoting [1] - 64:11

R

17:2, 26:18, 28:13,

radio [2] - 142:13

raised [12] - 7:24,

29:21, 30:10, 35:23, 48:10, 55:3, 74:15, 76:8, 83:4 raises [1] - 14:4 ram [1] - 157:3 ran [1] - 20:12 range [17] - 7:20, 32:2, 33:22, 34:2, 34:22, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 82:8, 82:13, 82:14, 83:5, 93:9, 93:13, 114:14, 141:11, 154:11 rather [12] - 4:13, 13:14, 31:4, 34:22, 35:9, 65:19, 77:13, 89:21, 148:14, 150:7, 152:11, 155:5 rationale [1] - 16:10 rationally [2] - 128:11, 128:12 re [2] - 155:24, 161:18 re-enter [1] - 155:24 re-entry [1] - 161:18 reach [2] - 65:7, 157:9 reached [1] - 157:7 reaches [1] - 67:18 react [1] - 111:24 reacted [1] - 121:16 reaction [1] - 149:21 read [13] - 5:2, 8:15, 19:14, 29:10, 35:8, 37:4, 38:18, 40:6, 89:14, 135:13, 139:1, 139:13,

141:17 53:15, 53:18 rejected [2] - 63:2, readily [1] - 91:8 record [10] - 2:9, 68.4 reading [1] - 42:1 12:19, 18:10, 19:6, rejecting [1] - 63:23 ready [1] - 90:21 82:22, 82:23, 99:24, relate [1] - 91:8 66:6. 66:13 realities [1] - 127:9 147:8, 163:3, 164:6 related [12] - 15:18, realization [1] recorded [2] - 95:4, 16:3, 18:7, 24:11, 110:17 123:10 50:24, 55:13, 60:24, recording [1] - 4:17 61:22, 70:21, 71:12, realize [2] - 47:11, 99.6 records [3] - 66:16, 72:11, 146:19 realized [4] - 134:22, 102:18, 145:12 relatedly [1] - 64:1 135:3, 135:9 red [6] - 104:16, relates [7] - 17:21, realizes [2] - 121:2, 105:12, 106:10, 21:15, 22:6, 22:13, 133:2, 133:5, 156:1 22:23, 50:11, 91:11 135:5 really [6] - 14:24, redeeming [1] - 111:4 relating [2] - 34:19, reduced [1] - 82:4 15:21, 49:11, 68:23 107:14, 142:17, reentry [1] - 149:7 relation [1] - 14:9 refer [4] - 27:11, relationship [1] -142:23 38:12, 39:16, 40:21 136:1 reason [10] - 77:12, 88:8, 93:2, 114:8, reference [7] - 44:17, relatively [1] - 43:7 116:16, 132:3, 44:18, 44:19, 69:22, relay [2] - 19:15, 19:20 141:4, 150:20, 90:2, 131:21, 139:16 relayed [1] - 117:9 155:22, 164:19 referenced [6] - 6:7, release [17] - 82:13, 38:5, 63:18, 68:10, reasonable [7] -93:11, 93:14, 94:20, 98:18, 98:21, 69:6, 70:8 117:18, 125:4, 100:25, 101:10, references [3] - 14:15, 126:17, 159:23, 103:7, 103:15, 27:8, 67:20 161:16, 162:7, 154:14 referred [3] - 20:5, 164:19, 165:6, reasonably [3] - 51:5, 68:18, 109:24 165:10, 165:23, 51:12, 51:23 referring [1] - 38:10 166:1, 166:5 reasoning [1] - 18:23 refers [2] - 63:3, 63:5 released [1] - 165:16 reflect [5] - 112:18, reasons [10] - 8:6, relevance [3] - 17:19, 12:16, 17:10, 47:13, 138:13. 145:2. 18:15, 19:1 86:11 52:1, 55:9, 55:16, 151:4, 152:17 relevant [12] - 18:13, 68:5, 76:5, 132:3 18:19, 19:2, 19:3. reflected [1] - 75:6 115:20 rebroadcasting[1] -Reform [1] - 159:13 19:23, 25:15, 59:11, 60:7, 65:19, 103:25, 4:17 refrain [1] - 160:22 105:1, 144:21 rebuild [1] - 137:5 refrained [1] - 125:18 receipt [1] - 161:21 relied [1] - 46:9 refrains [1] - 97:12 relief [1] - 27:25 received [3] - 14:5, refused [2] - 57:7, 20:5, 162:24 relies [1] - 62:10 recently [1] - 142:12 relive [2] - 22:17, refusing [1] - 58:16 recess [1] - 81:14 22:19 regard [1] - 46:25 recited [1] - 23:18 rely [3] - 46:21, 53:25, regarding [4] - 147:11, recognizes [1] - 95:17 151:7, 154:9, 154:13 54:21 relying [1] - 48:12 164:21 recognizing [1] - 75:1 regardless [2] - 58:11, remain [1] - 165:6 recommendation [10] 102:4 remainder [1] - 119:9 - 5:6, 28:16, 29:5, regret [3] - 84:16, 94:18, 139:23, remaining [1] - 145:24 84:19, 157:17 139:25, 144:19, regrets [2] - 118:6, remedy [1] - 135:6 154:16, 163:9, reminded [1] - 4:16 124:22 163:18 regretted [1] - 84:15 remorse [2] - 112:2, recommendations [3] regularly [2] - 62:1, 153:5 - 93:7, 144:20, 63:20 remorseful [4] -154:13 regulations [1] -91:14, 110:11, recommended [2] -161:12 110:19, 112:13 7:20, 80:9 remotely [2] - 4:9, rehabilitation [1] recommends [3] -165:13 4:13 145.6 93:8, 93:12, 93:14 removal [3] - 4:21, rehabilitative [1] -85:24, 86:1 reconcile [1] - 138:25 92:14 77:5, 77:8 reconsideration [2] remove [4] - 104:4, rehash [1] - 40:5

104:5, 106:20, 135:3 requirements [2] -76:25, 77:2 removing [1] - 106:7 render [3] - 63:14, requires [3] - 56:8, 61:11. 61:14 rendered [1] - 40:12 Requires [1] - 66:4 renders [1] - 60:13 residence [1] - 162:10 repairs [1] - 79:8 resident[1] - 85:22 repeat [1] - 148:16 resides [1] - 163:15 repeated [3] - 54:1, resist [1] - 157:13 97:11, 148:13 resisting [4] - 33:20, repeatedly [3] - 97:1, 146:8, 158:18, 159:1 106:18, 152:20 resolution [2] - 74:14, repeating [1] - 153:17 88:19 replicate [1] - 21:16 resolve [9] - 7:17, reply [2] - 26:1, 42:23 45:9, 64:23, 71:23, 73:5, 74:10, 84:19, report [24] - 5:5, 7:16, 87:9, 88:3 9:9, 11:1, 11:13, resolved [8] - 28:9, 12:5, 16:14, 28:12, 45:13, 53:12, 53:17, 28:15, 29:5, 29:11, 75:3, 81:2, 81:9, 29:16, 29:22, 30:16, 31:3, 31:11, 33:14, 81:16 92:9, 144:19, resolves [1] - 81:3 161:20, 161:22, resolving [3] - 46:5, 162:8, 162:11, 164:9 66:11, 74:20 reported [3] - 1:25, resources [19] -10:24, 125:20 36:17, 43:11, 43:13, 43:20, 44:14, 48:17, reporter [1] - 81:11 Reporter [3] - 1:23, 48:19, 48:21, 49:16, 1:24, 166:25 49:25, 50:14, 61:16, 70:14, 76:14, 76:21, reporter's [1] - 26:5 77:7, 78:14, 79:10, representation[1] -80:21 respect [10] - 13:19, representatives [1] -16:5, 26:17, 71:23, 112:18, 115:6, republic [1] - 111:6 145:3, 151:5, 154:6, reputable [1] - 153:18 158:21 request [16] - 82:20, respectfully [5] -83:16, 92:17, 38:25, 42:22, 49:19, 113:23, 114:2, 51:6, 89:3 116:23, 117:5, respond [10] - 17:16, 162:18, 163:8, 25:25, 41:6, 49:1, 163:24, 164:1, 49:3, 88:13, 90:25, 164:11, 164:14, 91:2, 91:3, 106:21 164:16, 164:17, responded[1] -152:23 requested [6] - 9:10, 12:5, 86:10, 89:9, response [4] - 10:11, 52:6, 59:1, 135:1 92:3, 116:22 requesting [1] - 83:17 responsibilities [1] require [4] - 50:12, responsibility [9] -53:24, 56:18, 61:17 82:5, 84:1, 84:8, required [13] - 13:7, 84:20, 86:21, 87:22, 33:6, 72:21, 72:24, 92:21, 110:11, 126:6 73:3, 74:6, 75:15, responsible [4] - 78:8, 83:25, 125:5, 147:6, 146:24, 147:3, 161:23, 165:10, 147:10 rest [4] - 63:2, 100:22, requirement [5] -111:8, 150:7 48:24, 55:20, 74:9,

restitution [22] -

16:18, 24:16, 50:10, 93:11, 94:20, 114:2, 116:22, 116:23, 117:5, 145:14, 145:15, 145:19, 145:21, 146:3, 146:12, 146:21, 146:23, 146:24, 147:7, 160:6, 160:8, 161:4 Restitution [1] -145:22 restricted [4] - 4:22, 145:24, 146:1, 146:10 result [9] - 4:20, 15:24, 26:22, 27:6, 33:5, 36:16, 80:20, 136:9, 137:18 resulted [4] - 36:8, 78:12, 79:11, 82:1 resulting [1] - 146:15 results [6] - 61:21, 70:3, 74:1, 75:6, 82:3, 153:20 retreat [1] - 148:9 retreated [1] - 58:6 return [1] - 162:11 returns [1] - 64:7 revenue [1] - 69:2 reverse[1] - 86:19 reverse-proffer [1] -86:19 review [10] - 6:23, 7:1, 9:13, 9:14, 28:10, 29:25, 48:23, 81:16, 89:22, 153:19 reviewed [12] - 5:4, 5:8, 5:12, 5:19, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 6:9, 12:10, 32:4, 94:5, 94:23 reviewing [1] - 5:1 reviews [1] - 21:6 revocation [1] -165:23 rhetoric [2] - 121:18, 121:19 Richardson [2] -72:23, 74:8 rightfully [1] - 136:23 rightly [1] - 71:19 Rights [9] - 17:21, 17:25, 19:5, 22:15, 22:21, 24:14, 25:2, 26:20, 116:25 rights [13] - 18:2, 40:8, 43:17, 72:15, 88:4, 110:3, 112:14, 133:19, 133:22, 133:23, 133:24,

153:2, 153:4 rinse [1] - 109:7 riot [8] - 14:19, 17:6, 71:7, 79:11, 101:19, 108:5, 147:13, 157:20 rioters [35] - 51:17, 51:19, 56:21, 57:12, 57:22, 57:24, 57:25, 59:2, 78:4, 79:4, 95:22, 96:6, 98:10, 100:24, 101:8, 102:7, 104:9, 104:19, 105:7, 106:1, 106:6, 107:1, 107:23, 108:12, 110:10, 138:19, 146:14, 148:4, 150:6, 152:7, 154:20, 156:14, 156:19, 157:5 rioters' [1] - 154:25 Road [1] - 1:17 road [2] - 85:12, 86:1 role [7] - 13:13, 50:8, 91:25, 113:18, 113:24, 157:20 Romney [1] - 97:23 room [2] - 12:24, 51:9 Room [1] - 160:13 Rosner [2] - 72:20, 74:7 Rotunda [40] - 51:14, 51:15, 58:22, 59:6, 59:11, 60:4, 78:20, 98:25, 99:3, 99:7, 99:9, 100:4, 101:3, 101:20, 102:3, 102:15, 102:16, 102:20, 103:1, 103:11, 104:4, 105:9, 106:23, 107:3, 107:15, 108:6, 108:18, 109:5, 127:25, 129:13, 149:8, 149:9, 149:20, 150:5, 150:17, 156:3, 156:6, 156:13, 156:23, 157:14 RPR [3] - 1:23, 166:18, 166:24 **rub** [1] - 109:10 RUBENACKER[1] -Rubenacker [127] -2:4, 3:11, 3:13, 3:15,

4:4, 6:2, 6:24, 7:4,

7:15, 8:2, 8:9, 9:16,

24:23, 25:14, 28:22, 29:1, 30:17, 49:23, 49:24, 50:2, 50:8, 50:19, 51:2, 84:15, 85:10, 85:21, 87:7, 87:14, 87:16, 89:10, 89:25, 90:21, 91:12, 96:3, 96:7, 96:8, 96:16, 96:24, 97:8, 97:14, 97:19, 98:1, 98:12, 98:16, 98:19, 98:23, 99:13, 99:23, 100:3, 100:7, 100:15, 100:24, 101:1, 101:11, 101:15, 101:17, 102:2. 102:22. 103:1. 103:8. 103:12, 103:16, 103:25, 104:10, 104:12, 104:15, 104:21, 105:6, 105:12, 105:24, 106:3, 106:6, 106:10, 106:17, 106:21, 107:1, 107:4, 107:7, 107:13, 107:15, 108:1, 108:5, 108:14, 108:23, 109:17, 110:5, 111:3, 111:14, 114:14, 116:10, 116:19, 117:3, 117:13, 117:21, 118:5, 118:24, 119:20, 119:23, 120:6, 120:18, 121:10, 121:12, 121:13, 122:10, 122:16, 123:4, 123:8, 131:13, 131:15, 131:17, 132:24, 133:5, 134:1, 136:20, 140:16, 141:3, 141:16, 144:12, 144:14, 145:5, 145:15, 159:16, 165:5, 166:6 rubenacker's [1] -103:3 Rubenacker's [18] -5:3, 17:18, 86:8, 97:12, 101:25, 103:5, 107:18, 109:18, 110:20, 111:16, 113:21, 114:15, 122:23, 123:22, 133:17,

9:22, 14:7, 24:20,

140:12, 141:12, 147:22 Rubicx [1] - 143:10 rule [2] - 55:2, 72:6 Rule [2] - 12:22 ruled [3] - 46:8, 47:14, 54.15 rules [5] - 12:21, 66:11, 74:20, 126:24, 161:12 Rules [3] - 12:25, 13:7, 26:15 ruling [2] - 32:13, 54:16 rumor [1] - 120:9 run [2] - 159:21, 159:25 S

sacred [3] - 110:7, 111:7, 121:14 sad [2] - 13:4, 144:10 safety [2] - 57:13, 97.24 **SAINT**[1] - 166:18 Saint [2] - 1:23, 166:24 SAINT-LOTH [1] -166:18 Saint-Loth [2] - 1:23, 166:24 sanction [1] - 72:5 sanctions [2] - 4:21, 4.23 sand [1] - 115:16 Sarbanes [1] - 67:1 Sarbanes-Oxley [1] -67:1 sat [4] - 86:17, 86:18, 121:3, 133:15 satisfied [1] - 28:25 saw [10] - 23:8, 101:3, 103:10, 118:5, 127:17, 134:15, 140:15, 143:2, 143:3, 148:10 Scalia [3] - 63:1, 63:9, 63:15 scariest [1] - 156:9 scary [1] - 148:20 scene [2] - 97:19, 156:15 scheme [1] - 31:18 Schesnol [1] - 2:19 **SCHESNOL**[1] - 1:12 school [3] - 136:3, 136:5 Schuck [2] - 1:21, 2:7

scope [1] - 62:23 score [1] - 30:18 Scott [2] - 71:15, 114:11 scramble [1] - 12:11 screamed [1] - 103:12 screaming [9] - 57:24, 97:6, 101:9, 104:19, 122:19, 122:20, 123:3, 123:5, 148:6 screen [1] - 104:17 screenshot [1] - 95:25 screenshots [2] - 6:6, 107:4 scrutiny [2] - 65:1, 68:3 **SDNY** [2] - 43:1, 44:19 sea [1] - 156:1 seal [5] - 6:12, 8:18, 18:18, 18:21, 21:24 search [1] - 110:9 searched [1] - 152:6 **searching** [1] - 157:22 seated [2] - 29:9, 163:7 Second [3] - 72:19, 74:7, 155:5 second [24] - 5:24, 7:18, 11:14, 11:18, 19:1, 20:16, 22:13, 36:2, 48:9, 58:20, 59:21, 60:21, 77:4, 91:17, 98:4, 101:14, 108:5, 108:19, 127:15, 149:6, 155:10, 155:25 seconds [16] - 56:22, 96:10, 99:5, 99:20, 105:3, 105:14, 105:17, 105:19, 105:21, 105:23, 106:12, 106:15, 108:15, 118:15, 147:25, 155:3 section [1] - 150:6 Section [47] - 15:15, 15:22, 15:25, 26:23, 28:6, 31:13, 31:21, 33:21, 33:24, 34:1, 37:25. 60:22. 61:14. 62:13. 62:17. 63:3. 63:18, 64:22, 66:24, 66:25, 67:3, 67:12, 67:19, 67:21, 68:10, 68:22, 68:23, 68:25, 69:4, 73:10, 81:4, 81:19, 83:23, 144:22, 145:8, 145:23, 145:25, 146:2, 146:7, 146:9,

146:11, 159:2,	8:7, 31:9, 34:17,	153:18	162:4, 162:7,	144:18
159:14, 160:5,	79:20, 80:8, 80:18,	sentiments [1] -	162:11, 166:21	sifting [1] - 85:17
161:5, 162:14,	80:25, 82:11, 83:4,	139:10	shape [1] - 95:24	Signal [2] - 110:15,
162:20	93:4, 112:17, 113:6,	separate [7] - 11:16,	share [4] - 16:15,	152:11
Sections [1] - 82:6	114:8, 117:17,	16:21, 31:4, 44:23,	27:16, 139:10	signatory [1] - 166:22
secure [1] - 75:19	117:20, 137:7,	59:16, 165:13,	shares [1] - 139:14	signature [1] - 20:11
security [1] - 75:20	137:18, 138:12,	165:24	Sherrill [1] - 160:12	signatures [1] - 13:12
see [28] - 7:10, 21:13,	140:11, 141:10,	separated [1] - 59:20	shield [1] - 157:20	significance [1] -
22:1, 54:5, 63:21,	141:11, 141:13,	separation [3] - 39:11,	shifts [1] - 20:7	96:13
70:24, 73:17, 76:16,	144:14, 144:15,	39:18, 64:8	shit [1] - 148:23	significant [16] -
77:16, 77:25, 92:10,	144:23, 145:2,	seriatim [1] - 55:16	shock [1] - 134:5	91:15, 96:20, 97:19,
94:1, 94:9, 94:10,	145:11, 147:20,	series [1] - 95:17	shoot [2] - 58:2, 97:7	98:5, 98:11, 113:18,
96:23, 97:14,	151:4, 151:6,	serious [15] - 60:19,	short [2] - 43:7, 81:11	113:24, 114:8,
100:16, 103:4,	151:21, 152:1,	71:9, 76:2, 79:13,	shorthand [2] - 1:25,	114:18, 115:22,
104:14, 105:5,	153:7, 153:23,	111:16, 112:20,	34:7	116:1, 116:4,
105:24, 106:2,	154:10, 158:20,	112:21, 113:21,	shortly [3] - 17:3,	117:17, 117:20,
106:25, 111:3,	158:23, 159:12,	114:16, 119:5,	65:16, 120:4	130:21, 148:21
112:7, 137:16,	162:10, 162:15,	119:7, 131:12,	shoulder [1] - 19:22	significantly [2] -
150:19, 164:19	162:22, 163:2,	138:14, 142:15	shouting [1] - 58:15	80:6, 140:11
See [2] - 67:7, 79:23	165:8, 165:11, 166:2	seriously [2] - 113:14,	shoving [1] - 129:15	similar [8] - 24:15,
seeing [3] - 134:5,	sentenced [12] - 83:6,	113:16	show [38] - 48:17,	47:15, 71:10, 113:9,
135:1, 143:2	113:5, 114:13,	seriousness [5] -	50:12, 70:22, 71:1,	114:14, 145:12,
seek [1] - 158:6	114:15, 115:22,	70:7, 112:18, 145:2,	77:11, 78:10, 96:16,	145:13, 158:10
seeking [1] - 83:24	115:25, 124:16,	151:4, 151:24	99:21, 100:12,	simple [2] - 13:17,
seem [1] - 110:12	158:23, 159:22,	serve [6] - 102:22,	100:17, 103:24,	73:25
seeming [1] - 155:17	165:14, 165:22,	149:24, 151:11,	104:11, 104:20,	simply [8] - 38:18,
sees [6] - 88:9, 95:16,	166:3	159:22, 165:8	105:19, 106:1,	67:8, 68:2, 69:3,
98:21, 100:15,	sentences [4] - 113:8,	service [2] - 140:24,	106:5, 106:16,	69:9, 104:5, 115:17,
102:15, 104:17	145:11, 154:9, 158:12	165:11	107:4, 107:18,	148:12
Select [6] - 89:16,	SENTENCING [1] - 1:8	services [1] - 126:18	112:12, 116:19,	single [2] - 77:11,
89:21, 90:3, 91:17,		serving [4] - 102:24,	121:23, 121:24,	123:5
	Santancing [73] - 4.3	100 05 150 1		
92:16, 93:2	sentencing [73] - 4:3,	102:25, 150:1,	121:25, 122:1,	singularly [1] - 78:8
self [4] - 163:21,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3,	156:20	122:15, 122:16,	sisters [1] - 136:2
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] -	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12,
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20 send [2] - 10:5, 113:16	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 144:25,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23 severe [3] - 31:9,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19, 60:8, 116:25, 125:9,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 skip [2] - 94:16, 97:16
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20 send [2] - 10:5, 113:16 sending [1] - 113:24	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 144:25, 145:1, 151:24,	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23 severe [3] - 31:9, 34:17, 165:18	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19, 60:8, 116:25, 125:9, 128:21, 142:3,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 skip [2] - 94:16, 97:16 slice [1] - 59:15
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20 send [2] - 10:5, 113:16 sending [1] - 113:24 sense [4] - 69:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 144:25, 145:1, 151:24, 158:9, 159:15, 163:1	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23 severe [3] - 31:9, 34:17, 165:18 severely [1] - 75:20	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19, 60:8, 116:25, 125:9, 128:21, 142:3, 143:7, 145:17, 152:24, 161:16 sic] [2] - 115:6, 142:6	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 skip [2] - 94:16, 97:16 slice [1] - 59:15 slicing [1] - 16:20
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20 send [2] - 10:5, 113:16 sending [1] - 113:24 sense [4] - 69:9, 115:19, 136:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 144:25, 145:1, 151:24, 158:9, 159:15, 163:1 Sentencing [2] -	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23 severe [3] - 31:9, 34:17, 165:18 severely [1] - 75:20 severity [3] - 33:7,	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19, 60:8, 116:25, 125:9, 128:21, 142:3, 143:7, 145:17, 152:24, 161:16 sic] [2] - 115:6, 142:6 side [8] - 29:21, 95:21,	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 skip [2] - 94:16, 97:16 slice [1] - 59:15 slicing [1] - 16:20 slides [2] - 94:3, 94:15
self [4] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:9, 164:20 self-report [1] - 164:9 self-surrender [3] - 163:21, 163:25, 164:20 selfies [1] - 127:23 Senate [23] - 21:2, 57:1, 57:23, 95:20, 97:25, 98:2, 98:10, 100:8, 100:9, 100:19, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 103:20, 112:5, 112:25, 116:12, 131:13, 131:19, 147:24, 154:22, 155:4 Senator [1] - 97:22 senators [2] - 98:6, 115:20 send [2] - 10:5, 113:16 sending [1] - 113:24 sense [4] - 69:9,	4:7, 4:15, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:20, 5:21, 5:23, 6:7, 6:23, 6:24, 7:11, 7:13, 7:20, 7:23, 8:4, 15:14, 15:17, 18:5, 22:17, 26:12, 28:10, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:24, 30:7, 32:2, 33:21, 34:2, 34:7, 34:22, 38:6, 40:20, 42:10, 42:11, 42:20, 46:23, 48:7, 52:3, 69:15, 69:23, 79:14, 80:1, 80:9, 81:8, 83:18, 93:6, 93:7, 97:17, 97:21, 101:21, 108:25, 111:2, 111:19, 114:3, 115:1, 117:7, 117:19, 135:13, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 144:25, 145:1, 151:24, 158:9, 159:15, 163:1	156:20 Session [2] - 48:2, 98:7 sessions [1] - 125:7 Sessions [1] - 143:10 set [11] - 28:19, 33:13, 34:8, 69:14, 74:20, 83:18, 92:23, 93:19, 131:9, 144:22, 148:3 sets [1] - 66:9 settles [1] - 73:22 Seventh [2] - 43:6, 70:14 seventh [1] - 156:23 several [9] - 62:7, 63:10, 68:5, 70:10, 87:25, 90:13, 119:25, 136:4, 142:24 severally [1] - 146:23 severe [3] - 31:9, 34:17, 165:18 severely [1] - 75:20 severity [3] - 33:7, 80:18, 80:25	122:15, 122:16, 126:5, 129:10, 129:22, 133:6, 133:9, 144:1, 154:21, 157:19 showed [5] - 87:22, 89:12, 121:11, 122:1, 129:9 showing [3] - 93:22, 107:12, 107:25 shown [3] - 51:17, 101:24, 112:2 shows [12] - 95:10, 96:2, 100:23, 103:24, 104:18, 107:3, 117:17, 130:22, 132:19, 156:24, 156:25 siblings [1] - 151:18 sic [11] - 27:7, 44:19, 60:8, 116:25, 125:9, 128:21, 142:3, 143:7, 145:17, 152:24, 161:16 sic] [2] - 115:6, 142:6	sisters [1] - 136:2 sit [3] - 45:21, 79:13, 120:24 sitting [1] - 13:14 situated [1] - 116:15 situation [16] - 14:2, 59:6, 78:13, 79:6, 86:19, 90:20, 103:18, 117:13, 121:6, 122:6, 130:23, 135:7, 135:15, 136:18, 136:24, 137:9 situations [1] - 85:6 six [3] - 30:11, 105:9, 122:18 sixth [2] - 156:12, 156:13 Sixth [4] - 48:12, 76:18, 76:22, 77:16 skip [2] - 94:16, 97:16 slice [1] - 59:15 slicing [1] - 16:20 slides [2] - 94:3, 94:15 slight [1] - 100:12

small [2] - 114:13, 114:18 **smaller** [1] - 50:9 smash [1] - 127:21 smashing [2] - 96:7, 154.22 smells [2] - 102:10, 149:14 **Smoke** [1] - 149:13 smoke [5] - 128:2, 128:20, 129:5, 139:5, 156:6 smoked [4] - 51:14, 102:3, 102:14, 128:22 smoking [8] - 102:5, 127:24, 128:14, 129:3, 139:6, 149:11, 149:18, 149:19 **Snap** [1] - 95:6 Snapchat [6] - 95:6, 95:9, 95:12, 101:25, 102:9, 120:10 snapshot [2] - 99:21, 100:15 snippet [1] - 105:18 snowball [1] - 155:21 Snowden [1] - 157:22 **SOC** [30] - 35:18, 36:2, 36:5, 36:6, 36:7, 36:11, 36:19, 48:15, 49:2, 55:7, 55:9, 55:17, 55:20, 60:16, 60:17, 60:21, 61:6, 61:10, 61:11, 61:14, 65:11, 67:19, 68:17, 71:9, 76:9, 77:1, 77:17, 77:22, 81:21, 81.25 social [3] - 95:6, 149:13, 156:7 society [3] - 118:4, 120:1, 137:20 SOCs [39] - 34:7, 34:14, 34:18, 34:21, 34:25, 36:23, 37:8, 38:13, 39:19, 40:22, 40:23, 41:2, 44:25, 45:2, 45:6, 45:10, 55:3, 55:4, 61:7, 61:17, 61:24, 64:15, 66:23, 67:6, 68:20, 69:4, 69:25, 70:11, 70:17, 71:1, 71:4, 71:14, 71:24, 76:5, 79:17, 80:16, 80:17, 81:3, 81:5 solely [1] - 103:16 someone [8] - 25:1,

25:6, 25:9, 51:5, 113:11, 115:14, 117:12, 135:5 someplace [1] - 3:1 sometimes [2] -10:12. 54:21 somewhat [2] - 22:13, 62:13 somewhere [1] -141:14 soon [1] - 90:22 sooner [1] - 10:10 sorry [8] - 26:3, 41:3, 52:12, 141:6, 142:17, 144:4, 144:6, 144:8 sort [3] - 20:1, 44:22, 155:1 sought [1] - 157:20 SoundCloud [2] -143:6, 143:8 sounds [3] - 18:6, 18:15, 32:11 source [1] - 107:11 Southern [1] - 76:24 **space** [1] - 101:4 **SPEAKER**[1] - 145:16 speaking [3] - 2:21, 121:22, 144:3 special [4] - 82:15, 82:17, 160:1, 161:6 specific [28] - 12:9, 21:9, 31:23, 32:9, 32:25, 34:5, 34:7, 34:14, 41:18, 42:4, 42:7, 42:18, 50:20, 66:6, 77:24, 78:8, 78:12, 80:17, 80:22, 113:4, 113:7, 118:13, 119:3, 147:19, 147:22, 153:8, 154:18 specifically [13] -12:17, 31:12, 38:5, 39:25, 51:4, 53:23, 66:3, 67:18, 67:20, 76:20, 82:2, 123:4, 123:15 speech [4] - 90:16, 120:15 **speeches** [1] - 120:2 spends [1] - 23:4 spent [3] - 114:20, 135:22, 148:17 sphere [1] - 118:20 spliced [1] - 107:10 spoken [3] - 93:1, 126:18, 126:21 **spot** [1] - 84:14

spouse [2] - 43:16,

43:17 spray [8] - 51:19, 100:23, 101:18, 103:15, 107:23, 108:15, 109:8, 130:14 **sprayed** [6] - 58:24, 150:15, 150:20, 150:22, 156:22, 157:15 spraying [2] - 107:23, 130:16 **sprays** [1] - 59:2 spread [1] - 143:7 stable [1] - 75:8 staff [3] - 3:19, 5:17, 146:17 staffed [1] - 69:16 stages [1] - 16:2 staircase [1] - 122:19 staircases [4] -122:15, 122:16, 122:24, 123:13 stairs [16] - 21:1, 24:22, 25:20, 27:22, 27:24, 51:9, 57:1, 57:9, 57:23, 58:6, 58:7, 96:19, 96:25, 97:11, 148:10, 148:18 stairwell [1] - 155:9 stake [3] - 62:2, 65:23, 119:9 stand [4] - 28:22, 57:11, 144:16, 147:21 standard [3] - 77:9, 147:4, 160:15 standing [6] - 36:15, 77:19, 85:13, 98:7, 109:9, 124:16 Standing [1] - 4:17 start [13] - 4:25, 5:1, 30:4, 30:13, 34:24, 93:16, 95:1, 96:18, 102:7, 128:14, 137:5, 142:4, 149:11 started [9] - 47:5, 57:17, 97:6, 127:19, 135:2, 135:17, 135:18, 138:8, 142:10 starting [3] - 2:9, 20:24, 30:15 starts [3] - 100:17, 102:12, 105:25 state [13] - 2:8, 43:16, 72:5, 72:7, 75:18, 85:22, 117:15, 129:1, 135:17,

159:12, 160:19, 164:4, 164:6 state's [3] - 72:5, 74:15, 75:4 statement [47] - 6:10, 6:12. 8:14. 8:19. 8:20, 9:2, 9:13, 9:14, 9:24, 9:25, 10:1, 10:14, 12:1, 12:8, 12:13, 12:22, 12:25, 14:1, 15:1, 15:3, 15:21, 17:11, 17:25, 18:9, 18:21, 19:10, 20:17, 20:22, 21:4, 21:12, 21:17, 21:23, 22:5, 22:23, 22:24, 23:13, 23:22, 26:9, 26:13, 26:14, 26:19, 27:7, 28:8, 57:16, 131:14, 148:8, 153:6 statements [10] - 13:8, 20:20, 21:19, 23:25, 29:15, 29:22, 112:1, 112:12, 152:5, 152:16 States [29] - 2:3, 2:17, 2:19, 40:13, 43:1, 43:6, 44:18, 45:19, 46:10, 46:14, 49:6, 49:21, 50:7, 50:16, 54:12, 63:22, 70:15, 72:20, 74:22, 78:1, 86:5, 95:19, 95:21, 95:22, 102:5, 112:4, 131:16, 144:9, 161.18 states [2] - 75:2, 75:16 **STATES**[3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:9 stating [2] - 55:9, 64:3 status [1] - 3:6 statute [19] - 25:17, 34:13, 38:2, 38:12, 46:23, 62:17, 62:24, 63:8, 63:18, 63:21, 63:24, 64:22, 65:1, 66:25, 67:9, 67:12, 67:17 statutes [11] - 24:15, 41:23, 42:3, 48:3, 65:7, 67:20, 68:17, 68:21, 69:6, 82:12, 116:24 statutory [2] - 28:4, 41:21 stay [2] - 98:17, 144:13 stayed [1] - 59:23

staying [1] - 155:19

stenographic [1] -

166:19 step [13] - 7:13, 7:18, 8:1, 8:5, 8:23, 28:10, 30:2, 83:10, 93:5, 141:5, 141:7, 141:19, 151:18 stepped [1] - 150:9 steps [2] - 99:3, 148:15 **stick** [2] - 130:5, 130:8 still [18] - 17:11, 19:17, 19:22, 30:1, 39:7, 39:25, 45:21, 85:14, 85:17, 88:2, 108:17, 118:20, 119:12, 120:17, 134:7, 140:5, 151:14 stills [1] - 6:5 stole [1] - 118:16 stolen [8] - 118:8, 118:20, 119:13, 120:3, 120:16, 121:16, 124:3, 136:10 stop [6] - 98:10, 100:24, 106:7, 108:11, 108:17, 112:6 **stormed** [1] - 78:18 **storming** [1] - 147:23 story [2] - 10:13, 85:15 **Story** [2] - 95:6, 95:13 straightforward [1] -67:16 stream [2] - 99:8, 156:4 Street [1] - 1:14 stress [1] - 133:1 **stretching** [1] - 73:13 **strictly** [1] - 4:19 strides [1] - 137:6 strikes [1] - 149:15 striking [1] - 158:24 **strive** [1] - 111:13 strongly [1] - 151:9 **struck** [1] - 159:3 **structured** [1] - 7:11 stuff [1] - 22:25 stuffed [1] - 120:20 stupidly [1] - 128:19 subject [9] - 30:7, 30:12, 31:13, 31:20, 45:4, 71:22, 82:13, 165:19. 165:23 submit [7] - 15:6, 48:9, 130:9, 138:18, 160:24, 161:8, 161:20 **submitted** [21] - 5:9,

5:11, 5:20, 5:22, 5:23, 6:1, 6:6, 6:10, 6:11, 8:14, 11:22, 20:17, 21:4, 21:10, 24:18, 29:6, 30:1, 35:8, 83:19, 144:18, 151:15 **submitting** [1] - 10:4 subpoenaed [1] - 73:1 subsequently [1] -61:2 substance [11] -18:16, 22:11, 27:7, 102:5, 102:6, 128:12, 150:21, 160:21, 160:23, 161:8, 161:9 substantial [18] - 36:9, 36:16, 43:8, 43:11, 48:14, 48:21, 61:15, 76:10, 76:16, 77:7, 77:12, 78:14, 79:10, 79:11, 80:21, 82:1, 88:25, 140:5 substantive [1] -28:13 succeed [1] - 113:7 succeeded [3] - 83:13, 107:11, 147:14 successful [3] - 75:8, 140:2, 140:5 successfully [1] -106:7 **successive** [1] - 107:4 suffer [3] - 27:14, 142:21, 151:14 suffered [2] - 136:22, 146:16 suffering [2] - 26:6, 143:12 suffers [2] - 140:15, 140.16 sufficient [3] - 106:15, 144:23, 152:1 sufficiently [2] - 70:1, 73:16 suggest [3] - 89:16, 130:20, 152:5 suggested [2] - 38:21, 133:18 suggesting [1] - 152:8 suggests [2] - 59:10, 66:23 Suite [1] - 1:18 sum [1] - 154:17 summer [1] - 142:14 superfluous [1] -63:14 superseding [1] - 4:5 supervise [1] - 161:14

supervised [8] -82:13, 93:10, 93:13, 94:20, 117:18, 126:17, 159:23, 161:19

supervision [5] -160:14. 160:16. 160:17, 160:25, 161:21

supplement [4] - 35:3, 35:5, 35:14, 41:8 supplemental [11] -5:10, 5:23, 35:15, 36:14, 48:10, 48:11, 52:2, 70:25, 73:17, 76:8, 76:17

supplemented [1] -29:25 support [9] - 41:13, 55:21, 62:7, 67:25,

76:19, 76:24, 105:7,

142:18, 157:5 supposed [4] - 98:14, 110:7, 138:25, 155:20

supremacist [1] -109:25

Supreme [6] - 39:14, 46:22, 62:11, 62:20, 62:22, 63:19

surprisingly [1] -119:5

surrender [8] -163:21, 163:25, 164:20, 165:10, 165:11, 165:13, 165:19, 166:2

surrounded [1] -102:7 surrounding [3] -

58:13, 97:8, 154:22 surveillance [1] -156:24 survey [1] - 45:7 susceptible [1] -

118:25 suspect [1] - 116:6 sustained [2] - 15:2, 15:11

swallowed [1] - 101:1 swath [2] - 42:9, 65:7

swelled [1] - 57:12 swing [2] - 107:1, 129:19

swinging [3] - 107:15, 112:9, 129:24 switched [1] - 142:3

switching [1] - 157:21 swung [2] - 58:23, 150:10

system [2] - 3:21, 113:10

T

Table [1] - 154:11 table [1] - 2:18 Tackett [4] - 48:12, 48:20, 76:18, 76:22 tackle [1] - 103:14 talks [8] - 9:17, 9:19, 10:2, 14:5, 14:13, 15:1, 20:23, 133:16 tamper [1] - 161:10 tampering [1] - 62:24 tandem [1] - 61:7 taped [1] - 130:6 tapping [1] - 129:25 targeted [1] - 155:8 tasks [1] - 75:11 taunt [2] - 56:13, 149:22 taunting [2] - 58:8, 155:16 tear [3] - 59:1, 156:22, 157:11 teasing [1] - 16:1 technical [5] - 3:21, 3:22, 3:25, 4:1, 20:2 technically [1] - 28:4 TECHNICIAN[1] -5:15 telephone [2] - 2:7, 4:16 temple [1] - 149:10 ten [5] - 4:4, 147:12, 154:18, 155:19, 159:8 tend [1] - 137:11 tension [1] - 156:23 terabytes [1] - 85:17 term [19] - 24:14, 46:19, 46:24, 47:9, 54:18, 55:22, 67:10, 74:8, 112:11, 133:25, 154:16, 159:17, 159:19, 159:20, 159:23, 159:24, 165:20, 165:21, 166:4 termination [1] -162:13 terms [16] - 14:15, 25:17, 26:13, 27:8, 51:1, 55:3, 63:20, 88:23, 91:4, 110:10, 116:1, 117:8,

134:25, 135:1,

159:21, 159:25

territory [1] - 137:4 terror [2] - 17:7, 27:20 test [2] - 49:8, 160:24 tested [1] - 75:20 testified [1] - 21:20 testimony [4] - 73:1, 89:15, 89:21, 90:12 testing [2] - 161:8, 161:10 tests [1] - 160:25 tether [1] - 43:24 text [6] - 41:13, 42:21, 43:8, 77:13, 109:19 texted [2] - 110:13, 152:21 texting [2] - 102:12, 152:12 **THE** [183] - 1:1, 1:9, 1:11, 1:17, 2:2, 2:12, 2:14, 2:20, 2:24, 3:3, 3:8, 3:12, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:17, 3:20, 4:2, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 6:18, 6:21, 7:10, 8:10, 8:11, 8:23, 11:8, 12:20, 13:4, 13:7, 13:17, 13:23, 14:12, 15:12, 15:20, 16:10, 16:20, 16:25, 17:13, 17:16, 18:20, 19:9, 20:1, 20:14, 20:23, 21:11, 21:18, 23:3, 23:12, 24:10, 25:23, 25:25, 26:3, 28:22, 29:2, 29:3, 29:8, 29:9, 29:13, 29:20, 30:24, 31:1, 32:15, 32:18, 32:21, 33:5, 33:10, 33:12, 35:10, 35:12, 36:21, 36:25, 37:3, 37:7, 37:13. 37:17. 37:19. 37:23, 39:16, 39:23, 40:16. 41:4. 41:6. 43:21, 44:2, 44:7, 44:22, 47:2, 47:4, 48:8, 50:25, 52:5, 52:18, 53:6, 53:9, 53:14, 53:25, 54:7, 54:9, 54:20, 81:15, 83:1, 83:3, 83:14, 83:22, 84:3, 84:6, 84:21, 84:23, 85:1, 85:15, 88:10, 88:12, 89:5, 89:7, 90:1, 90:5, 90:24, 91:3, 92:2, 93:20, 93:22, 94:1, 94:7, 94:11, 117:23, 118:7,

terrace [1] - 116:5

118:12, 118:17, 118:19, 118:23, 119:2, 119:11, 119:17, 124:15, 124:20, 124:23, 128:2, 128:6, 128:9, 130:7, 133:2, 134:2, 138:4, 138:10, 138:17, 138:22, 139:5, 139:22, 140:4, 140:8, 140:20, 141:5, 141:7, 141:15, 141:18, 141:19, 141:21, 141:24, 141:25, 143:24, 144:1, 144:12, 145:17. 151:14. 151:15. 163:5. 163:7, 163:11, 163:13, 163:17, 163:20, 164:3, 164:10, 164:13, 164:23, 165:1, 166:13, 166:15 71:25, 103:9 90:16

165:5, 166:7, 166:9, themselves [2] then-President [1] theories [2] - 120:11, 153:10 therapist [3] - 127:3, 142:22 therapists [1] - 142:25 therapy [6] - 125:5, 125:6, 136:11, 136:15. 136:16. 142:18 thereafter [1] - 160:25 thereby [1] - 78:23 therefore [6] - 16:6, 38:23, 60:17, 73:9, 79:12, 161:25 thereof [1] - 61:12 thinking [5] - 128:11, 128:12, 128:17, 128:18, 135:12 thinks [2] - 91:15, 115:3 Third [1] - 55:25 third [3] - 8:1, 93:5, 155:13 Thompson [2] - 116:2, 158:25 **Thor's** [1] - 113:15 thoroughly [1] - 35:8 thoughts [1] - 124:6

thousands [5] - 79:7,

87:4, 87:5, 97:5,

132:25
threat [7] - 55:22,
56:2, 56:5, 56:10,
56:18, 57:13, 61:12
threaten [4] - 35:25,
55:10, 55:19, 60:18
threatened [2] - 56:4,
56:15
threatening [14] -
35:21, 56:9, 56:17,
58:10, 58:17, 59:4,
59:8, 59:9, 60:10,
76:1, 80:19, 81:22,
112:10, 148:19
threats [6] - 14:5,
56:10, 56:12, 56:20,
58:12, 60:14
three [14] - 17:19,
36:8, 36:18, 76:25,
77:2, 84:9, 85:3,
88:16, 88:24, 89:4,
90:8, 90:9, 91:7,
160:22
three-offense [3] -
36:8, 36:18, 84:9
threw [1] - 51:14
throughout [6] -
10:24, 20:8, 48:4,
50:15, 91:23, 164:5
throw [1] - 108:1
throwing [5] - 99:14,
99:15, 108:8, 112:9
thrown [1] - 108:4
throws [3] - 51:16,
107:22, 108:16
thunder [1] - 118:16
tie [2] - 73:2, 77:14
tied [8] - 41:3, 43:2,
43:3, 44:9, 49:8,
72:9, 72:16, 77:24
timeline [2] - 22:21,
22:22
timelines [1] - 131:9
timeliness [5] - 17:19,
17:23, 18:8, 22:14,
26:13
timer [1] - 11:4
timestamps [1] -
104:25
timing [1] - 12:23
title [1] - 41:19
titled [4] - 38:6, 41:14,
41:20, 47:11
today [11] - 17:24,
45:21, 71:21, 94:13,
111:21, 124:17,
126:11, 153:6,
165:22, 166:10,
166:13
today's [3] - 110:4,

117:16, 137:14 113:15, 115:3, together [5] - 31:12, 116:16 31:14, 31:20, 95:5, treated [3] - 23:12, 107:10 31:22, 116:11 tolerable [1] - 126:7 tolerated [1] - 113:17 tongue [1] - 41:3 tongue-tied [1] - 41:3 took [9] - 20:12, 45:25, 84:13, 85:8, 86:25, 96:12, 132:4, 148:24, 150:22 tools [1] - 42:14 top [6] - 27:24, 58:6, 58:7, 88:20, 148:9 total [7] - 31:8, 34:21, 41:23, 82:6, 82:17, 124:12, 160:4 totally [4] - 39:23, 57:4, 137:8, 137:9 tour [1] - 142:14 tours [1] - 98:8 toward [14] - 60:10, 95:11, 95:19, 99:2, 101:5, 101:14, 101:16, 103:13, 104:15, 105:8, 105:10, 109:9, 129:20, 157:4 towards [7] - 60:15, 90:17, 100:8, 122:10, 124:4, 136:23, 157:24 track[1] - 54:25 tragedy [1] - 111:1 tragic [1] - 151:9 trained [1] - 108:10 transactions [1] -31:17 TRANSCRIPT[1] - 1:8 transcript [6] - 1:25, 46:7, 89:23, 166:19, 166:19, 166:21 transcription[1] -1:25 transfer [3] - 103:2, 111:7, 111:11 transition [2] - 80:12, 153:25 transmission[1] -4:10 transmissions [1] -13:11 transport [1] - 25:7 trappings [1] - 65:25 travel [1] - 137:4 traveled [1] - 19:25 traveling [1] - 99:11 88:3, 97:23, 98:13, $\boldsymbol{traversing}\, [1] - 109:15$

treat [4] - 113:14,

treating [3] - 102:8, 125:10, 136:13 treatment [7] - 27:12, 125:5, 158:6, 161:11, 161:14, 162:11, 162:13 tremendous [2] -10:16, 137:6 trial [1] - 77:20 trials [1] - 21:17 tribunal [3] - 72:10, 72:14, 72:17 tried [2] - 20:13, 142:13 tries [1] - 64:6 trigger [1] - 55:20 triggers [1] - 117:4 trouble [3] - 126:10, 126:15 **TROY** [1] - 1:12 **Troy** [1] - 2:17 troy.edwards@usdoj .gov [1] - 1:15 true [4] - 58:13, 127:14, 166:18, 166:19 truly [2] - 127:7, 144:4 **Trump** [5] - 120:5, 120:14, 121:21, 122:9, 124:7 **Trump's** [1] - 90:16 trust [2] - 91:22, 152:12 truth [3] - 39:2, 91:21, 115:5 truthful [4] - 73:1, 89:15, 90:12, 91:20 try [4] - 4:10, 102:25, 143:14, 156:14 trying [21] - 49:11, 88:1, 102:19, 104:4, 129:11, 129:12, 129:21, 129:22, 134:19, 134:21, 135:6, 138:15, 138:17, 139:21, 143:5, 150:5, 150:16, 152:24, 156:16, 157:2 tunnel [1] - 116:5 turn [19] - 6:21, 14:19, 17:22, 24:17, 28:9, 29:15, 30:13, 33:15, 69:10, 81:9, 82:19,

110:14, 110:21,

112:17, 130:5 turned [5] - 47:22, 135:2, 135:23, 135:24, 138:23 turning [2] - 103:19, 114:2 turns [1] - 47:21 Twelfth [1] - 48:1 twice [7] - 51:15, 103:14, 112:6, 112:24, 114:16, 151:12, 159:10 Twitter [1] - 120:10 two [41] - 11:16, 16:2, 16:3, 16:21, 17:19, 18:16, 18:19, 19:18, 22:1, 30:2, 31:16, 31:19, 34:5, 34:20, 34:25, 35:23, 36:4, 36:23, 38:12, 44:15, 45:10, 47:17, 49:20, 52:22, 55:9, 55:11, 61:7, 67:14, 81:5, 96:19, 99:22, 100:5, 104:7, 104:18, 113:2, 116:24, 117:3. 130:15. 158:23, 160:20, 160:25 type [2] - 25:7, 110:16 **types** [3] - 34:19, 145:10, 154:9 typical [1] - 80:4 typically [1] - 25:2 U

U.S [23] - 1:13, 1:21, 26:24, 30:7, 48:12, 62:11, 63:22, 64:2, 65:13, 70:14, 70:15, 71:17, 71:18, 72:22, 76:17, 76:23, 77:16, 78:1, 158:16, 160:9, 162:2, 162:9 **U.S.C** [31] - 15:15, 15:25, 26:23, 28:6, 33:21, 34:1, 37:24, 41:22, 48:1, 62:13, 62:17, 64:22, 67:12, 67:21, 68:22, 68:25, 73:10, 144:22, 145:8, 145:22, 145:25, 146:2, 146:7, 146:10, 158:19, 159:2, 159:14, 160:4, 161:5, 162:14, 162:20 ultimate [5] - 31:8,

165:3

80:20

undisputed [1] - 29:23

unexpected[1] -

unfortunate [3] -

135:16, 141:23,

32:1, 33:15, 53:10, 138:12 ultimately [12] - 54:15, 85:24, 86:4, 86:9, 87:9, 87:21, 90:17, 95:5, 122:12, 130:24, 134:24, 136:7 umbrella [1] - 62:15 unable [1] - 162:17 unAmerican [1] -100:3 **unanimous** [1] - 53:6 unassailable [1] -153:20 unavailable [1] -162:22 uncritical [3] - 153:9, 153:12 under [65] - 4:16, 6:12, 12:22, 12:25, 13:7, 15:14, 15:21, 15:24, 17:24, 18:4, 18:18, 18:21, 21:24, 22:15, 23:1, 25:2, 26:15, 27:4, 28:6, 30:18, 31:13, 31:14, 31:15, 31:21, 32:8, 32:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 34:15, 38:5, 41:1, 41:20, 42:1, 47:19, 60:7, 60:22, 62:15, 63:24, 65:1, 67:3, 67:21, 68:3, 68:9, 74:5, 76:9, 79:19, 81:20, 81:21, 81:24, 82:5, 82:20, 83:5, 92:4, 92:25, 117:3, 128:25, 134:13, 141:23, 145:21, 146:3, 146:13, 146:21, 156:15, 158:18 undergo [2] - 114:5, 125:5 understandably [3] -46:3, 85:20, 86:25 understated [1] -110:24 understood [13] -14:12, 16:24, 39:6, 52:8, 52:19, 53:8, 63:15, 70:6, 86:20, 87:16, 119:6, 141:8,

141:24 unfortunately [6] -46:7, 105:3, 120:22, 124:8, 131:23, 140:25 UNIDENTIFIED [1] -145.16 uniformed [1] - 155:15 uniformly [1] - 73:12 unique [3] - 111:11, 111:12, 126:19 United [25] - 2:3, 2:17, 2:19, 40:13, 43:6, 45:19, 46:10, 46:14, 49:6, 49:21, 50:7, 50:16, 54:12, 63:22, 72:20, 74:22, 86:5, 95:19, 95:21, 95:22, 102:5, 112:4, 131:16, 144:8, 161:18 UNITED [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:9 units [1] - 33:6 universe [1] - 36:22 unknown [1] - 150:20 unlawful [2] - 153:8, 160:22 unlawfully [1] -160:20 unless [7] - 18:8, 19:12, 79:22, 91:1, 116:22, 117:14, 139:13 unlike [1] - 23:13 unnecessarily [1] -43:13 unnecessary [16] -36:16, 43:10, 43:19, 44:13, 49:10, 49:12, 49:16, 50:5, 50:8, 50:13, 50:17, 77:7, 77:15, 78:9, 78:13, 79.9 unproven [1] - 153:11 unsealed [1] - 18:24 unsigned [5] - 9:25, 10:1, 13:9, 13:10, 20:3 unsubstantial [1] -61:16 unsurprising [1] -71:10 unusual [1] - 80:8 unwarranted [3] -114:2, 145:11, 158:9 **up** [81] - 2:5, 3:25, 7:12, 8:9, 8:12, 9:12, 10:4, 10:23, 11:3, 21:1, 21:2, 24:22,

68:3, 71:21, 93:19, 96:19, 96:25, 97:1, 97:11, 99:3, 99:9, 99:11, 99:15, 100:11, 101:12, 101:20, 102:8, 104:8, 107:5, 110:20, 116:6, 117:11, 119:25, 120:6, 120:13, 121:1, 121:23, 121:24, 121:25, 122:1, 122:15, 128:7, 129:10, 129:19. 130:2. 132:4, 133:6, 135:20, 141:5, 141:7, 143:6, 143:8, 148:13, 148:14, 148:15, 148:18, 150:10, 153:21, 154:17, 155:9, 155:12, 156:6, 158:24, 165:2, 165:16 **up..** [1] - 97:20 upholding [1] - 75:1 upset [1] - 144:10 upstairs [2] - 57:2, 155:13 upward [1] - 31:6 uses [2] - 37:9, 157:22 utter [1] - 60:14

25:20, 27:10, 27:21,

27:25, 50:19, 51:8,

51:10, 57:1, 57:9,

V

vacate [1] - 58:17 vaccinated [2] - 2:12, 3.15 vacuum [1] - 53:24 vanguard [1] - 147:23 vape [1] - 128:4 **vapor** [2] - 128:3, 149:12 variance [3] - 81:1, 91:16, 92:10 various [1] - 107:17 vary [2] - 34:13, 34:14 varying [1] - 70:7 verbal [5] - 56:8, 56:10, 56:18, 60:14, 150:4 verbally [3] - 56:13, 60:18, 159:4

verify [2] - 91:22

versus [10] - 2:3, 43:6,

49:6, 49:23, 50:3, 54:12, 63:21 vetting [1] - 91:19 via [2] - 2:6, 2:7 viability [1] - 53:4 vibes [1] - 143:7 Vibes [1] - 143:10 Vice [1] - 66:7 victim [63] - 6:9, 8:18, 8:19, 9:11, 10:10, 11:13, 11:23, 11:25, 12:13, 12:22, 12:25, 13:8, 13:21, 14:1, 14:4, 14:24, 15:3, 15:21, 15:22, 16:7, 16:8, 16:14, 16:15, 16:19, 17:4, 17:20, 17:25, 18:9, 19:10, 19:11, 19:12, 19:17, 19:22, 20:17, 20:21, 21:3, 21:11, 21:23, 22:5, 22:25, 23:13, 24:9, 24:11, 24:19, 25:2, 25:6, 25:13, 25:14, 25:21, 26:8, 26:11. 26:14. 26:19. 26:21, 27:1, 27:7, 28:2, 28:5, 28:7, 31:16, 148:7, 158:2, 160:10 Victim [5] - 67:13, 116:24, 116:25, 145:22, 146:3 victim's [3] - 19:11, 77:20, 146:25 victims [7] - 10:12, 11:22, 18:2, 25:12, 27:4, 117:8, 145:14 Victims' [8] - 17:21, 17:25, 19:4, 22:15, 22:21, 24:14, 25:2, 26:20 video [35] - 2:6, 29:25, 58:25, 78:19, 85:17, 87:5, 89:11, 95:8, 95:15, 96:11, 96:15, 96:22, 97:15, 99:20, 99:25, 100:12, 100:21, 101:25, 103:6, 104:13, 104:18, 104:19, 105:4, 105:15, 106:8, 106:13, 107:10, 107:11, 108:22, 123:7,

123:18. 129:15.

129:23. 132:22.

videoconference[1] -

vs [1] - 1:4

VWPA[3] - 146:4,

152:13

45:19, 46:10, 46:14,

4:19 videos [29] - 6:5, 24:18, 27:19, 51:17, 79:1, 85:8, 86:11, 86:17, 87:3, 87:6, 94:3, 95:5, 95:12, 95:17. 96:1. 96:19. 99:4. 104:11. 106:25, 111:3, 122:15, 122:16, 129:9, 132:9, 132:10, 132:20, 135:3, 154:21 view [11] - 14:23, 16:4, 16:25, 23:21, 24:4, 40:3, 40:20, 86:11, 117:2, 132:9, 158:16 viewed [1] - 62:20 viewing [1] - 125:20 violate [1] - 165:22 violates [1] - 34:12 violating [2] - 37:24, 165:17 violation [9] - 4:20, 44:2. 145:25. 146:2. 146:7, 146:8, 146:10, 158:19, 159:2 violence [18] - 60:15, 79:3, 111:23, 111:24, 112:7, 112:8, 116:4, 116:9, 116:14, 121:23, 122:4, 130:13, 130:25, 134:4, 146:1, 152:15, 152:25 violent [2] - 14:19, 152:2 violently [2] - 42:18, 156:2 virtually [1] - 126:12 visits [1] - 98:8 vocal [1] - 142:7 voice [1] - 78:22 void [1] - 166:21 **volition** [1] - 109:6 **volume** [1] - 132:22 vote [3] - 60:13, 74:21, 78:24 voters [1] - 75:2 votes [15] - 40:11, 64:20, 66:10, 66:15, 66:20, 70:3, 73:20, 74:11, 74:15, 75:4, 75:14, 75:17, 79:19, 97:6, 155:11 vowed [1] - 125:24

146:13, 146:21

W

wait [1] - 90:10 waiting [2] - 10:6, 10:9 waived [1] - 45:5 waives [1] - 161:25 walk [3] - 90:17, 94:13, 108:18 walked [3] - 17:24, 39:14, 56:21 walking [3] - 99:8, 127:18, 148:22 wall [1] - 123:17 wandering [1] - 155:6 wants [1] - 40:21 warrant [6] - 34:17, 80:24. 92:24. 113:22. 148:21. 154:19 warranted [6] - 89:4, 91:16, 112:21, 114:9, 117:18, 154.17 warranting [2] - 80:18, 92:10 warrants [3] - 111:16, 116:19, 155:23 Washington [6] - 1:6, 1:14, 111:12, 121:20, 160:13, 162:3 watch [1] - 129:23 watched [1] - 136:6 watching [2] - 125:18, 129:15 water [19] - 26:15, 59:11, 60:3, 78:21, 108:2, 108:4, 108:10, 108:16, 109:7, 116:7, 122:2, 130:8, 130:12, 131:3, 150:10, 150:18, 157:8, 157:15 waving [2] - 96:5, 99:9 ways [14] - 13:5, 34:14, 43:22, 47:15, 65:24, 103:9, 111:9, 114:24, 117:19, 119:5, 137:21, 157:22, 159:7, 159:8 weapon [3] - 122:1, 130:21, 150:11 Webster's [1] - 55:25 week [3] - 45:19, 52:15, 65:15

weeks [7] - 20:9, 75:7,

90:8, 90:9, 134:7, 107:25, 108:21, 152:22, 157:18 156:25 weighs [1] - 154:1 worse [1] - 85:19 weight [1] - 23:16 worst [1] - 42:6 worthy [1] - 102:9 weighty [1] - 42:4 Weissmann [3] - 49:6, wrap [1] - 110:20 76:23, 77:1 wrap-up [1] - 110:20 welcome [1] - 89:1 write [2] - 22:6, 22:12 well-delineated [1] written [2] - 41:1, 48:3 47:16 west [2] - 57:19, 116:5 wrongdoing [1] whatsoever [3] -152:6 56:14, 130:25, wrote [3] - 18:16, 139:19 23:19. 43:7 white [1] - 109:25 Υ whole [4] - 22:3, 22:4, 84:4, 132:5 wiggle [1] - 12:24 Y'all [1] - 152:13 willing [5] - 89:12, year [10] - 10:18, 89:17, 90:21, 91:15, 84:24. 85:4. 85:13. 92:13 92:22, 132:2, 132:4, Wilson [3] - 71:18, 141:25, 142:11, 116:1, 158:22 159:24 windows [4] - 96:7, years [11] - 48:4, 127:21, 154:22 67:14, 77:21, 82:14, wing [4] - 95:20, 111:7, 113:22, 147:24, 154:23, 142:8, 142:9, 155:4 151:10, 154:1, winner [1] - 74:21 159:18 wish [5] - 8:3, 47:10, yell [1] - 123:18 141:17, 143:19, yelled [2] - 97:5, 98:20 143:20 yelling [9] - 24:22, withdrawing [1] -57:2, 57:12, 57:24, 66:12 58:8, 123:21, 148:6, Witness [3] - 67:13, 149:23, 150:25 116:25, 146:4 yesterday [2] - 8:14, witness [1] - 73:1 89:23 witnessed [3] - 103:8, York [9] - 76:24, 116:4, 136:4 85:22, 85:23, 86:5, witnesses [1] - 63:7 126:21, 129:1, witnessing [1] -129:6, 163:15, 116:14 163:18 Woodstock [3] young [8] - 83:20, 138:20, 139:13, 119:22, 124:5, 139:18 124:24, 124:25, words [13] - 39:24, 126:1, 137:14, 58:11, 72:6, 74:6, 137:15 102:22, 103:21, yourself [1] - 149:25 120:12, 121:8, yourselves[1] -123:12, 138:25, 149:25 139:8, 149:10, 156:17 Ζ workers [1] - 158:5 workplace [1] - 70:18 world [4] - 111:9, zero [2] - 30:19, 111:13, 113:16, 152:15 113:24 **Zone** [1] - 154:11 worn [8] - 103:3, **Zoom** [1] - 132:11 103:22, 107:11, 107:17, 107:18,